

- WBFL doesn't think it's appropriate to comment on the potential effects on their developments, but they do feel it is appropriate to assess at which stage the CIA is required
- WBFL is not the culture to assess when the CIA is needed
- Informing is not consulting
- CIA was not raised before because whanau did not have appropriate representation due to the lack of resources
- The consultation started in 2022, but WBFL has been there since 1995
- In the very first submission, WBFL have even misspelled Ngati Kura's name
- Other Iwi parties WBFL are arguing to have good engagement with do not whakapapa to Waiaua Bay
- We have suggested 6 months at a minimum, but consider it will take much longer to conduct a CIA, considering the scope of the developments, 3 years at the minimum
- There has not been a consultation with whanau on a frequent basis; the text exchange regarding access happened for the very first time
- Using that one text exchange this weekend (23rd of August 2025) is not a representation of an ongoing communication or a relationship
- There is no regular communication, and usually Ngati Kura has to inform WBF Ltd 2 weeks before going to Waiaua Bay, providing the registration plates of the cars
- Arguing that WBFL has a long history of 30 years is insulting to the history of Ngati Kura's presence
- WBFL is arguing they are a small team, while Ngati Kura does not have a team of experts at all
- Environmental stewardship includes zero carbon emissions by 2050, which includes planting of pine trees that are detrimental to the environment (according to the new Maanaki Whenua research)
- WBFL has not proved to be good neighbors, as both parties (Waiaua whanau) have to agree with this for it to be considered a fact
- Anaru Kira's relationship with Waiaua whanau is strained due to the consent given for the pavilion that Waiaua whanau never knew about
- There is a website containing a database of iwi and hapu accessible to the public that WBFL did not use to contact the right people

- Words become important when action come into place by Goodwin – such as wording of Māori occupation and European settlement, especially when comparing the “long” 30-year history of the Robertson family in the area, while Ngati Kura has been there since time immemorial (Ref: the Archeological Evidence)
- The visitors want to TAKE the most out of the experience, while for Waiaua whanau it is not about taking, it is about preserving it, intact
- There are identified ridgelines in the map that we have submitted in the rebuttal evidence, and it is important for these sites to be disclosed, which is why we keep on asking for more time to prepare our maps and our reports
- Pest and predator control in which way – usage of 1080, other toxins, how much of it, is there consultation in relation to biosecurity with tangata whenua as the primary guardians of nature – there are amendments to the Biosecurity Act 1993 ongoing, and Wai 262 is going to be incorporated in those amendments, which requires the usage of Mātauranga Māori. Since we all know that Mātauranga is iwi, hapu, and whanau specific, we were wondering if pest and predator control on the larger area will be done in consultation with the local whanau
- If the Master plan is indicative of the fundamental values, why is CIA not incorporated in the Master plan, especially when one of WBFL's key values is a ‘Sense of Place’ philosophy, arguing for the promotion of cultural authenticity
- WBFL would have more information about the ridgeline if there were better consultation, speaking of the fact that there is no ongoing communication and relationship
- WBFL has dissected the rebuttal evidence filled by us to argue against the developments that have not been done yet, but has agreed that the Master plan is a living document that is constantly going to change, which is why there is a need to raise all the potential physical and metaphysical impacts
- Walking trails do not concern only maunga, but the exploitation of culture for the purpose of attraction and means of financial progression by WBFL. Ngati Kura’s culture is not an attraction to be exploited; their knowledge is a living being
- When reviewing historical documents to prepare their archaeological reports, WBFL apparently did not find the maps we have submitted in the rebuttal evidence, as well as the ones filed today
- In conclusion, we are requesting a cultural induction