

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S215.052	Haigh Workman Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	<p>We have identified inconsistencies in the rules and standards for stormwater management:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - between different zones in the District Plan; - between land use and subdivision; and - between the Proposed District Plan rules and Council's Engineering Standards (April 2022) <p>This is largely because there are no specific objectives and policies in the Proposed District Plan relating to stormwater management. The Natural Hazards section has general objectives and policies relating to avoiding increasing flood risks, but there are no specific objectives on what needs to be achieved and policies on how it will be achieved. Some of these objectives are set out in Engineering Standards section 4.1.2, but the District Plan Objectives and Policies may need to include more than engineering issues.</p> <p>Stormwater management is proposed to be addressed in the District Plan through rules on Impermeable Surfaces in each zone as in the Operative District Plan. These rules also address landscaping / amenity issues, availability of land for wastewater disposal and natural hazard mitigation. The Proposed District Plan allows development up to the permitted activity levels without mitigation of adverse effects. The Matters of Discretion in the zone and subdivision rules provide no guidance on how stormwater is to be controlled when the standard is breached - is it intended for stormwater control to achieve the standards in the draft Engineering Standards (refer attached comments on Engineering Standards), attenuate stormwater to permitted activity levels (as in the Operative District Plan) or to pre-development levels, or simply to ensure there are no adverse effects off site (and if so, what adverse effects need to be addressed)?</p> <p>A consistent approach should be adopted for both land use and subdivision. For development that exceeds the impermeable surfaces thresholds and subdivision, we recommend that the District plan includes policies and rules similar to Regional Plan Rule C.6.4.2 (that is 'the diversion and discharge does not cause or increase flooding of land on another property in a storm event of up to and including a 10 percent annual exceedance probability, or flooding of buildings on another property in a storm event of up to</p>	Insert a new chapter to the General District-Wide Matters addressing Stormwater Management (or Impermeable Surfaces generally) including overview, objectives, policies and rules in a similar way to the section on Earthworks management	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				and including a one percent annual exceedance probability').			
FS155.7	Fiona King		Support		Allow		Reject
FS177.1	Fred Terry		Support	The Proposed D P for the Waipapa Commercial/Industrial Zone is in most part located on a flood hazard zone. Access roading and raised site development has created excessive impervious surfaces creating enhanced directional flood paths that have the potential to impact on downstream properties and infrastructure. Downstream effects includes all properties associated with the Kerikeri river including the historic infrastructure of the Stone Store basin. Additionally flooding in the proposed Waipapa zone can overflow into and add to the Waipapa river catchment discharging at Waipapa landing. Note 1981 flood a death recorded. Flooding through this zone closes and impacts access of SH10. I believe for many reasons the Plan should include special provisions for both Stormwater management and flood mitigation in the Waipapa zone	Allow		Reject
FS177.2	Fred Terry		Support	Strengthen the provision of stormwater / flood management with particular emphasis on the existing industrial / commercial development within the Waipapa flood plain. This should include recognition of the directional flood paths that have been created to effect downstream infrastructure and property. I refer to the 2019 FNDC DPR Natural Hazards Options Assessment Final 10062019 Matters for Discussion document where the Plan Structure and Flood hazard zoning for Waipapa was discussed in detail (page 13 of 23) To this end a special Waipapa Zone should be created in the Plan, that would join with the Northland Regional Councils advanced work on a flood mitigation detention dam for the Kerikeri river.	Allow in part		Reject
FS25.017	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of including a new chapter that improves the clarity and usability of the FNDC by grouping provisions relating to stormwater management in a single place.	Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS309.1	Brad Hedger		Support in part	There is inconsistency in the engineering standards, I support that should be clear guidelines. Reference to	Allow in part		Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				external standards (Auckland guidance documents) that are not under the control of the local authority will provide gaps in our unique far north environment.			
FS543.001	NRC Kerikeri/ Waipapa Rivers Working Group		Support in part	<p>Establishment of a special zone would strengthen the provision of active stormwater and flood management for the Waipapa / Kerikeri area. This would recognise the industrial /commercial development that has been established in the at risk flooding of the Waipapa flood plain and all the associated downstream areas.</p> <p>The established industrial and commercial development have created large areas of impervious surfaces that now drain to the lower levels of the transport network. Site drainage has already proved inadequate in an even modest rainfall event. This drastically changed land form has created directional flood paths that will increase downstream flood velocity. There is a potential to endanger downstream properties and infrastructure, loss of access including the closure and damage to SH10 road, bridge structures and waterways.</p> <p>There have been recorded flood events where the peak flood volumes from Kerikeri river have over-spilled causing significant infrastructure and property damage including to the Stone Store Historic precinct. The industrial / commercial development has the potential to contribute to enhancing the devastation of these previous flooding events.</p> <p>The Kerikeri river passes alongside low-level properties in Waitotara Drive which are currently protected by a bunding that flood water could overtop with the predicted peak volumes flows. These peak flows will also endanger recent development in the Rain Falls road region and low level residential properties and wastewater infrastructure at Amokukra Drive and Tuatahi Place where the Puketotara Stream and Keirkeri river join.</p> <p>The Northland Regional Policy Statement also requires subdivision and land use and development to minimise the risk of natural hazards, with particular focus on activities within flood plain and areas affected by coastal hazards.</p>	Allow in part	Insert a special Waipapa / Kerikeri Flood Hazard zone to recognise the development that has been established in the Waipapa flood plain and all associated downstream areas.	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS325.013	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support	TT supports the intent of including a new chapter that improves the clarity and usability of the proposed district plan by grouping provisions relating to stormwater management in a single place.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS570.541	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.555	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.577	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S178.018	Reuben Wright	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The Far North District Council Engineering Standards April 2022 ('ES 2022') are referenced in rules in both the Subdivision and Transport Chapters. The ES 2022 is not written in a manner that can be interpreted as a rule. By way of example, Rules SUB-S4 and SUB-S5 require compliance with the ES 2022 as a (presumably) permitted or controlled performance standard. In reviewing relevant sections of the ES 2022, there is no clear indication as to what standard must be complied with and the contents are	Amend to remove reference to the Far North District Council Engineering Standards April 2022 by any rule in the Plan, but should be referred to as a matter of control or discretion where appropriate.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation	
				not written in a way that can be interpreted as a rule to confirm compliance (or not).			
FS155.19	Fiona King		Support		Allow	Accept in part	
FS309.3	Brad Hedger		Support in part	The new district plan references the engineering standards on a frequent basis as part of its rules. While some aspects will stand the test of time, the engineering standards should be a continuing changing document to allow for new innovation and control. An example of this is that operative plan refers to engineering standards that do not contain management of climate change as it was not considered an issue in 2004.	Disallow in part	Accept in part	
FS403.005	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Allow in part	Seek provision details as above.	Accept in part
FS369.001	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy agrees that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between the Plan and the Engineering Standards be reviewed similar to how it is in the Whangārei District Plan, with the Standards being referred to as a matter of control or discretion or means of compliance.	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part
S516.034	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Council's Environmental Engineering Standards are referenced throughout the subdivision provisions, setting minimum standards to the establishment of three waters infrastructure at time of subdivision. However, the Environmental Engineering Standards are not included within the Infrastructure Chapter as a compliance standard. Ngā Tai Ora are concerned that this is inconsistent application of engineering standards. Furthermore, the referenced Environmental Engineering Standards do not ensure sustainable, safe and efficient provision of three waters infrastructure. Ngā Tai Ora support green infrastructure, especially around the control of stormwater to retain water, adequately maintain or improve water quality (drinking recreational water source,) as well as maintaining shade plants from drought conditions while not creating habitat for vectors.	Amend the relationship of the District Plan to the Environmental Engineering Standards to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ensure the District Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure in a manner that achieves sustainable, safe and efficient provision of infrastructure. 2. Ensure referencing of the Environmental Engineering Standards in the District Plan is 	Accept in part	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p>appropriate and results in clear and measurable rules.</p> <p>3. Cross-referencing to Environmental Engineering Standards is consistent across all chapters.</p> <p>4. Ensure the District Plan provides for and enables green infrastructure, including for the control of stormwater.</p>	
FS309.7	Brad Hedger		Support in part	<p>3 Water mitigation strategies should form part of all new development along with renewable energy. The engineering standards are silent on alternative measures and rely on part to Auckland guidance documents. Alternative systems should be considered if there is suitable long term management plans for there operation. These aspects should have incentives in the plan to encourage use.</p>	Allow in part	Accept in part
S516.042	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	<p>The Council's Environmental Engineering Standards are referenced throughout the transport chapter setting minimum standards for the establishment of roads. Ngā Tai Ora are concerned that this is inconsistent application of engineering standards. Furthermore, the referenced Environmental Engineering Standards do not ensure sustainable, safe and efficient provision of roading infrastructure.</p>	<p>Amend the relationship of the District Plan to the Environmental Engineering Standards to:</p> <p>(a) Ensure the District Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure in a manner that achieves sustainable, safe and efficient provision of infrastructure.</p> <p>(b) Ensure referencing of the Environmental Engineering Standards in the District Plan is appropriate and results in clear and measurable rules.</p> <p>(c) Cross-referencing to Environmental Engineering Standards is consistent across all chapters.</p>	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS309.8	Brad Hedger		Support in part	Road design alternatives should form part of all new private development. The risk for alternative standards for public roads is that the maintenance of them can be challenging if not standardised and will increase costs and time. The Engineering standards are silent on alternative measures for design of private and public road i.e. what are the minimum requirements.	Allow in part		Accept in part
S371.028	Bunnings Limited	District Plan Framework	Support in part	All stormwater collection systems must be designed in accordance with the Council's Environmental Engineering Standards 2022 Bunnings are concerned that this is an inconsistent application of engineering standards. Furthermore, the referenced Environmental Engineering Standards do not ensure sustainable, safe and efficient management of stormwater.	Amend to refine the relationship of the District Plan to the Environmental Engineering Standards to: 1. Ensure the District Plan requires the management of stormwater in a manner that achieves sustainable, safe and efficient provision of infrastructure. 2. Ensure referencing of the Environmental Engineering Standards in the District Plan is appropriate and results in clear and measurable rules. 3. Cross-referencing to Environmental Engineering Standards is consistent across all chapters.		Accept in part
FS309.9	Brad Hedger		Support in part	There is inconsistency in the engineering standards, I support that there should be clear guidelines. Reference to external standards (Auckland guidance documents) that are not under the control of the local authority will provide gaps in our unique far north environment	Allow in part		Accept in part
FS403.034	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						relationship between documents should be reviewed.	
FS369.024	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy agrees that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between the Plan and the Engineering Standards be reviewed similar to how it is in the Whangārei District Plan, with the Standards being referred to as a matter of control or discretion or means of compliance	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part
S344.009	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	Rules	Not Stated	The Council's Environmental Engineering Standards are referenced throughout the transport chapter setting minimum standards for the establishment of roads. PPHCTL are concerned that this is inconsistent application of engineering standards. Furthermore, the referenced Environmental Engineering Standards do not ensure sustainable, safe and efficient provision of roading infrastructure.	Amend to refine the relationship of the District Plan to the Environmental Engineering Standards to: Ensure the District Plan requires the delivery of infrastructure in a manner that achieves sustainable, safe and efficient provision of infrastructure. Ensure referencing of the Environmental Engineering Standards in the District Plan is appropriate and results in clear and measurable rules. Cross-referencing to Environmental Engineering Standards is consistent across all chapters.		Accept in part
FS542.020	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support	Foodstuffs support amendments to refine the relationship of the DP to the Environmental engineering standards.	Allow	allow the original submissions	Accept in part
FS403.110	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Allow	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	
FS396.030	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support	The submission seeks various changes in relation to the urban environment / coastal environment interface as well as specific provisions in the Mixed Use Zone. Additionally, the submission seeks better reflection of business land needs that should be reflected throughout the Plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S368.101	Far North District Council	GRZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend GRZ-R2 to insert PER 2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.024	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S368.102	Far North District Council	RPROZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process.	Amend RPROZ -R2 to insert PER-2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.			
FS25.025	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.002	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.103	Far North District Council	RLZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend RLZ-R2 PER-2Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.026	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.003	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.104	Far North District Council	RRZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend to insert RRZ-R2 PER-2Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.027	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.004	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S368.105	Far North District Council	RSZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend RSZ-R2 PER-2stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.028	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S368.106	Far North District Council	NOSZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend NOSZ-R2 to insert PER-2stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.029	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.005	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S368.107	Far North District Council	OSZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend OSZ -R2 to insert PER-2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.030	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.006	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.108	Far North District Council	SARZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend SARZ -R2 to insert PER-2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.031	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS412.007	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.109	Far North District Council	HZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend HZ-R2 to insertP ER-2stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.032	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.008	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.110	Far North District Council	HPFZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new	Amend HPFZ -R2 to insert PER-2stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	District Engineering Standards April 2022.		
FS25.033	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.009	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.111	Far North District Council	KRT-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend KRT -R2 to insert PER-2Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.034	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.0010	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	
S368.112	Far North District Council	MPZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend MPZ-R2 to insert P ER-2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.035	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.011	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
S368.113	Far North District Council	OBZ-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for	Amend OBZ -R2 to insert PER-2 Stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.			
FS25.036	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.012	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them	Disallow	delete the reference to the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	Accept in part
FS398.003	Waitoto Developments Limited		Oppose	If activities are permitted under the Proposed District Plan then drainage matters should be contained and considered under the Building Act	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
S368.114	Far North District Council	QR-R2	Support in part	The Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022 apply to all land development, including impermeable surfaces that comply with the permitted standards for impermeable surface coverage. The proposed new standard seeks to ensure that the plan users are aware of, and comply with the Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022. Any non-compliance will enable an assessment of the effectiveness of the proposed method for controlling stormwater on site through the resource consent process. The rule will apply in all instances where there is an impermeable surface coverage rule in the PDP.	Amend QR -R2 to insert PER-2stormwater must be disposed of in accordance with Far North District Engineering Standards April 2022.		Reject
FS25.037	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the proposal to provide additional clarity by referring to the applicable engineering standards.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS412.013	Haigh Workman Ltd		Oppose	The District Plan should contain objectives, policies and rules for stormwater management. The engineering	Disallow	delete the reference to	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				standards is not to set these policies and rules, but to provide a means of compliance with them		the Engineering Standards as a requirement to comply with a permitted activity rule.	