

Te Whatu Ora – Nga Tai Ora - PDP Further Submission

To: Far North District Council

Re: Further Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan – Te Whatu Ora – Public Health Northland

Full Name: Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora Northland Attn: Gavin De Klerk

Phone: 09 430 4100

Address for Service: Email is the preferred contact method – Gavin.DeKlerk@northlanddhb.org.nz & David Badham, Barker and Associates – davidb@barker.co.nz

Date: 28 August 2023

Further Submission Information:

This is a further submission on the Far North District Council's (FNDC) Proposed Far North District Plan (PDP). Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora (previously original submitter Ngā Tai Ora – Public Health Northland¹) has an interest greater than the interest the general public has, as it made an original submission on the PDP (S516), and the submission points identified within this further submission, specifically affect Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora's interests in the Far North District.

Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.

The specific original submission points of the PDP that Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora further submission relates to are attached.

Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora supports or opposes the specific submission points as listed in the attached document. The reasons are provided in the attached document.

The decisions that Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora wishes Far North District Council (FNDC) to make to ensure the issues raised by Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora are dealt with are also contained in the attached document.

Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora wishes to be heard in support of this further submission and will consider making a joint case if it deems it necessary.

Gavin De Klerk

Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora

¹ Since the original submission was made on 21 October 2022, the New Zealand Health Reforms have further progressed, such that Te Whatu Ora – Health New Zealand leads the day-to-day running of the health system across New Zealand, with functions delivered at a local, district, regional and national levels. This is addressed further in Section 1.0 below.

1.0 Introduction

Te Whatu Ora – Health New Zealand leads the day-to-day running of the health system across New Zealand, with functions delivered at local, district, regional and national levels. Te Whatu Ora undertakes the operational functions of the Ministry of Health, including the management of all health services, including hospital and specialist services, and primary and community care within the Far North District.

Public Health

Public Health is often described as “the science and art of promoting health, preventing disease and prolonging life through the efforts of society.”²

Public health is work to improve the health of communities and populations (or sections of the community), reduce inequalities in health status and eliminate existing health inequities amongst our Māori and Pacifica populations. The focus of work activity may be in the social and physical environments in which we live, as well as on programmes to develop more healthy activities.³

Te Whatu Ora

Te Whatu Ora is also responsible for improving services and outcomes across the health system. We do this in partnership with Te Aka Whai Ora (Māori Health Authority). Te Whatu Ora has statutory obligations under the Pae Ora Act 2022, New Zealand Public Health and Disability Act 2000 and the Health Act 1956 to improve, promote and protect the health of people and communities of Aotearoa New Zealand.

Within Te Whatu Ora sits the National Public Health Service (**NPHS**) which delivers national, regional and local programmes of health promotion, protection and prevention. Ngā Tai is one of the regional public health services under the NPHS. Ngā Tai Ora are tasked with promoting and protecting the health of Te Tai Tokerau communities, and preventing diseases, with actions focused on reducing inequities, influencing health determinants and supporting people to be healthy where they live, learn, work and play.

The submission includes feedback from a Medical Officer of Health (**MOoH**) and Health Protection Officers (**HPO**), and Ngā Tai Ora. The MOoH of Te Whatu Ora is a public health physician who provides independent specialist advice on matters that relate to population health. MOoH and HPOs have an overall statutory role to improve, promote and protect the health of Northlanders and the Northland Public.

This further submission is made on behalf of Te Whatu Ora – Ngā Tai Ora (shortened to “**Te Whatu Ora**” in the more detailed further submission table on the preceding pages).

² <https://www.tewhatauora.govt.nz/whats-happening/what-to-expect/for-the-health-workforce/public-health-workforce-development/about-public-health>

³ <https://www.northlanddoh.org.nz/our-services/a-z/public-health/>

2.0 Specific Further Submission Points on PDP

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S521.001	Vision Kerikeri	General/Process	Support	Development of spatial and strategic direction for District’s urban centres is consistent with Te Whatu Ora’s original relief sought to establish a centres hierarchy.	Accept FS403.001
S529.003	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General/Process	Support in Part	Introduction of more subzones or precincts in accordance with the National Planning Standards is consistent with Te Whatu Ora’s original relief sought to establish a centre hierarchy.	Accept in Part FS403.002
S529.066	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General/Process	Support in Part	Developing spatial and strategic direction for the District’s urban centres is consistent with Te Whatu Ora’s original relief sought to establish a centre hierarchy.	Accept in Part FS403.003
S137.004	Lynley Newport	General/Plan Content	Oppose in Part	Introduction of a Mixed Use Zone is supported, however the PDP proposes a generic use of MUZ. Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject in Part FS403.004
S178.018	Reuben Wright	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should	Accept in Part FS403.005

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	
S188.002	Puketotara Lodge Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.006
S250.002	Willowridge Developments Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the inclusion of policies to give effect to objectives in the Strategic Development Chapters.	Accept in Part FS403.007
S252.003	Hall Nominees Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.183
S252.006	Hall Nominees Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.008
S271.033	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.009
S274.006	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.010
S325.002	Adrian and Sue Knight	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.011

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S338.007	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.012
S338.47	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.013
S339.001	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that the How the Plan Works Chapter should be amended to provide clear direction with respect to Overlays and provisions which prevail over underlying zones.	Accept FS403.014
S339.002	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.015
S339.016	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the amendment of the Strategic Direction Chapter to provide clear direction and include policies.	Accept in Part FS403.016
S340.007	Rosemorn Industries Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.017
S344.001	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept FS403.018

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S344.002	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept FS403.019
S344.027	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept FS403.020
S363.001	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept FS403.021
S371.001	Bunnings Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept FS403.022
S386.001	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.023
S427.006	Kapiro Residents Association	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.024

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S475.002	Robert Keith Beale	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.025
S522.006	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.026
S522.033	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.027
S529.006	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.028
S529.098	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.029
S534.003	Roger Atkinson	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.030
S535.004	John and Rose Whitehead	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.031
S209.002	Audrey Campbell-Frear	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Accept in Part FS403.032

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S371.003	Bunnings Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.033
S371.028	Bunnings Limited	General/Plan Content/Miscellaneous	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.034
S385.031	McDonalds Restaurants NZ Limited	District Plan Framework	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept in Part FS403.035
S446.034	Kapiro Conservation Trust	District Plan Framework	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept in Part FS403.036
S446.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust	District Plan Framework	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept in Part ## ## #
S446.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust	District Plan Framework	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.037

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S511.018	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	District Plan Framework	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.038
S338.008	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Approach to Integrated Management	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Accept in Part FS403.039
S167.001	Bentzen Farm Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.040
S168.001	Setar Thirty Six Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.041
S187.001	The Shooting Box Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.042
S243.001	Matauri Trustee Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.043

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S344.003	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.044
S363.002	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.045
S483.023	Top Energy Limited	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.046
S425.001	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Applications Subject to Multiple Provisions	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the relationship between provisions and rules in zone/district wide chapters should be clarified to improve effectiveness of the plan for plan users.	Accept in Part FS403.047
S335.029	BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited	Definitions/Commercial Activity	Oppose in Part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to the commercial activities definitions to include nesting tables and a definition of Food and Beverage Activities.	Reject in Part FS403.048
S336.001	Z Energy Limited	Definitions/Commercial Activity	Oppose in Part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to the commercial activities definitions to include nesting tables and a definition of Food and Beverage Activities.	Reject in Part FS403.049

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S437.003	FNR Properties Limited	Definitions/Commercial Activity	Support in Part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to the commercial activities definitions to include nesting tables and a definition of Food and Beverage Activities.	Accept in Part FS403.050
S271.002	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.051
S416.001	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.052
S446.002	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.053
S454.005	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.054
S489.001	Radio New Zealand	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.055

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S524.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.056
S529.067	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Definitions – Infrastructure	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment to this definition to clarify relationship with “development infrastructure” and to include natural solutions in this definition.	Reject FS403.057
S250.001	Willowridge Developments Limited	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.058
S344.004	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.059
S363.004	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.060
S371.006	Bunnings Limited	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.061
S385.001	McDonalds Restaurants NZ Limited	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that nesting tables in the definitions chapter will provide certainty and clarity for plan users.	Accept FS403.062

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S385.033	McDonalds Restaurants NZ Limited	Definitions – New Definition	Support	Te Whatu Ora support the inclusion of a definition for “food and beverage” activities.	Accept FS403.063
Strategic Direction					
S271.008	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Urban Form and Development – Objectives – New	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Accept in Part FS403.064
S349.005	Neil Construction Limited	Urban Form and Development – Objectives	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Accept in Part FS403.065
S349.005 S356.005	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Urban Form and Development – Objectives	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Accept in Part FS403.066
S446.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Urban Form and Development – Objectives	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion,	Accept in Part FS403.067

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	
S524.008	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs VKK)	Urban Form and Development – Objectives	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Accept in Part FS403.068
S529.073	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Urban Form and Development – Objectives	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Accept in Part FS403.069
S356.006	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O2	Support	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept FS403.070
S463.005	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O2	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Reject in part FS403.071

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S512.009	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O2	Support	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept FS403.072
S561.015	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O2	Support	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept FS403.073
S331.009	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O3	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.074
S356.007	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O3	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.075
S512.010	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O3	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.076
S561.016	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O3	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.077
S356.008	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O4	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.078
S477.011	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Accept in part FS403.079

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S512.011	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O4	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.080
S561.017	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Urban Form and Development – SD-UFD-O4	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part FS403.081
Infrastructure					
S442.057	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Infrastructure Objectives –	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.082
S511.037	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Infrastructure Objectives –	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora supports provision for Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.083
S165.005	Arvida Group Limited	Infrastructure – I-O1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.084
S331.012	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Infrastructure – I-O1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.085
S416.011	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.086
S421.020	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.087

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S454.036	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.088
S463.011	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.089
S489.011	Radio New Zealand	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.090
S561.019	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Infrastructure – I-O1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seeks to amend this objective to provide for sustainable infrastructure.	Reject FS403.091
S511.038	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Infrastructure – Policies	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.092
S442.058	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Infrastructure – Policies	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.093
S511.042	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Infrastructure – Policies	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.094
S511.043	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Infrastructure – Policies	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek appropriate provision and enablement of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Accept in part FS403.095
S529.176	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Infrastructure –I-R17	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora consider that this rule will result in unnecessary cost and delay for provision of public infrastructure and it	Reject in part FS403.096

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				should provide for three waters infrastructure outside of sensitive locations.	
Transport					
S184.006	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.097
S184.007	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.098
S271.023	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.099
S331.024	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.100
S335.027	BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.101
S356.035	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.102

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S425.017	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.103
S427.014	Kapiro Residents Association	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.104
S463.020	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.105
S524.023	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.106
S529.003	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.107
S356.023	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Transport – TRAN-P5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support amendment of this policy to provide for multi-modal transport methods.	Accept in part FS403.108
S215.016	Haigh Workman Limited	Transport Rules	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora consider that clarity of road sealing requirements is important. There are health impacts associated with unsealed rural roads. There are significant concerns regarding the effects that dust generated from unsealed rural roads can have on	Accept in part FS403.109

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				adjacent sensitive activities (e.g., residential units) that are not appropriately setback from the road.	
S344.009	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	Transport – Rules	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept FS403.110
S363.011	Foodstuffs North Islands Limited	Transport – Rules	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept FS403.111
S184.010	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – Notes	Support	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept FS403.112
S45.032	Puketona Business Park Limited	Transport – TRAN-R4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora generally support Rule TRAN-R4 (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations) but consider that the rule also needs to be furthered by providing for safe and secure electric bicycle and electric scooter (disability) charging stations.	Accept in part FS403.113
S184.015	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – TRAN-R4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora generally support Rule TRAN-R4 (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations) but consider that the rule also needs to be furthered by providing for safe and secure	Accept in part FS403.114

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				electric bicycle and electric scooter (disability) charging stations.	
S335.028	BP Oil New Zealand Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited, Z Energy Limited	Transport – TRAN-R4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora generally support Rule TRAN-R4 (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations) but consider that the rule also needs to be furthered by providing for safe and secure electric bicycle and electric scooter (disability) charging stations.	Accept in part FS403.115
S336.005	Z Energy Limited	Transport – TRAN-R4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora generally support Rule TRAN-R4 (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations) but consider that the rule also needs to be furthered by providing for safe and secure electric bicycle and electric scooter (disability) charging stations.	Accept in part FS403.116
S356.039	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Transport – TRAN-R4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora generally support Rule TRAN-R4 (Electric Vehicle Charging Stations) but consider that the rule also needs to be furthered by providing for safe and secure electric bicycle and electric scooter (disability) charging stations.	Accept in part FS403.117
S172.016	Terra Group	Transport – TRAN- S1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Accept in part FS403.118

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S184.009	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – TRAN- S1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Accept in part FS403.119
S184.018	Northland Transport Alliance	Transport – TRAN- S1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Accept in part FS403.120
S215.002	Haigh Workman Limited	Transport – TRAN- S1	Support	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Accept FS403.121
S331.027	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Transport – TRAN- S1	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Reject in part FS403.122
S502.095	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	Transport – TRAN- S1	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Reject in part FS403.123
S512.017	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Transport – TRAN- S1	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support the requirements for bicycle and accessible car parking spaces and seek to retain as notified.	Accept in part FS403.124
S502.096	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	Transport – TRAN Table 4	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora support the provision of end of trip facilities to support multi-modal transport options.	Accept in part FS403.125
Natural Hazards					

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S331.034	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Natural Hazards – NH-R7	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support this rule as notified.	Accept in part FS403.126
S421.074	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Natural Hazards – NH-R7	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support this rule as notified.	Accept in part FS403.127
S356.049	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Natural Hazards – NH-R8	Support	Te Whatu Ora support this rule as notified.	Accept FS403.128
S548.005	Omata Estate	Natural Hazards – NH-S1	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora support the standard and seek to improve links in the standards table.	Reject FS403.129
Hazardous Substances					
S304.005	Ngati Rangi ki Ngawha Hapu	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.130
S331.037	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.131
S331.038	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.132
S342.020	Waipapa Pine Limited and Adrian Broughton Trust	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.133

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S421.085	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.134
S512.017	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.135
S515.010	Ngati Rangī ki Ngawha	Hazardous Substances – HS-R2	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.136
S421.088	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Hazardous Substances – HS-R8	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend the provisions to require a setback from “Significant Hazardous Facilities”.	Accept in part FS403.137
S331.037	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Hazardous Substances – HS-R11	Support	Te Whatu Ora support the retention of this rule as notified.	Accept FS403.138
Subdivision					
S117.001	Lynley Newport	Subdivision – SUB-R8	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend rule SUB-R8 to locate building platforms, access and services in the least as risk portion of the parent site.	Accept in part FS403.139
S178.003	Reuben Wright	Subdivision – SUB-R8	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend rule SUB-R8 to locate building platforms, access and services in the least as risk portion of the parent site.	Accept in part FS403.140

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S204.001	Thomson Survey Limited	Subdivision – SUB-R8	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend rule SUB-R8 to locate building platforms, access and services in the least as risk portion of the parent site.	Accept in part FS403.141
S561.049	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Subdivision – SUB-R11	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend SUB-R11 and SUB-R12 to be a permitted activity where building platforms and associated access for each allotment is located wholly outside the spatial extent of the Coastal Hazard Area.	Accept in part FS403.142
S561.050	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Subdivision – SUB-R12	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend SUB-R11 and SUB-R12 to be a permitted activity where building platforms and associated access for each allotment is located wholly outside the spatial extent of the Coastal Hazard Area.	Accept in part FS403.143
S215.033	Haigh Workman Limited	Subdivision – SUB-S4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.144
S554.010	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	Subdivision – SUB-S4	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.145
S110.002	Lynley Newport	Subdivision – SUB-S5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora submit that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should	Accept in Part FS403.146

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	
S138.010	Kairo Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	Subdivision – SUB-S5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora submit that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.147
S172.010	Terra Group	Subdivision – SUB-S5	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora submit that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Reject FS403.148
S207.002	Thomson Survey Limited	Subdivision – SUB-S5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora submit that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.149
S215.034	Haigh Workman Limited	Subdivision – SUB-S5	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora submit that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Accept in Part FS403.150
S551.011 S554.011	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	Subdivision – SUB-S4	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora agree that the proposed referencing to Engineering Standards should be amended and the relationship between documents should be reviewed.	Reject FS403.151
S344.020	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate	Coastal Environment – CE-R12	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R12.	Accept in part FS403.152

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Napier | Wellington | Christchurch | Queenstown | Wānaka

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
	Trustee Limited and UP Management				
S407.002	Tapuaetahi Incorporation	Coastal Environment – CE-R12	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R12.	Accept in part FS403.153
S431.046	John Andrew Riddell	Coastal Environment – CE-R12	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R12.	Accept in part FS403.154
S90.005	Lynley Newport	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.155
S344.021	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.156
S493.010	William Goodfellow	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.157
S494.010	Ian Jepson	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.158
S496.008	Phillip Thorton	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.159
S497.008	Mark John Wyborn	Coastal Environment – CE-R14	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain rule CE-R14.	Accept in part FS403.160
S93.008	Lynley Newport	Coastal Environment – CE-R17	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to delete this rule to avoid duplication with HZ-R8.	Reject FS403.161

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S502.022	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	Coastal Environment – CE-R17	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to delete this rule to avoid duplication with HZ-R8.	Reject FS403.162
S93.009	Lynley Newport	Coastal Environment – CE-R18	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to delete this rule to avoid duplication with HZ-R8.	Reject FS403.163
S502.023	Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited	Coastal Environment – CE-R18	Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to delete this rule to avoid duplication with HZ-R8.	Reject FS403.164
Noise					
S45.020	Puketona Business Park Limited	Noise-NOISE-P1	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this policy to protect public health.	Reject in part FS403.165
S45.045	Puketona Business Park Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Accept in part FS403.166
S159.088	Horticulture New Zealand	Noise – NOISE-R7	Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Accept in part FS403.167
S167.087	Bentzen Farm Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.168

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S168.085	Setar Thirty Six Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.169
S182.021	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.170
S187.076	The Shooting Box Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.171
S217.019	New Zealand Defence Force	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.172
S222.079	Wendover Two Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.173
S243.105	Matauri Trustee Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.174

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
S333.077	P S Yates Family Trust	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.175
S421.198	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.176
S463.082	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Noise – NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to improve clarity and activities which potentially have adverse effects on public health should have controls.	Reject in part FS403.177
S45.046	Puketona Business Park Limited	Noise – NOISE-R8	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to ensure that the three permitted activity consideration apply in conjunction and not as alternatives.	Reject in part FS403.178
S159.089	Horticulture New Zealand	Noise-NOISE-R8	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to ensure that the three permitted activity consideration apply in conjunction and not as alternatives.	Reject in part FS403.179
S45.047	Puketona Business Park Limited	Noise – NOISE-R9	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to ensure that the three permitted activity consideration apply in conjunction and not as	Reject in part FS403.180

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				alternatives. The term maximum noise should be avoided.	
S159.090	Horticulture New Zealand	Noise-NOISE-R9	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to ensure that the three permitted activity consideration apply in conjunction and not as alternatives. The term maximum noise should be avoided.	Reject in part FS403.181
S331.054	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	Noise-NOISE-R9	Oppose in part	Te Whatu Ora seek amendment of this rule to ensure that the three permitted activity consideration apply in conjunction and not as alternatives. The term maximum noise should be avoided.	Reject in part FS403.182