

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S559.001</b>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	Urban Sustainability and Affordable Infrastructure are of interest to Ngāti Rēhia, including better management of urban infrastructure, land and building resources to reduce wasted and insufficient use of existing land and infrastructure resources that increase the living costs. The studies alongside affordable housing options, would assist in meeting SD- UFD-O1.	Amend to undertake a feasibility and placemaking study utilising a modelling tool (like that completed by Whangarei District Council) to model the likelihood of plan-enabled development in Kerikeri-Waipapa and to shape the look and feel of the area.		Accept in part
<b>FS151.133</b>	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
<b>FS25.013</b>	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
<b>FS243.019</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part		Allow in part		Accept in part
<b>FS325.0010</b>	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
<b>FS277.2</b>	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Accept in part
<b>FS570.2191</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS348.028</b>	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.2205	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2227	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S559.032	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	This would help to meet SD-UFD-O1.	Insert design guidelines for the Kerikeri town centre in conjunction with the community and hapū.		Reject
FS151.340	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS243.021	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose		Disallow	Insert design guidelines for the Kerikeri town centre in conjunction with the community and hapū.	Accept in part
FS277.4	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.2222	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS348.059	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.2236	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2258	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S428.003	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Process	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	Amend PDP to include policies/rules/standards that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions related to the activities covered by district plans.		Accept in part
FS25.005	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports including provisions that encourage quality, compact built form to, among other things, reduce greenhouse gas emissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting.	Accept in part
FS325.005	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Accept in part
S449.003	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity,	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				<p>good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.</p> <p>Within close distance to Kerikeri township, there are limited opportunities to develop greenfield land for future growth. We consider that the PDP zoning, at present, does not focus on greenfield sites that are more appropriate for future growth, taking into account potential for infrastructure, connectivity, traffic, and other issues.</p>			
<b>FS25.008</b>	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Given anticipated growth in the Kerikeri and Waipapa areas, the FNDP zoning needs to focus on greenfield sites which are more appropriate for future development. The level of urban development required to meet expected demand cannot be provided by infill development alone.	Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate mapping and wording.	Reject
<b>FS407.002</b>	Far North Holdings Limited		Support	The submission is supported on the basis that it seeks intensification of urban areas subject to more appropriate infrastructure, good design principles and the use of alternative planning approaches i.e subzones / precinct to support urban outcomes.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS325.006</b>	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS277.10	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS569.1802	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Reject
FS570.1819	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow		Reject
SS29.003	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area for the reasons outlined in our previous submissions and discussions with council. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.		Reject
FS25.011	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate mapping and wording.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.014	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow	Reject
FS325.009	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support in part		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS403.002	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above.	Reject
FS570.1893	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1907	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1929	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S522.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Process	Support in part	We support intensification of the urban area for the reasons outlined in our previous submissions and discussions with council. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.			
<b>FS243.008</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	<p>Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental, and cultural wellbeing.</p> <p>Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns.</p>	Allow in part	Insert a broader suite of zones, if necessary, to secure business land requirements for the Kerikeri- Waipapa area such as a Town Centre zoning.	Reject
<b>FS407.003</b>	Far North Holdings Limited		Support	.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS277.6</b>	Jenny Collison		Support	.	Allow		Reject
<b>FS566.1741</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>S427.007</b>	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S427.017</b>	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	We consider that the PDP provisions for subdivision, land use and development need to place greater emphasis on character and amenity values, and promote 'placemaking' and other aspects that communities value.	Amend PDP policies/rules to have particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Reject
<b>S428.001</b>	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	<p>FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making.'</p> <p>FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning ...'</p> <p>-</p>	<p>Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making'.</li> <li>• FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it becomes 'business as usual' through our plans and strategies including the Long Term Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy, ....</li> </ul>	Reject
<b>S428.002</b>	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.	Reject Separate climate change processes

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S371.002</b>	Bunnings Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The Strategic Direction Chapter does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions to set a clear hierarchy of centres. Bunnings considers that the larger urban areas within the Far North, which would benefit from stronger policy direction with respect to economic growth and development.	Amend the strategic direction chapter to include stronger policy direction with respect to economic growth and development	Accept in part  Address with changes implemented
<b>S360.001</b>	Waste Management NZ Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Within the Strategic Directions, or in any other part of the Proposed Plan, there is no recognition of the waste management system as a critical component of the district infrastructure that is necessary to manage the effects of human activity within the Far North District, or of its supporting role in responding to the overarching strategic directions for the district. The lack of strategic-level recognition for waste management infrastructure and facilities is fundamentally flawed. This lack of recognition for the waste management network flows down into the specific provisions of the Proposed Plan	Amend Part 2 - District-wide Matters - Strategic Direction to recognise the importance that waste management facilities play in supporting the development and growth of the district	Reject  Strategic direction is very high level not necessary to mention detail
<b>S477.002</b>	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	As Mana Whenua, we are key strategic stakeholders within our rohe. This means that we are intent on diversifying our operations appropriately over time and along with it, increasing our commercial and property imprint within our rohe. Endemic and poverty related issues such as housing and historic underinvestment in critical infrastructure are within our immediate and future purview.	Amend the Plan as required to ensure that it enables, permits and promotes solutions to endemic and poverty related issues such as housing and historic underinvestment in critical infrastructure that disproportionately affect our whanau, hapu and iwi, as well as providing opportunities for adequate environmental protection and enhancement, commercial return and regional economic growth.	Accept in part  Address with changes implemented

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S516.001</b>	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora have concern with the way in which strategic direction for the Far North District Council has been expressed in the PDP. Ngā Tai Ora consider that the general language structure and drafting of the strategic direction objectives are inconsistent with other chapters of the PDP. The strategic direction chapters do not include policy and do not contain clear cross references to relevant policy located in other chapters.	Amend the objectives within the strategic direction sections to be consistent with the language structure/drafting format of objectives in other chapters of the plan. Amend the strategic direction sections to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>include policy, and</li> <li>incorporate clear cross referencing to relevant policy located in other chapters</li> </ul>	Reject
<b>S516.002</b>	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Under the National Planning Standards, the strategic direction provisions are key to understand the balance and trade-offs between often conflicting matters of national, regional and local importance. Ngā Tai Ora considers that the strategic direction should be more directive to understand the balance being struck and note that the proposed strategic direction objectives are not supported by any section 32 evaluation which considers the proposed objectives against section 32(1)(a) of the RMA to determine the extent to which the objectives are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA. This absence of section 32 evaluation for the strategic direction is considered to be a significant flaw in the PDP preparation.	Amend the strategic direction section/s to indicate the balance and trade-offs between often conflicting matters of national, regional and local importance; including undertaking background analysis as per section 32(1)(a) of the RMA to determine the extent to which the objectives are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA (inferred)	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S516.023	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	<p>Section 5(1) of the RMA 1991 states that the purpose of the Act "is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources." and section 5 (2) defines sustainable management which includes enabling people to provide for their health and safety. The Strategic direction objectives do not explicitly mention sustainable development and community health and safety.</p> <p>Ngā Tai Ora consider that sustainable development is a blueprint to promote, improve and protect community well-being by addressing the interconnected social, environment, and economic causes. The Strategic Direction chapters sets out the overarching direction for the District Plan, as such the objectives should refer to sustainable development and community health and safety.</p>	Amend all Strategic Direction objectives as necessary to refer to sustainable development and community health and safety.	<p>Accept in part</p> <p>Consideration needed of where this is to be addressed</p>
S516.024	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. Ngā Tai Ora consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives.	<p>Reject</p> <p>No policies to be addressed</p>
S516.026	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that the District Plan should encourage and facilitate a transition to greater provision of public and active modes of transport. This is crucial for maintaining and enhancing the accessibility and safety for people and communities. It is also important that strategic direction is provided regarding integrating land use and transport planning to achieve greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.	<p>Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective:</p> <p><b>Maintain and enhance accessibility and safety for communities and integrate land use and transport planning.</b></p>	<p>Accept in part</p> <p>Consideration needed to add in this</p>

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S516.028</b>	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that the provision of quality open spaces to enable recreational, cultural, community and educational use. Well designed and located open space is crucial for the social and cultural wellbeing of people and communities and Ngā Tai Ora consider that strong strategic direction is needed to ensure this is required at the time of subdivision and development.	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: <b>A range of quality open space for the social and cultural well-being of a growing population.</b>		Reject
<b>S522.007</b>	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.		Reject
<b>FS93.36</b>	Leonie M Exel		Support		Allow in part		Reject
<b>FS243.0010</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports mechanisms that address severe housing shortage in Te Tai Tokerau, which has seen demand outpace supply of affordable market homes, private rental accommodation, and public housing. Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental, and cultural wellbeing	Allow	Amend	Reject
<b>FS277.24</b>	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1746	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S516.027	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora consider that reverse sensitivity is a significant resource management issue with regards to public health and wellbeing. Locating sensitive activities (e.g., residential activities) adjacent to activities that create adverse nuisance effects (e.g., dust, noise, odour, spray drift etc.) can have detrimental effects for individual and public wellbeing. Likewise, locating activities that create significant nuisance effects (e.g., industrial activities) adjacent to existing established sensitive areas (e.g., residential or lifestyle areas) can have adverse effects. From Ngā Tai Ora's perspective, it is important that appropriate strategic direction is provided to address this.	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: <b>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects between incompatible activities and zones.</b>		Reject
FS129.1	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Reject
FS354.017	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S516.027	reject
S521.002	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS176.19	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
FS277.15	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS566.1712	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S559.007	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Oppose	Ngāti Rēhia would like to see climate change incorporated into the strategic direction.	Insert provisions on climate change into the PDP strategic direction and include a clear statement on how communities will be enabled to respond appropriately and to be resilient to climate change.		Reject
FS151.140	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.2197	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS348.034	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
FS566.2211	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.2233	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S559.010	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Water resilience is of great concern for Ngāti Rēhia, after the last draught and the impacts that had on available water supply, especially those that rely on surface water.	Insert provisions for water supply and resilience into the strategic direction chapter (inferred).		Reject
FS151.143	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS534.002	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.2200	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS348.037	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
FS566.2214	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.2236	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S559.011	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Ngāti Rēhia are the kaitiaki of the water resources within our rohe.	Insert an objective into the PDP that focuses on the relationship of tangata whenua to their ancestral waterways and the maintenance of that relationship.		Reject
FS151.144	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.2201	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS348.038	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
FS566.2215	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2237	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S559.049</b>	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Our whenua is rural and, in most cases, lack a water supply network. With the growth of Kerikeri and its surrounding area, more demand is being put on our groundwater systems and in our coastal areas these systems are sensitive to extraction (saltwater intrusion).	Amend so that high intensity development is not enabled unless serviced by a supply network or adequate on-site storage is provided to cater for extended dry spells droughts.		Reject
<b>FS151.358</b>	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
<b>FS570.2239</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS348.076</b>	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
<b>FS566.2253</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.2275</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>S338.006</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/develop ments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Context</li> <li>• Character</li> <li>• Choice</li> <li>• Connections</li> <li>• Creativity</li> <li>• Custodianship</li> <li>• Collaboration.</li> </ul>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.001	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Implementation of urban design principles is a cornerstone to achieving well- functioning urban environments.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting	Reject
FS325.001	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject
FS277.34	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.947	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.961	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.983	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S338.007	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.002	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Implementation of urban design principles is a cornerstone to achieving well-functioning urban environments.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject
FS325.002	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject
FS277.35	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS403.012	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject
FS570.948	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.962	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.984	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S483.031</b>	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	While Top Energy supports the inclusion of objectives referencing infrastructure broadly, it notes that the Strategic Direction Chapter is silent on objectives and policies for Regionally Significant Infrastructure. Top Energy consider that it is important that strategic direction is provided at this level for Regional Significant Infrastructure, and note that other District Plans in Northland have specifically included strategic direction in this regard. Such an approach is required to give effect to the provisions of the Northland RPS relating to Regionally Significant Infrastructure.	Insert new objectives as follows for 'Regionally Significant Infrastructure': <b>Regionally Significant Infrastructure is identified and protected. The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for. Avoid, remedy, mitigate or offset adverse effects arising from the development, operation, maintenance, and upgrading of Regionally Significant Infrastructure.</b>		Reject
<b>FS196.2</b>	Joe Carr		Support	Top Energy's request is a FUNDAMENTAL NECESSITY	Allow		Reject
<b>FS36.014</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
<b>FS78.042</b>	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose		Disallow in part	Disallow the submission in part.	Accept
<b>FS131.007</b>	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	Reject new objective as sought by the original submission (inferred).	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS304.007	Radio New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS354.014	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S483.031.	Accept
FS402.002	Te Whatu Ora - Health New Zealand		Support		Allow in part	Seek provision detail as above	Reject
FS346.056	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS345.082	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S339.016	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. TACDL considers that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District.</li> <li>• Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives.</li> <li>• Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.</li> </ul>		Reject
FS25.019	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	.	Allow	Allow the submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS111.003	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS325.015	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject
FS369.005	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Reject
FS403.016	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the amendment of the Strategic Direction Chapter to provide clear direction and include policies.	Reject
S344.001	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions to set a clear hierarchy of centres. There is no identification of small, medium or large centres. This lack of strategic direction and centres hierarchy is considered to a significant flaw in the plan that will hinder the ability to achieve a sustainable and compact urban form.	Amend to establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend provisions and zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy that achieves a compact urban form.		Reject
FS25.020	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	There are a range of centres in the Far North that fulfil different functions. It is important for the future vitality and sustainability of the various centres that there are appropriate provisions in the Plan to guide development and achieve optimal urban outcomes, including outcomes that support the wider communities.	Allow	Amend Plan to provide an appropriate hierarchy of centres.	Reject
FS243.029	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS370.0010	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS542.002	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS325.016	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Amend the District Plan to provide an appropriate hierarchy of centres.	Reject
FS406.003	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS406.065	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow	amend MUZ-R1	Reject
FS403.018	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject
FS396.022	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S522.016	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Amend PDP to maintain and enhance amenity values and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.		Reject
FS550.020	Lloyd Anderson		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.011	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose		Disallow	Amend	Accept
FS333.005	Maree Hart		Support		Allow	Amend zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 to Horticulture zone or Rural Production zone; Amend Rural Production, Horticulture and Rural Lifestyle zone provisions to prevent urban sprawl, and protect productive soil, rural character and amenity values; Amend the District Plan to strengthen provisions for assessing and preventing cumulative and long-term adverse effects on productive areas, rural areas, areas visible from public land, ecological values and freshwater.	Reject
FS62.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS277.30	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
FS566.1755	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS549.020	Vanessa Anderson		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS443.020	Peter O'Neil Donnellon		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS390.020	Tracey Schubert		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS353.020	Al Panckhurst		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS352.020	Kathryn Panckhurst		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS342.020	Chris Baker		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS338.020	Pearl Mahoney		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS337.020	Kevin Mahoney		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS336.020	Roger Holman		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS335.020	Craig and Mary Sawers		Support		Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS334.020	Fiona Clarke		Support		Allow	Allow original submission	Reject
S250.002	Willowridge Developments Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policies which give effect to proposed objectives.	Amend the Strategic Direction chapters to include policies which give effect to proposed objectives.		Reject
FS111.001	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support in part	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies to give effect to objectives in the Strategic Development Chapters	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject
FS369.002	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.007	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the inclusion of policies to give effect to objectives in the Strategic Development Chapters.	Reject
FS570.688	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.702	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.724	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S516.025	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain objectives and policy which give effect to objective 3.7 Regionally Significant Infrastructure of the Northland Regional Policy Statement. Ngā Tai Ora support recognition and promotion of the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure throughout the Far North District, particularly the importance of public hospitals.	Insert objectives and policies in the Strategic Direction chapters as follows: <b>Objective: The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for. Policy: To recognise and provide for the social, economic and cultural benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure by enabling its ongoing operation, maintenance, development, and upgrading where adverse effects are</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					managed.		
FS304.011	Radio New Zealand		Support	The submitter agrees with the original submission that the proposed objective and policy appropriately give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS354.016	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow S516.025 in part to recognise and provide for the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure.	Reject
S529.024	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Amend PDP to maintain and enhance amenity values and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.		Reject
FS243.015	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora encourage provisions that minimise barriers that constrain the ability to deliver housing development across the housing continuum. As such, policies and rules that look to control character and built quality should be written in a manner that recognises character and amenity values can be maintained and enhanced in a changing built environment and the positive affects arising from such development.	Disallow	Disallow	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1914	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1928	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1950	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
SS29.025	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design		Reject
FS243.016	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Oppose	Kāinga Ora encourage provisions that minimise barriers that constrain the ability to deliver housing development across the housing continuum. As such, policies and rules that look to control character and built quality should be written in a manner that recognises character and amenity values can be maintained and enhanced in a changing built environment and the positive affects arising from such development.	Disallow	Disallow	Accept
FS570.1915	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1929	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1951	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S338.047</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	We support intensification of the urban area. However, intensification needs to be carefully planned, with good design principles, appropriate infrastructure and adequate green open spaces for the community. Sub-zones or precincts (or whatever terms are now required by the National Planning Standards) need to be identified to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values. Sub-zones are needed to ensure that building height and density are reduced in a graduated manner moving out from the central area to high density residential areas and then lower density residential areas. Policies/rules are also needed to avoid pepper-potting multi-storied buildings in diverse locations in random fashion.	Amend the zoning framework to introduce more subzones or precincts as per the National Planning Standards to achieve good connectivity, good functionality and protect character and amenity values.		Reject
<b>FS243.018</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora agrees that a new Town Centre zone for Kerikeri would give recognition of its importance as a growing centre in the Far North. Furthermore, Kerikeri is the town centre least affected by flooding and therefore is more suitable for intensification as other centres are affected more significantly	Allow		Reject
<b>FS277.40</b>	Jenny Collison		Support		Allow		Reject
<b>FS570.985</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.999</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1021	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
SS16.007	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Ngā Tai Ora notes that the PDP does not include any form of direction by way of mapping or provisions to set a clear hierarchy of centres. There is no identification of small, medium or large centres, or rural/coastal settlements versus large towns. Ngā Tai Ora consider this lack of strategic direction and centres hierarchy to be a significant flaw in the Plan that will hinder the ability to achieve a sustainable and compact urban form, which it supports.	Amend the Plan to establish a centre hierarchy to set clear policy direction for the larger urban areas (e.g., Kerikeri, Kaikohe and Kaitaia) within the District, and amend provisions and zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy that achieves a sustainable compact urban form.		Reject
FS243.025	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and	Allow	Allow	Reject
FS403.013	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the commercial centre of Kerikeri.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support the review of the suite of commercial zones proposed and zoning that reflects commercial development.	Reject
FS370.013	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS542.003	Foodstuffs North Island Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS406.004	McDonald's Restaurants (NZ) Limited		Support		Allow allow the original submission	Reject
FS361.002	Willowridge Developments Limited		Support		Allow Allow the original submission	Reject
S516.029	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	<p>The PDP is largely silent on the need to maintain high-quality and safe urban environments. This can be achieved by requiring the inclusion of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles and traffic and pedestrian safety measures (e.g., slow streets, footpath width) for new subdivision and development.</p> <p>Ngā Tai Ora support a focus on high quality urban design, as such approaches promote public health outcomes, such as the provision of shade reducing heat and UV at the time of subdivision and development, whilst also achieving greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.</p>	<p>Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective and policy: <b>New objective: Ensure high quality urban design that responds positively to the local context and creates and maintains safe urban environments in the Far North District</b></p> <p><b>New policy: Ensure the application of high quality urban design by requiring subdivision and development to demonstrate how it will contribute to a compact, connected, distinctive, diverse, attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.</b></p> <p>Insert associated rules and information requirements (e.g., requirements for urban design assessments) as required in the zone chapters to give effect to this objective and policy.</p>	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.026	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support in part	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the commercial centre of Kerikeri	Allow	Amend	Reject
S344.005	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. There is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend and review the strategic direction chapter to provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. Insert appropriate policy into the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives. Amend to establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy.  Amend and evaluate proposed objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject
FS243.030	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns. This is particularly encouraged for the areas within a moderate walking distance of the commercial centre of Kerikeri	Allow	Amend and review	Reject
FS370.011	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS396.026	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S344.006	Paihia Properties Holdings Corporate Trustee Limited and UP Management Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The Strategic Direction does not provide high level policy direction with respect to sufficient provision of business land to meet demand. Policy should reflect and give effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Design.	Insert objectives and policies to give effect to NPS-UD as follows: <b>Objective:</b> <b>Ensure that there are sufficient opportunities for development of residential and business land to meet demand.</b> <b>Policy: To ensure that there is sufficient residential and business development capacity by zoning land where development is feasible and: Is serviced with development infrastructure; or Funding for development infrastructure is identified in the Long Term Plan.</b>	Reject
FS243.031	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that align development with the provision of adequate climate-resilient services and infrastructure and that enable varied, higher density housing around existing towns.	Allow Insert objectives and policies to give effect to NPS- UD as follows: Objective: Ensure that there are sufficient opportunities for development of residential and business land to meet demand. Policy: To ensure that there is sufficient residential and business development capacity by zoning land where development is feasible and: Is serviced with development infrastructure; or Funding for development infrastructure is identified in the Long-Term Plan.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS396.027	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S521.001	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making.' (p.5) FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 (attached as Appendix 1) sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning ...' -	Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making'.</li> </ul> FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it becomes 'business as usual' through our plans and strategies including the Long- Term Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy,	Reject	
FS403.001	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support	Development of spatial and strategic direction for District's urban centres is consistent with Te Whatu Ora's original relief sought to establish a centres hierarchy.	Allow	Seek provision details as above.	Reject
FS277.14	Jenny Collison		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject
FS566.1711	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S274.006</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Supporting documents, strategies and guidelines must be prioritised and completed with urgency to ensure safe, accessible and equitable sports and recreational infrastructure. Including: - Spatial Plan - Cycling Strategy - Urban Design Protocols for inclusive and safe environments promoting gender equality	Insert urban design into everyday practices, strategies and guidelines and give effect to these in the Proposed District Plan		Reject
<b>FS277.11</b>	Jenny Collison		Support	I would add walking as well as cycling	Allow		Reject
<b>FS403.0010</b>	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject
<b>FS570.796</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.810</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.832</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S427.018</b>	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design		Reject
<b>FS277.12</b>	Jenny Collison		Support	This should be written into the plan	Allow		Reject
<b>S522.006</b>	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/developments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Context</li> <li>• Character</li> <li>• Choice</li> <li>• Connections</li> <li>• Creativity</li> <li>• Custodianship</li> <li>• Collaboration.</li> </ul>		Reject
<b>FS277.23</b>	Jenny Collison		Support in part	To support Vision Kerikeri submission	Allow in part		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.026	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject
FS566.1745	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S338.018	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Amend PDP to maintain and enhance amenity values and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.		Reject
FS277.36	Jenny Collison		Support	I support Our Kerikeri submission	Allow		Reject
FS570.959	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.973	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.995	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S338.019</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design		Reject
<b>FS277.37</b>	Jenny Collison		Support	I support Our Kerikeri submission	Allow		Reject
<b>FS570.960</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.974</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.996</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>S371.001</b>	Bunnings Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Bunnings have general concern that the Strategic Direction chapter contains objectives for each topic, and not policies. The objectives need policies to demonstrate how they are going to be achieved in the Plan. It is also important at this strategic level of the Proposed Plan, that the policies provide clear direction for the consideration of resource consents where there is conflict between different areas of strategic direction. No clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective could lead to an ineffective plan	Amend the strategic direction chapter: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District.</li> <li>Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives.</li> <li>Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy.</li> <li>Evaluated objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives</li> </ul>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.022	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Allow	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject
S427.006	Kapiro Residents Association	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/developments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Context</li> <li>• Character</li> <li>• Choice</li> <li>• Connections</li> <li>• Creativity</li> <li>• Custodianship</li> </ul> Collaboration [inferred].		Reject
FS403.024	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject
S529.006	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/developments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Context</li> <li>• Character</li> <li>• Choice</li> <li>• Connections</li> <li>• Creativity</li> <li>• Custodianship</li> <li>• Collaboration.</li> </ul>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.028	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome	Reject
FS570.1896	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1910	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1932	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S433.002	GE Free Tai Tokerau	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support	Our community group shares the concerns of many Far North ratepayers/ residents about climate change (as well as any outdoor use of GE/GMOs) and would like to see FNDC join other councils (as well as the relevant agencies in NZ government) in prioritizing swift and appropriate action to combat climate change (while continuing to oppose any outdoor use of GE/GMOs, including risky, controversial, and unproven GE/GMO gene edited grasses, trees, or animals).	Amend the plan where possible to ameliorate climate change while continuing to oppose any outdoor use of GE/GMOs, including risky, controversial, and unproven GE/GMO gene edited grasses, trees, or animals.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1462	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS80.2	GE Free Northland		Support		Allow		Reject
FS566.1476	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1498	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S529.007	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.		Reject
FS570.1897	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1911	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1933	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S529.047</b>	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making.' FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning ...' -	Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making'.</li> <li>FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it becomes 'business as usual' through our plans and strategies including the Long Term Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy, ....</li> </ul>		Reject
<b>FS570.1936</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.1950</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.1972</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>S529.048</b>	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1937	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1951	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1973	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S529.049	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	Amend PDP to include policies/rules/standards that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions related to the activities covered by district plans		Accept in part
FS570.1938	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1952	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1974	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S521.003	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	Amend PDP to include policies/rules/standards that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions related to the activities covered by district plans.		Accept in part
FS566.1713	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S443.001</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation document noted the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision- making.' (p.5) FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 3 (attached as Appendix 1) sets out guiding principles and recognises the need to act now. It states that 'We will make climate change risks a key consideration in all our planning ...' -	Amend PDP objectives, policies and rules in order to implement Council statements on the need to address climate change in all planning and policy - to reduce climate emissions and help reduce the adverse effects of climate change: - FNDC's Long Term Plan consultation (p.5) recognised the importance of coordinating activities 'to reduce our carbon footprint and find ways to ensure climate change is addressed in all strategy, planning, policy and decision-making'. - FNDC's Climate Change Road Map 2020 stated that: 'We will operationalise climate change adaptation so it becomes 'business as usual' through our plans and strategies including the Long Term Plan, the District Plan, our Infrastructure Strategy, ....'	Reject
<b>FS569.1746</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>FS570.1726</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>S443.002</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The proposed District Plan presents an opportunity for the Council and communities to be proactive and to do significantly more to reduce emissions and protect and safeguard our communities and places against the effects of climate change.	Amend PDP to acknowledge that the climate emergency requires a new approach in the district plan right now.	Reject
<b>FS569.1747</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>FS570.1727</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S443.003</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP fails to address the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever possible now, and fails to adequately avoid or mitigate the anticipated effects.	Amend PDP to include policies/rules/standards that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions related to the activities covered by district plans.	Reject
<b>FS569.1748</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>FS570.1728</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>S449.007</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should enshrine the principles/design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol, and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council and also take on board more recent improvements/developments in quality urban design.	Insert the principles / design qualities of the Urban Design Protocol and Good Solution Guide 2007 North Shore City Council in the Proposed District Plan, and also take on board more, recent improvements in quality urban design - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Context</li> <li>• Character</li> <li>• Choice</li> <li>• Connections</li> <li>• Creativity</li> <li>• Custodianship</li> <li>• Collaboration.</li> </ul>	Reject
<b>FS569.1806</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>FS570.1823</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject
<b>S449.008</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments, such as the community goals and visions identified in the Community Plans published on Council's website.	Amend to include provisions that support urban design principles for quality and innovative developments that cater for mixed use, mixed dwellings and mixed income levels, whilst protecting and preserving the characteristics of respective townships and the things that communities value.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1807	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
FS570.1824	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject
S449.025	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Overall, PDP policies/rules should pay particular regard to the 'maintenance and enhancement of amenity values' (as required by s7 of the RMA) and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Amend PDP to maintain and enhance amenity values and include provisions that will protect the traditional and/or distinctive character of townships and rural areas, and other characteristics that are valued by local communities.	Reject
FS569.1824	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
FS570.1841	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject
S449.026	Kapiro Conservation Trust	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	The PDP should control the types, qualities and quantity of buildings occurring in towns such as Kerikeri. The PDP or other appropriate mechanism needs to set standards relating to older houses (sometimes in relatively poor condition) moved from elsewhere, low cost housing and rental housing, so that quality standards are maintained for affordable housing	Amend the PDP to preserve local character through the control of building types, qualities, quantity and design	Reject
FS569.1825	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Reject
FS570.1842	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S359.006</b>	Northland Regional Council	Significant Resource Management Issue 3	Support in part	<p>Request greater emphasis on response to climate change.</p> <p>There are climate change mitigation and adaptation responses relevant to district planning that could be set out now. Support the greater use of mixed-use zones and enabling greater density in urban centres subject to appropriate requirements for water resilience and minimising risk from natural hazards.</p> <p>While councils are not yet required to consider greenhouse gas emissions and climate change in their planning, these are matters that will be required to be addressed within the expected lifespan of the plan.</p>	<p>Insert strategic direction in the Plan on climate change, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A clear statement on how the district plan enables the district's communities to respond to climate change (e.g. an objective could be framed along the lines of "Far North District communities are prepared for the impacts of climate change and an equitable transition to a low emission economy", and policies could include: "Provide for development patterns that are resilient to climate change impacts" and "Support the inclusion of design features that take into account the impacts of climate change and the need to transition to a low-carbon economy in proposals for land use, subdivision and development").</li> <li>2. Signal that zoning, overlays and controls on subdivision, use and development are used to minimise risk from natural hazards, protect high value resources that enable climate change responses or are particularly vulnerable to predicted impacts (such as indigenous biodiversity, elite soils and renewable energy generation). They also promote development patterns and land uses and associated transport / infrastructure that enable emissions reduction (such as mixed-use zoning, higher residential density in serviced areas, renewable energy generation and special purpose zoning such as horticulture).</li> </ol>		Reject
<b>FS25.050</b>	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of providing direction that provides a clear statement of how communities will respond to climate change.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
<b>FS23.096</b>	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought by making changes to make appropriate provision for climate change	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS325.030	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	
FS570.1042	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.467	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1056	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1078	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S385.031	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	District Plan Framework	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. McDonald's consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: - Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District.  - Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. - Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy.  - Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject
FS172.157	Audrey Campbell-Frear		Support	For the reasons set out in this primary submission and in my primary submission to review commercial zones.	Allow		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS370.014	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS403.035	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora supports the establishment of a centres hierarchy with identification of growth aspirations to establish consolidated, vibrant urban environments.	Reject
S359.010	Northland Regional Council	Approach to Integrated Management	Support in part	Water resilience is a particular concern for the Far North district, as was highlighted in the 2019/2020 drought that exposed the vulnerability of existing supplies, primarily those that rely on 'run of river' and are highly unreliable during extended dry periods. We suggest this be embedded in the relevant sections of strategic direction chapter. We note drought is included in the District Wide Matters section on Hazards and Risks but feel the significance of these issues could be more strongly highlighted.	Insert provisions addressing the need for a resilient and reliable water supply (inferred)		Reject
FS25.054	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of the submission, subject to appropriate wording being provided.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS534.007	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS354.024	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S359.010	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation	
FS325.034	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS570.1046	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.471	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1060	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1082	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S516.078	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Relationships between spatial layers	Not Stated	<p>The PDP utilises a Mixed Use Zone (MUZ) for all existing urban centres, with no alternative commercial zones proposed. The National Planning Standards provide a range of commercial zones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Neighbourhood Centre Zone</li> <li>Local Centre Zone</li> <li>Commercial Zone</li> <li>Large Format Retail Zone</li> <li>Mixed Use Zone</li> <li>Town Centre Zone</li> <li>Metropolitan Centre Zone</li> <li>City Centre Zone.</li> </ul> <p>In the absence of a section 32 evaluation, Ngā Tai Ora are unable to understand why Council has chosen to only use one</p>	<p>Amend the Plan to provide clear strategic direction for a compact urban form and establish a centres hierarchy within the Plan. Reconsider the approach to commercial zones and reconsider the most appropriate zoning for existing centres and villages which accurately reflects existing and planned levels of development specific to those areas.</p> <p>Provide sufficient section 32 evaluation to support the approach to zoning.</p>	Reject	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S516.079	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Relationships between spatial layers	Not Stated	<p>Ngā Tai Ora also acknowledge and support the zoning pattern surrounding Kerikeri recommending that this pattern should apply throughout the District, which utilises zoning buffers (Rural Residential and Rural Lifestyle, or other zones that don't provide for such intensive forms of residential development) between the Horticultural and Rural Production Zones and the General Residential Zone. This zoning pattern provides separation between the higher density residential activities and the more intensive land uses anticipated within the Rural Production and Horticultural zones which will in turn assist in minimising the extent of adverse effects on people's health and safety, and also minimising reverse sensitivity effects. Mineral Extraction Overlays also appear to be well separated from residential zoned land. The Kawakawa, Kaikohe, Omapere, Rawene, and Kaitaia settlements however don't appear to have the same level of protection.</p>	Amend the zoning pattern of larger centres such as Kawakawa, Kaikohe, Omapere, Rawene, and Kaitaia, with further consideration given to managing adverse effects at the zone interface throughout the District.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S425.005	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Directions Overview	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. PHTTCCT consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives.	Reject
S511.019	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Directions Overview	Support in part	Disagree that objectives found in other chapters are subservient to the strategic objective. Agree that there is no hierarchy and over- arching does not of itself imply supervisory direction. Saying that interpreting and Implementing the District Plan that all other objectives and policies are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the strategic directions may not allow the plan to give effect to higher order documents such as the NZCPS or the RS or the NPS-FW. The imperative of all chapters' objectives and policies to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the Strategic Directions will not protect or maintain indigenous biodiversity in the Far North. The detail found in other chapters is where the plan gives effects to these higher order documents and should ensure that biodiversity is protected and maintained. Forest & Bird's proposed relief is the wording as approved by the Environment Court (with some modifications) in Darby Planning Ltd Partnership v QLDC [2019] NZEnvC 133 at annexure 2 clause 3.1B. See also Annexure 3 to this decision which is a Minute of the Court dated 29 March 2019 at [34] - [39] where this issue was discussed and the Court sought input on the suggested wording.	Amend: <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting, and implementing the District Plan, all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these Strategic Directions. There is no hierarchy between the stated Objectives (i.e. no one Strategic Objective has primacy over another Strategic Objective, and the Strategic Objectives should be read as a whole).</del> <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the</b>	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>		
FS67.107	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Accept
FS68.105	P S Yates Family Trust		Support		Disallow		Reject
FS69.102	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
FS66.187	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
FS164.019	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.139	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS570.1590	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1604	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow  Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1626	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow  Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.039	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Directions Overview	Support in part	<p>Disagree that objectives found in other chapters are subservient to the strategic objective. Agree that there is no hierarchy and over- arching does not of itself imply supervisory direction. Saying that interpreting and Implementing the District Plan that all other objectives and policies are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the strategic directions may not allow the plan to give effect to higher order documents such as the NZCPS or the RS or the NPS-FW. The imperative of all chapters' objectives and policies to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with the Strategic Directions will not protect or maintain indigenous biodiversity in the Far North. The detail found in other chapters is where the plan gives effects to these higher order documents and should ensure that biodiversity is protected and maintained. Forest &amp; Bird's proposed relief is the wording as approved by the Environment Court (with some modifications) in Darby Planning Ltd Partnership v QLDC [2019] NZEnvC 133 at annexure 2 clause 3.1B. See also Annexure 3 to this decision which is a Minute of the Court dated 29 March 2019 at [34] - [39] where this issue was discussed</p>	<p>Amend:For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting, and implementing the District Plan, all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these Strategic Directions. There is no hierarchy between the stated Objectives (i.e. no one Strategic Objective has primacy over another Strategic Objective, and the Strategic Objectives should be read as a whole).For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</p>	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				and the Court sought input on the suggested wording.			
<b>FS67.108</b>	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Accept
<b>FS68.106</b>	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
<b>FS69.103</b>	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
<b>FS66.188</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
<b>FS570.1735</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS346.650</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>S14.001</b>	Hinemoa Conner	Directions Overview	Support	The Far North District Council is to be congratulated on its vision and strategic direction relating to historic and cultural wellbeing and cultural prosperity. This will ensure that historic heritage and environment, which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori and cultural resources, and indigenous biodiversity, are managed to ensure long-term protection for future generations.	No change required		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS51.85	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT's primary submission (409) supports the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's historic heritage values.	Allow		Accept in part
S421.012	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Directions Overview	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports the directions set in the strategic overview. However, we have concerns with direction 6 in relation to the management of urban growth. It is important that the district plan recognises and acknowledges the productive capacity of land regardless of where that land is located. There could be circumstances where land is needed for housing, but that land contains productive soils. The Council will need a framework in its district plan that provides for compromises to occur to protect the productive capacity of land.	Amend listed point 6 of the strategic directions as follows: 6. The management of urban growth integrating existing and future infrastructure, providing sufficient land, or opportunity to meet growth demands for housing and business <b>while recognising the productive capabilities of the soils and location.</b>		Accept in part
FS196.13	Joe Carr		Support	this is a very necessary consideration where the activities on rural land are already constrained by adjoining existing residential land use/zoning	Allow		Accept in part
FS354.045	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S421.012	Accept in part
FS570.1244	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS346.246	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1258	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS569.1280	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
S359.005	Northland Regional Council	Directions Overview	Support in part	Request greater emphasis on response to climate change. There are climate change mitigation and adaptation responses relevant to district planning that could be set out now. Support the greater use of mixed-use zones and enabling greater density in urban centres subject to appropriate requirements for water resilience and minimising risk from natural hazards. While councils are not yet required to consider greenhouse gas emissions and climate change in their planning, these are matters that will be required to be addressed within the expected lifespan of the plan.	Insert strategic direction in the Plan on climate change, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A clear statement on how the district plan enables the district's communities to respond to climate change (e.g. an objective could be framed along the lines of "Far North District communities are prepared for the impacts of climate change and an equitable transition to a low emission economy", and policies could include: "Provide for development patterns that are resilient to climate change impacts" and "Support the inclusion of design features that take into account the impacts of climate change and the need to transition to a low-carbon economy in proposals for land use, subdivision and development").</li> </ul> Signal that zoning, overlays and controls on subdivision, use and development are used to minimise risk from natural hazards, protect high value resources that enable climate change responses or are particularly vulnerable to predicted impacts (such as indigenous biodiversity, elite soils and renewable energy generation). They also promote development patterns and land uses and associated transport / infrastructure that enable emissions reduction (such as mixed-use zoning, higher residential density in serviced areas, renewable energy generation and special purpose zoning such as horticulture).		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.049	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the intent of providing direction that provides a clear statement of how communities will respond to climate change.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS225.16	Pacific Eco-Logic		Support		Allow		Reject
FS36.016	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS23.095	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought by making changes to make appropriate provision for climate change	Reject
FS243.045	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Allow	Reject
FS354.044	Horticulture New Zealand		Support in part		Allow	Allow S359.005	Reject
FS325.029	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS570.1041	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.466	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1055	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1077	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S349.003	Neil Construction Limited	Directions Overview	Oppose	A better outcome for the submitters land is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	Delete or amend 'strategic directions overview' in Part 2 of the PDP to make greater provision for rural residential capacity.		Reject
FS36.017	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that large amounts of low density residential/rural residential development can result in an increase in private vehicle movements that impact the transport network and result in an increase in emissions.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
FS354.043	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S349.003	Accept
FS62.037	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS333.024	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
S385.006	McDonalds Restaurants (NZ) Limited	Directions Overview	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. McDonald's consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: - Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. - Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. - Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District, and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy. - Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject
FS111.022	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS370.015	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S363.006	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Directions Overview	Not Stated	The submitter identifies that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives and consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the strategic direction chapter to provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic Insert appropriate policy in to the Strategic Direction chapters to give effect to strategic direction objectives. That proposed objectives be evaluated in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS111.023	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
S363.007	Foodstuffs North Island Limited	Directions Overview	Not Stated	The submitter considers that the Strategic Direction does not provide high level policy direction with respect to sufficient provision of business land to meet demand and that policy should reflect and give effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Design.	Insert new objective and policy to give effect to NPS-UD as follows (or to similar effect): <b>Objective: Ensure that there are sufficient opportunities for development of residential and business land to meet demand. Policy: To ensure that there is sufficient residential and business development capacity by zoning land where development is feasible and: Is serviced with development infrastructure; or Funding for development infrastructure is identified in the Long Term Plan.</b>		Reject
FS111.024	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that the Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S356.002	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Directions Overview	Support in part	It is acknowledged that the PDP has been prepared in accordance with the higher order documents but would be good to acknowledge these within the Strategic Directions overview. Suggested amendments to include alignment with central government strategic direction and with the Northland Regional Policy Statement.	Insert new text as follows: <b>7. Alignment with central government strategic direction and National Policy Statements;</b> <b>8. Gives effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement.</b>		Reject
FS243.044	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that give effect to the National Policy Statement on Urban Development	Allow	Insert text and Allow	Reject
S511.020	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: <del>...For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS164.020	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.140	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS570.1591	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1605	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1627	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview:.... For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives. If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview. <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement):</b>		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed.		
FS570.1736	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.651	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S13.001	James Conner	Objectives	Support	The Far North District Council is to be congratulated on its vision and strategic direction relating to historic and cultural wellbeing and cultural prosperity. This will ensure that historic heritage and environment, which includes sites and areas of significance to Māori and cultural resources, and indigenous biodiversity, are managed to ensure long-term protection for future generations.	No changes requested.		Accept in part
FS51.83	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT's primary submission (409) supports the planning framework notified for the protection of the district's historic heritage values.	Allow		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S399.013</b>	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-01	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but are concerned that Council obligations under the Treaty are not explicit and the policies infer obligations on other parties.	Amend Policy SD-CP-01 to read as follows: Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnerships with Council supports iwi and hapū to deliver on the social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing outcomes for tangata whenua.		Reject
<b>S339.017</b>	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-01	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-01		Accept in part
<b>FS51.263</b>	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-01.	Allow		Accept in part
<b>S421.013</b>	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-CP-01	Support in part	Federated Farmers acknowledges the role tangata whenua play and often lead for outcomes for the district. We suggest minor amendments to the objectives to recognise that involvement of iwi and hapu alongside communities will enable better outcomes for the district as a whole and its communities.	Amend Objective SD-CP-01 as follows: Te Tiriti o Waitangi partnerships support iwi and hapū to deliver on the social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing outcomes for tangata whenua and the district as a whole		Reject
<b>FS570.1245</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept
						inconsistent with our original submission	
<b>FS346.247</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1259	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1281	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S399.014	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-02	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but are concerned that Council obligations under the Treaty are not explicit and the policies infer obligations on other parties.	Amend Policy SD-CP-02 to read as follows: Te ao māori, tikanga māori and tangata whenua as kaitiaki, embedded in and integral to Council decision making.		Reject
S339.018	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-02	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-02		Accept
FS51.264	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-02.	Allow		Accept
S300.001	Creative Northland	SD-CP-03	Support	Creative Northland as a leadership organisation mandated to build capability for Arts, Heritage and Culture - we realise the significance to the wellbeing of a healthy vibrant community across Te Tai Tokerau through the arts as a vehicle. We recognise that cultural prosperity is significant to a thriving community. Community celebration of multi-culturalism is important to the development of	Continue the development of the Arts, Cultural and Heritage Strategy to align frameworks for outcomes that can be achieved with partnership with existing organisations across the region. Having the Strategy is vital to set out priorities across the region for decision making and outcomes for community. Nurture the diverse cultures of the region- get locals more open to explore their cultural identity as a vehicle of empowerment. Have specific diverse cultured outcomes identified that it would like to see achieved- ie: a number of festivals to support the celebration of cultural		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				<p>community wellbeing, and spaces are integral to the success of community inclusivity. How can we help these spaces be better supported by council to engage community on a more frequent basis? Place making is significant to community and can be recognised through the evidence of Art and Design activation to make culture storytelling present and what many see as the heart of a community that is felt and recognised. These outcomes consider deeper stories and hold significant ties to history. Creativity and activation is a conduit - glue - bridge of bringing people together. This can also be achieved through festivals that not only celebrate space/ place but community- both domestic and international. How could Creative Northland in partnership with council help fulfill and encourage community activity and activation to in turn develop sustainable career pathways in the heritage and cultural fields. Our network spreads wide and far and we would be happy to understand the gaps and help fill need where required to help council achieve its strategy outcomes. 'He Whenua Rangatira' If the district is working to be one of sustainable prosperity and wellbeing then strong partnership are required to generate a strong waka to power in the direction of the council and communities desires. Creative Northland are in support to engage with you to help unite and empower growth of cultural identity as a region. We can support by engaging and uniting</p>	<p>diversity? More visibly see multi cultural presence integration via artworks/ infrastructure design / or culturally considered architecture - activated spaces along the cycle ways etc. Culture/ Heritage and Art as way of life evident within community</p>	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				a collective of organisations who already are working in this space for the greater community. A strengthened community will naturally draw attraction and the development / retention of highly motivated and educated skilled people to settle long term.		
S399.015	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-03	Support	We support the intent of these policies.	Retain Objective SD-CP-03	Accept
S339.019	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-03	Support	TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP-02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-03	Accept
FS51.265	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-03.	Allow	Accept
S399.016	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-04	Support	We support the intent of these policies.486.075	Retain Objective SD-CP-04	Accept
S486.075	Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa	SD-CP-04	Oppose	Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa believes that located within the northernmost district, Te Tai Tokerau will be exposed to extreme and tropical weather events including invasive pests and diseases. Efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tiroiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	Amend Objective SD-CP-04 as follows: The District's historic heritage is identified and managed to ensure its long-term protection for current and future generations, <b>climate change, including by engaging with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions.</b>	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation	
S390.061	Te Runanga o Ngai Takoto Trust	SD-CP-04	Oppose	The submitter opposes objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) as efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tiroiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	Amend objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) by adding: "...climate change, including by engaging with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions."	Reject	
S498.062	Te Rūnanga Ā Iwi O Ngapuhi	SD-CP-04	Oppose	The submitter opposes objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) as efforts to mitigate climate change are failing and are based on a Western scientific lens. Genuine engagement with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka and other ecological tiroiro will only be beneficial for our communities.	Amend objective SD-CP-04 (or SD-CP-05) by adding: <b>"...climate change, including by engaging with tāngata whenua and the utilisation of maramataka will lead to a broader and more effective array of solutions."</b>	Reject	
FS151.107	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow	Reject	
FS23.230	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission.	Reject
S339.020	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-04	Support	SupportTACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP- 02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-04	Accept	
FS51.266	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-04.	Allow	Accept	
S463.004	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-CP-04	Oppose	The outcome sought by this objective is unclear due to the conflation of social and climate change considerations. It is not apparent how the reference to	Delete Objective SD-CP-04 OR Amend to clearly specify the outcome sought by this 'Social Prosperity' objective in a manner that is able to be implemented through the	Accept in part	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				climate change adaptation is meant to be operationalised through policies and rules of the Plan and what weight this objective would be given in decision making. Therefore, the implications of the objective for resource consent applications are (unreasonably) uncertain.	Proposed Plan in resource consent decision making; AND Denote the objective prefix as "SP" rather than "CP".	
FS91.1	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We are opposed to deleting this point, as tangata whenua we support the promotion of communities and places in the Far North district that will serve the needs of both current population and future generations. These places should also be able to adapt to the effects of climate change.	Disallow	Accept
S389.015	Merata Kawharu Taituha, Renata Tane, Albie Apiata, Billie Taituha and Hirini Tane	SD-CP-05	Support in part	We could not find anything that clarified what the framework (at objective 5) looks like. These objectives need to be backed up with resourcing and plans. There needs to be a specific plan at Council level as to how it proposes to go about its work, and to be transparent to hapū/iwi about this.	Amend Objective SD-CP-05 to clarify te ao māori decision making framework, developed with iwi and hapu	Reject
S399.017	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-CP-05	Support	We support the intent of these policies	Retain Objective SD-CP-05	Accept
S477.008	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-CP-05	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences	Reject
S339.021	Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd	SD-CP-05	Support	Support TACDL supports the intention of the objectives, particularly in relation to SD-CP-01 and SD-CP- 02.	Retain Objective SD-CP-05	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS51.267	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Objective SD-CP-O5.	Allow		Accept
S561.012	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-CP-O5	Support	Kāinga Ora recognises the need to be adaptive to climate change as it will support the long term future of communities within the Far North District.	Retain SD-CP-O5 as notified		Accept
FS36.018	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	Supports the recognition of climate change in SD-CP-O5 as it aligns with government strategic direction through the Emission Reduction Plan, Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and aligns with the strategic direction of Waka Kotahi in Toitu Te Taiao.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept
FS32.066	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject
FS23.284	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept
FS47.026	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject
FS348.099	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
S300.004	Creative Northland	Overview	Support	We often get educated out of being creative (which is linked directly to wellbeing and identity). Without creativity how does change occur, how does development occur. Its about connecting and pushing the boundaries of what is possible. Being creative is not about just the outcomes but the process	Develop & invest in the creative sector/industry as part of the Arts Heritage and Cultural Strategy Long Term Plan. Recognise the significance of being Creative across the communities of Te Tai Tokerau- uplift creatives and its people whose abilities are multidisciplinary and can be utilised across sectors.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				<p>in which they are generated and how can creativity be addressed as significant to social equity in a community. I stand in support of developing wellbeing outcomes and access for all community and to acknowledge how cultural and creative sectors are a significant source of jobs and income that also generate important spillover effects to the wider economy. The creative economy and its industries are strategic sectors that if nurtured can boost competitiveness, productivity, sustainable growth, employment and exports potential. The Far North needs to better support the creative industries to drive innovation for the region and developing the Arts, Heritage and Cultural Strategy can help solidify outcomes and goals for across the region.</p>	<p>Effective delivery of a strategy will mean people and organisations are working together toward common goals/ outcomes. Collaboration is key to greater collective outcomes for all.</p>	
<p><b>S386.002</b></p>	<p>Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew</p>	<p>Overview</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>Ballantyne &amp; Agnew consider that the overview has insufficient detail to provide understanding of what is intended to be achieved by these objectives. At a minimum, this needs to be amended to provide the overarching context of what social and economic wellbeing means in the Far North District context, outline the issues that are being addressed, and how the PDP seeks to address them.</p>	<p>Amend the Strategic Direction chapter in include objectives and policies which provide the overarching context of what social and economic wellbeing means in the Far North District context, outline the issues that are being addressed, and how the PDP seeks to address them.</p>	<p>Reject</p>
<p><b>S511.021</b></p>	<p>Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand</p>	<p>Overview</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.</p>	<p>Delete from each sections overview: ...<del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker</p>	<p>Accept in part</p>

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p>then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview</p> <p><b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them</b></p>		
FS67.23	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow		Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS68.24	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
FS69.23	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
FS66.39	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
FS164.021	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS548.141	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part
FS570.1592	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1606	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1628	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.041	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a</del>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p>manner consistent with these strategic objectives</p> <p>If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview</p> <p><b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>	
FS68.25	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose	The purpose of the strategic objectives is to provide strategic direction and as such they should direct the outcomes in lower order objectives and policies in the Plan.	Disallow	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS69.24	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
FS66.40	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
FS570.1737	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.652	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S251.001	New Zealand Maritime Parks Ltd	Overview	Support in part	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. NZMPL consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: -Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. -Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. -Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy. -Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject
FS400.006	The Paihia Property Owners Group		Support	Submission 251 rightly notes that the underlying analyses related to the Coastal Environment provisions has not sufficiently considered the appropriate implementation of these provision in the urban environment. Specific provisions such a height limits and gross floor area restrictions (for example) require flexibility when considered against the urban environment values and existing environment.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS396.006	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS370.016	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S167.004	Bentzen Farm Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-O1 to SD-EP-O5		Accept in part
FS143.2	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	The encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing set out in these Strategic Objectives is supported.	Allow		Accept in part
FS51.126	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part		Allow in part		Accept in part
FS566.366	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Reject
S271.007	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	Insert corresponding policy to SD-EP-O4 regarded integrated transport networks :SD-EP- <b>PXXTo ensure multi modal integrated transport networks by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision for planned integrated transport networks is made at the time of development.c. Funding for integrated multimodal transport networks is identified in the Long Term Plan</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.065	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support in part	Supports the proposal subject to the inclusion of corresponding rules and supporting assessment criteria, specified triggers for this requirement as a subdivision involving only 1 or 2 additional lots is unlikely to warrant this requirement and other methods to secure this outcome for smaller scale developments without the need for a full ITA such as Council's Subdivision Standards and Structure Plans secured in the Plan through Precincts to give statutory weight.	Allow in part	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS36.019	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose		Disallow in part	Allow the intent of the original submission, however disallow the specific requirements until further clarified and moved to the transport chapter.	Accept
FS111.026	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS325.041	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support in part		Allow in part	Allow the original submission in part.	Reject
FS570.730	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.744	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.766	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S222.003	Wendover Two Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-O1	Accept in part
FS51.117	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O1	Allow	Accept in part
S187.004	The Shooting Box Limited	Objectives	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-O1 - SD-EP-O5.	Accept in part
FS51.121	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objectives SD-SP-O1 - SD-SP-O4.	Allow in part	Accept in part
S425.007	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	PHTTCCT consider that the District Plan should encourage and facilitate a transition to greater provision of public and active modes of transport. This is crucial for maintaining social and economic wellbeing of people and communities. It is also important that strategic direction is provided regarding integrating land use and transport planning to achieve greater connectivity for people and communities as an overall principle.	Amend Strategic Direction and insert the following objective: " <b>Maintain and enhance accessibility and safety for communities and integrate land use and transport planning.</b> "	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS36.020	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support	The submitter recognises accessibility, safety and integration of land use and transport planning align with governments strategic direction through the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and Waka Kotahi strategies such as Arataki - 30 Year Plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
S446.007	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult To understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	Insert corresponding policy to SD-EP-04 regarded integrated transport networks :SD-EP- <b>PX</b> To ensure multi modal integrated transport networks by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision for planned integrated transport networks is made at the time of development.c. Funding for integrated multimodal transport networks is identified in the Long Term Plan		Reject
FS111.025	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan.	Allow	allow original submission	Reject
FS111.027	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT agree that without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1766	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.1765	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject
S529.072	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	Insert corresponding policy to SD-EP-04 regarded integrated transport networks <b>.SD-EP-            PXXTo ensure multi modal integrated transport networks by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision for planned integrated transport networks is made at the time of development.c. Funding for integrated multimodal transport networks is identified in the Long Term Plan</b>		Reject
FS111.028	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies requiring integrated transport networks to be considered at the time of subdivision.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1960	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1974	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1996	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S524.007	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Objectives	Not Stated	Without policies, it is difficult to understand how the Strategic Direction is intended to be implemented throughout the plan. With specific regard to integrated transport networks, a policy is sought that provides this direction, and wording suggested.	Insert corresponding policy to SD-EP-O4 regarded integrated transport networks <b>.SD-EP- PXXTo ensure multi modal integrated transport networks by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision for planned integrated transport networks is made at the time of development.c. Funding for integrated multimodal transport networks is identified in the Long Term Plan</b>		Reject
FS111.029	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of policies requiring integrated transport networks to be considered at the time of subdivision.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1825	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S251.002</b>	New Zealand Maritime Parks Ltd	Objectives	Support in part	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain policy which give effect to proposed objectives. NZMPL consider that there is no clear policy direction to give effect to the proposed objective which could lead to an ineffective plan.	Amend the Strategic Direction Chapter to: - Provide clear direction for growth and development throughout the Far North District. - Include appropriate policy to give effect to strategic direction objectives. - Establish a centre hierarchy to set a clear policy direction for the larger urban areas within the District and amend zoning as necessary to implement the hierarchy. - Evaluate objectives in accordance with section 32AA to confirm that these are the most appropriate objectives.		Reject
<b>FS400.007</b>	The Paihia Property Owners Group		Support	Submission 251 rightly notes that the underlying analyses related to the Coastal Environment provisions has not sufficiently considered the appropriate implementation of these provision in the urban environment. Specific provisions such a height limits and gross floor area restrictions (for example) require flexibility when considered against the urban environment values and existing environment.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS396.007</b>	Ed and Inge Amsler		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS370.017</b>	Bunnings Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
<b>S356.003</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Objectives	Not Stated	There is a link between social prosperity and good accessibility to amenities and services which has not been referenced in the objectives. Inclusive access is one of five transport outcomes recognised by the Ministry of Transport - Transport Outcomes Framework.	Insert a new objective around having good accessibility to social and economic opportunities such as work, education and healthcare, and where practical, through provision of walking, cycling and public transport infrastructure.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.046	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental and cultural wellbeing.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part
S511.027	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	Suggest amending the 'EP' this is the same as the strategic directions for Economic Prosperity. This lead to confusion for plan users	Amend either the title Economic Prosperity or the title to Environmental Prosperity.		Accept
FS164.027	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept
FS570.1598	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.1612	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1634	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S511.034	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Not Stated	F&B considers the strategic direction objectives and policies should at a minimum provide for the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity, the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities for enhancement where indigenous biodiversity has been degraded.	Insert new objective <b>Indigenous Biodiversity is maintained.</b>		Reject
FS164.034	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.149	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept
FS404.086	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1605	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1619	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1641	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S425.006	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	Objectives	Oppose	The Strategic Direction chapters do not contain objectives and policy which give effect to objective 3.7 Regionally Significant Infrastructure of the RPS. PHTTCCT support recognition and promotion of the benefits of regionally significant	Insert objectives and policies in the Strategic Direction chapters as follows. <b>Objective: The benefits of Regionally Significant Infrastructure are recognised and provided for. Policy: To recognise and provide for the social, economic and cultural benefits of Regionally Significant</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				infrastructure throughout the Far North District (in particular the Trail), and the importance of protection of this infrastructure existing and planned) from incompatible land use and development as directed in policy 5.1.3 and 5.3.1 of the RPS.	<b>Infrastructure by enabling its ongoing operation, maintenance, development, and upgrading where adverse effects are managed. Policy: To protect existing and planned regionally significant infrastructure from adverse effects resulting from new subdivision use and development.</b>		
<b>FS369.085</b>	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to ensure that the plan provisions provide for and enable regionally significant infrastructure	Allow in part	allow in part the original decision	Reject
<b>S421.015</b>	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	In respect of the objectives for economic prosperity, Federated Farmers believes that it is more appropriate for the Council to recognise and provide for a high-earning diverse local economy which would include enabling the Māori economy to make a significant contribution. As written, objective SD-EP-O1 is unclear and slightly confusing. Is the purpose of the objective to enable Māori enterprises so that these enterprises can make a significant contribution to the district's economy? If this is what is actually intended, then it is more appropriate for an objective to be included which specifically refers to enabling and supporting Māori initiatives within the district's economy. It is also felt that the objective as currently drafted places an unfair burden on Māori initiatives and enterprises to contribute to the district's economy. It is inappropriate to place such an emphasis on one aspect of the district economy's without having the appropriate information that the contribution sought is achievable.	Insert a new objective which supports and enables initiatives and enterprises within the district's economy which are carried out by iwi and hapu.		Reject
<b>FS570.1247</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.249	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1261	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1283	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.047	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	Suggest amending the 'EP' this is the same as the strategic directions for Economic Prosperity. This lead to confusion for plan users	Amend either the title Economic Prosperity or the title to Environmental Prosperity.		Accept
FS570.1743	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.658	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S442.054	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Neutral	F&B considers the strategic direction objectives and policies should at a minimum provide for the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity, the maintenance of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities for enhancement where indigenous biodiversity has been degraded.	Insert new objective: " <b>Indigenous Biodiversity is maintained</b> ".		Reject
FS570.1750	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.665	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S148.012	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited	Objectives	Support	<p>SFNZ supports the objectives for economic and social prosperity and, in particular, objectives DS-SP-03, SD-EP-02, SD-EP-04, and SD-EP-05. The forest industry plays an important part in the social and economic prosperity of the Northland district. The Section 32 Rural Environment report estimates that "the Forestry and Logging sector in the Far North comprises of some 280 businesses and 173 workers. The average number of workers per business is around 1 (2016) and the total turnover (gross output) of the sector is estimated at \$134m (from all sources of business income), which contributes \$42m to the district economy (value added)".</p> <p>Opportunities arising from the Government's Forestry Industry Transformation Plan and the drive to move New Zealand to a biocircular economy have the potential to significantly increase the economic and social benefits to the District. The proposed District Plan needs to provide for flexible and adaptive land use so that landowners can respond to economic, climate, and environmental challenges without the delays and uncertainty of a regulatory process.</p>	Retain Objectives DS-SP-03, SD-EP-02, SD-EP-04, and SD-EP-05; Delete any policies, objectives, and rules from the plan that seek to constrain primary production activities beyond the evidence of adverse environmental effects; Delete any policies, objectives, and rules from the plan that seek to "pick winners" between primary production activities.	Accept in part	
FS346.518	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.124	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S386.003	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-SP-O1	Support in part	Objective SD-SP-O1 is considered to be too vague and does not provide sufficient direction for plan users or decision-makers. The objective does not distinguish between urban or rural environments or provide direction on what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'. There are no qualifying features of the objective to direct plan users or decision makers on whether this objective is or is not being achieved. Further, the objective is considered to inadequately align with Objective 4, Policy 6 or 8 of the NPS-UD. In the absence of section 32 evaluation of the appropriateness of these objectives, it is unclear what is meant by a 'sense of place' or what this outcome achieves.	Amend objective SD-SP-O1 to assist plan users and decision makers to understand what makes up a 'sense of place'.		Accept
S168.003	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-O1	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-SP-O1		Accept in part
FS51.122	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-O1	Allow		Accept in part
FS405.002	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Allow in part	disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S333.004</b>	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-SP-01	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-01 - SD-EP-05		Accept in part
<b>FS47.012</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	retain Policy PA- P2 as drafted in the Proposed District Plan	Reject in part
<b>FS405.005</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part
<b>FS569.036</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	retain Policy PA- P2	Reject in part
<b>S138.001</b>	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-SP-01	Support in part	Agree that community wellbeing is heightened by a sense of place and that the ability to live and work in the same settlement contributes to social and economic wellbeing. Housing affordability in urban settlements, particularly Kerikeri is having a negative effect on social and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-SP-01.		Accept in part
<b>FS405.001</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-SP-01 as notified, as it is too vague and does not distinguish between urban or rural environments, or provide direction on what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part
<b>S243.005</b>	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-01	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-SP-01		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS405.003	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-SP-O1 as notified, as it is too vague and does not distinguish between urban or rural environments, or provide direction on what characteristics or qualities make up a 'sense of place'.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part
FS570.563	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS566.577	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS569.599	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
S302.001	Kristine Kerr	SD-SP-O1	Support in part	Sense of place is not just about local iwi, it's about creating a sustainable and vibrant community for all. Design guidelines, town hierarchies and types of shop/ services located in each area needs to be set out.	Amend to provide for design guidelines for urban design and form, identify hierarchy of towns and districts with suitable design measures, and plan the specific type of services and facilities to be offered.		Reject
FS405.004	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew supports in part the submission point that SD-SP-O1 should be amended, but notes that in their original submission they seek the amendment of the objective to assist plan users and decision makers to understand what makes up a 'sense of place'.	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S222.011</b>	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-02	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-02		Accept
<b>FS51.118</b>	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-02	Allow		Accept
<b>S168.004</b>	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-02	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-SP-02		Accept
<b>FS51.123</b>	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-02	Allow		Accept
<b>S561.013</b>	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-SP-02	Support	Kāinga Ora note that as Māori make up 40% of the District's population and 17% of the District's land is Māori land, enabling development will support the wellbeing of Tangata Whenua now and into the future.	Retain SD-SP-02 be notified		Accept
<b>FS32.067</b>	Jeff Kemp		Oppose	.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
<b>FS23.285</b>	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS47.027	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject
FS348.100	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
S243.006	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-O2	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-SP-O2		Accept
FS570.564	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.578	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.600	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.049	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-SP-O2	Support	No reason stated	Retain SD-EP-O2.		Accept
FS570.1745	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.660	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S138.002</b>	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-SP-03	Support in part	Agree that community wellbeing is heightened by a sense of place and that the ability to live and work in the same settlement contributes to social and economic wellbeing. Housing affordability in urban settlements, particularly Kerikeri is having a negative effect on social and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-SP-03.		Accept
<b>S160.008</b>	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-SP-03	Support	The submitter considers that SD-SP- 03 recognises the value and importance of primary production activities across the Far North District and the cultural, social, environmental and economic wellbeing the sector brings to the district. Primary production provides employment, recreation and economic benefits to the region. It is important to recognise this and ensure equitable opportunities for all primary producers.	Retain SD-SP-03 as currently written.		Accept
<b>FS196.14</b>	Joe Carr		Support	the inclusion of this consideration is essential for the socio-economic wellbeing of the District	Allow		Accept
<b>FS346.578</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
<b>S222.012</b>	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-03	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-03		Accept
<b>FS51.119</b>	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-03	Allow		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS534.008	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	retain as notified	Accept
S168.005	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-03	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-SP-03.		Accept
FS51.124	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD-SP-03	Allow		Accept
S243.007	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-03	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-SP-03		Accept
FS570.565	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.579	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.601	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S477.009	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-SP-04	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S271.006</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	SD-SP-04	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being.	Amend SD-EP-04 People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through <b>multi modal</b> integrated transport network		Reject
<b>FS25.064</b>	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the recognition that the integrated transport network will be multi-modal (i.e., providing for private vehicles, buses, cyclists and pedestrians).	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
<b>FS36.021</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
<b>FS111.030</b>	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS325.040</b>	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
<b>FS570.729</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.743</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.765</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S222.013	Wendover Two Limited	SD-SP-04	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- SP-04	Accept in part
FS51.120	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-04	Allow	Accept in part
S168.006	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-SP-04	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain objective SD-SP-04	Accept in part
FS51.125	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support	HNZPT also supports the retention of Strategic Objective SD- SP-04	Allow	Accept in part
S446.006	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-SP-04	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. As noted earlier, it is considered that this is difficult to implement when strategic and spatial direction is lacking as the opportunity for triggers at development stage is missed and it sought that place holder are included throughout the plan to hold a place for the development of such documents (noting that the Transport Strategy does not appear to currently spatially identify any future transport networks). Without such guiding documents, it is unclear how the outcome sought by SD-EP-04 will be achieved, particularly given that there are no policies associated with these objectives. Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being. Accordingly the following amendment to SD-EP- 04 is sought.	Amend SD-EP-04 - People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through <b>multi modal</b> integrated transport network	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS111.031	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1765	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.1764	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject
S524.006	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-SP-04	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being.	Amend SD-EP-04 People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through <b>multi modal</b> integrated transport network		Reject
FS111.032	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1824	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S529.071	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-SP-04	Support in part	Integrated transport planning is a critical component to ensuring a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. Encouraging multi modal transport (e.g. cycling, walking and public transport), as a critical element to social and economic well being	Amend SD-EP-04 (inferred) People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through <b>multi modal</b> integrated transport network		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS111.033	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of multi modal transport.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1959	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1973	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1995	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S399.018	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-SP-04	Not Stated	The wording of this policy is ambiguous. As written, it implies that the future generations will be adaptive to climate change. We consider that communities and places should be adaptive to climate change to provide for future generations.	Amend Policy SD-SP-04 to read as follows: Promotion of communities and places <del>that will meet the needs for not only the present population but future generations</del> which are adaptive to climate change <b>and that will meet the needs for not only the present population but future generations.</b>		Accept
FS339.027	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Integrating consideration of climate change on an intergenerational basis accords with promoting sustainable management.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept
S356.004	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-SP-04	Support in part	Support inclusion of transport integrating with land use, however could be improved to better reflect transport outcomes (safety, choice, efficiency etc).	Amend objectives as follows: "People, business and places are connected digitally and through <b>an integrated transport networks that is safe, efficient and sustainable.</b> "		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.047	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports provisions that enable housing with good access to jobs, amenities and services and the co-location of activities to contribute to economic, social, environmental and cultural wellbeing. Kāinga Ora supports provisions that responds to the impacts of climate change by increasing resilience and enabling adaptation.	Allow	Allow	Accept
S243.008	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-SP-04	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-SP-04		Accept in part
FS570.566	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	c
FS566.580	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.602	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S168.007	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-01	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-EP-01		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S389.016	Merata Kawharu Taituha, Renata Tane, Albie Apiata, Billie Taituha and Hirini Tane	SD-EP-01	Support in part	As recognised elsewhere, there will be costs with adapting to climate change. Māori businesses will not be immune from these. Given the emphasis in the objective on the Māori economy (and that much of this economy in the region is land-based), it will be important to ensure that the businesses are supported in the transition to a low carbon/low emissions economy, i.e., to become 'sustainable', and 'resilient'. It is important to state these matters explicitly. Resilience and sustainability is not just couched in economic terms.	Amend Objective SD-EP-01 as follows: A high-earning diverse local economy which is sustainable and resilient to economic downturns, with the District's Māori economy making a significant contribution, <b>supporting Māori businesses in adapting to climate change particularly where there are costs.</b>		Reject
S399.019	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-01	Not Stated	The Far North economy is heavily reliant on a healthy environment. The environment is not mentioned in any of these policies	Amend Objective SD-EP-01 as follows: A high-earning diverse local economy which is <b>environmentally and economically sustainable and resilient to economic downturns, with the District's Māori economy making a significant contribution.</b>		Reject
S511.028	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-01	Support		Retain		Accept
FS164.028	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept
FS570.1599	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1613	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1635	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
S222.014	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-01	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-01		Accept
FS534.009	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees that the direction of travel signalled by this objective (economic diversity, resilience and sustainability) is appropriate.	Allow	retain as notified	Accept
S243.009	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-01	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-01		Accept
FS570.567	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.581	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.603	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S421.014	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-EP-01	Support in part	In respect of the objectives for economic prosperity, Federated Farmers believes that it is more appropriate for the Council to recognise and provide for a high-earning diverse local economy which would include enabling the Māori economy to make a significant contribution. As written, objective SD-EP-01 is unclear and slightly confusing. Is the purpose of the objective to enable Māori enterprises so that these enterprises can make a significant contribution to the district's economy? If this is what is actually intended, then it is more appropriate for an objective to be included which specifically refers to enabling and supporting Māori initiatives within the district's economy. It is also felt that the objective as currently drafted places an unfair burden on Māori initiatives and enterprises to contribute to the district's economy. It is inappropriate to place such an emphasis on one aspect of the district economy's without having the appropriate information that the contribution sought is achievable.	Amend Objective SD-EP-01 as follows: A high-earning diverse local economy which is sustainable and resilient to economic downturns, <del>with the District's Māori economy making a significant contribution.</del>		Reject
FS570.1246	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.248	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1260	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1282	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.048	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-01	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-EP-01.		Accept
FS570.1744	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.659	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S222.015	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-02	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-02		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S159.026</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-EP-02	Support in part	The district's economic and social wellbeing, including social and economic prosperity should include existing and new industries	Amend Objective SD-EP-02 as follows: Existing <b>and new</b> industries and enterprises are supported and continue to prosper under volatile and changing economic conditions.		Accept in part
<b>FS151.180</b>	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept
<b>FS534.0010</b>	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support		Allow	amend to refer to new activities as well as existing	Accept
<b>FS570.188</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.202</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.224</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S511.029</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O2	Support		Retain		Accept in part
<b>FS164.029</b>	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
<b>FS570.1600</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS566.1614</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS569.1636</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
<b>S243.010</b>	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O2	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-O2		Accept in part
<b>FS570.568</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.582	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS569.604	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
S143.004	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	SD-EP-03	Support	Ballance supports protecting ecosystems particularly via weed and pest control	retain the objective SD EP - O3		Accept
S168.009	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-03	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing	Retain Objective SD-EP-03		Accept
S222.016	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-03	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-03		Accept
S511.030	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-03	Support		Retain		Accept
FS164.030	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1601	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.1615	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1637	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
S243.011	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-O3	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-O3		Accept
FS570.569	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.583	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.605	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S442.050	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-03	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-EP-03.		Accept
FS570.1746	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.661	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S168.010	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-04	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Objective SD-EP-04		Accept in part
S425.008	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust	SD-EP-04	Support in part	Ensuring integrated transport planning which includes multi modal solutions, is a critical component to establishing a coordinated response to land use development and good urban design outcomes. As noted in sub#5 of this submission the lack of policies makes it difficult to understand how the outcome sought by SD-EP-04 will be achieved. Given the lack of spatial planning documents identifying these strategic networks, policy direction starting with the Strategic Direction Chapter is critical to ensuring connectivity.	amend SD-EP-04 - People, businesses and places are connected digitally and through multi modal integrated transport network. Include corresponding policy to SD-EP-04 regarded integrated transport networks: <b>SD-SP- PX</b> To ensure multi modal integrated transport networks <b>by:a. Requiring Integrated Transport Assessments at the time of subdivision.b. Ensuring that provision is made for planned future transport networks at the time of land use and development.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S222.017	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-04	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-04		Accept in part
S511.031	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-04	Support		Retain		Accept in part
FS164.031	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS548.147	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part
FS570.1602	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1616	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1638	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S243.012	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-04	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-04		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.570	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS566.584	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
FS569.606	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject in part
S442.051	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O4	Support	No reason stated.	Retain SD-EP-O5.		Accept
FS570.1747	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS346.662	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S168.011	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-O5	Support	The strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain objective SD-EP-O5		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S222.018	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-05	Support	These strategic objectives are supported, in particular the encouragement of opportunities for fulfilment of the community's cultural, social, environmental, and economic wellbeing.	Retain Strategic Objectives SD- EP-05		Accept
S477.010	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-EP-05	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences		Reject
S561.014	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-EP-05	Support in part	Kāinga Ora note that not only will there be financial costs arising from a changing climate, but also there will be a need to carrying out economic activity in different ways than is currently practiced.	Amend SD-EP-05 (inferred) A district economy that is responsive, resilient and adaptive to the financial costs <del>of</del> <b>and changing economic activity driven by a changing climate.</b>		Reject
FS32.068	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
FS23.286	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Reject
FS47.028	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS348.101	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
S511.032	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-05	Support in part	RMA, s6(a) says preserve natural character and it includes waterbodies and their margins. RMA s6(b) says protect ONL and ONFs. In order to preserve something they must first be identified if they have not been already	Amend ...The natural character of the coastal environment, <b>waterbodies and their margins are preserved</b> , and outstanding natural features and landscapes are <b>identified</b> and managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations		Reject
FS164.032	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.148	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept
FS404.085	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1603	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1617	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1639	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S511.033	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-05	Support in part	In order to protect something they must first be identified if they have not been already. This may have been a typo as well given that the objective has an 'and' in front of 'protected' which implies that something is missing from this objective	Amend ... fauna <del>and</del> <b>are identified and protected</b> ...		Reject
FS164.033	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS570.1604	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1618	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1640	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S243.013	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-05	Support	This strategic objective is supported	Retain Objective SD-EP-05		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.571	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.585	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.607	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.052	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	RMA, s6(a) says preserve natural character and it includes waterbodies and their margins. RMA s6(b) says protect ONL and ONFs. In order to preserve something they must first be identified if they have not been already.	Amend ..."The natural character of the coastal environment, <b>waterbodies and their margins are preserved</b> , and outstanding natural features and landscapes are <b>identified</b> and managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations."		Reject
FS570.1748	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.663	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S554.001	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited	Overview	Oppose	The PDP should be amended to give effect to the NPS-UD, particularly to enable development that can provide for and contribute to a well- functioning urbanenvironment for Kerikeri / Waipapa. Far North District Council is a Tier 3 territorial authority because it has	Amend the assessment against the NPS- Urban Development and confirm that Kerikeri is an "urban environment" given the existing urban character, existing population and projected population in the medium term and classify Far North District Council as a Tier 3 local authority.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				all of an urban environment in its district. Kerikeri and Waipapa area is considered to be an urban environment now because it is predominantly urban in character and is or is intended to be part of a housing and labour market of at least 10,000 people. Refer to the Economic Assessment, prepared by Urban Economics in the full submission.			
FS172.339	Audrey Campbell-Frear		Support	For the reasons stated in this primary submission.	Allow		Accept in part
FS32.004	Jeff Kemp		Support in part		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to consideration of traffic movements, flood mitigation measures and amending the zoning as depicted in the original submission.	Accept in part
FS389.007	Smartlife Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
S386.004	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Overview	Support in part	Ballantyne and Agnew support the intention of the Urban Form and Development chapter, and considers this can be improved with the incorporation of a centres hierarchy that demonstrates how growth in the Far North will be directed under the district plan. Further, the overview does not provide any direction on how the different urban zones, whether they be urban or residential, integrate to either support or relate to each other. There is no consideration of the transportation network and how this key infrastructure asset contributes to urban form, development and growth.	Amend the Urban Form and Development Chapter overview and objectives to at a minimum incorporate the following: - Centres hierarchy - to inform how towns will respond to and provide for growth; - Centres hierarchy that informs how and where rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement zoning, and at what point it will be provided; - Clear objectives that outline the purpose of business land and how activities anticipated in this environment are sufficiently provided for; and Recognition of the transport network and the key role it plays in connecting these places, people and goods to the local, regional, national and global market.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>FS36.025</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support in part	Supports the intention of this submission in terms of considering urban form and transport with a centres hierarchy.	Allow in part	Amend urban form and development overview so transport outcomes further align with Central Government and Waka Kotahi strategies including the National Policy Statement on Urban Design, Government Policy Statement on Transport, Arataki and Aotearoa Urban Street planning and Design Guide.	Reject
<b>S349.004</b>	Neil Construction Limited	Overview	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	Delete the Overview or amend to reinforce the importance of additional rural residential development in meeting the District's objectives in respect of urban form and development		Reject
<b>FS36.026</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that the overview section provides important narrative which aligns with government outcomes sought in the NPS-UD as well as Waka Kotahi strategic direction and therefore should be retained. Rural residential outcomes are appropriately provided for within the relevant zone provisions.	Disallow	Disallow original submission.	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS405.006	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part disallow in part the original submission	Accept
FS62.038	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
FS333.025	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
S511.022	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <b>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</b> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation</b>	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<b>(including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them</b>		
<b>FS164.022</b>	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
<b>FS405.008</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part original submission	Reject
<b>FS548.142</b>	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject
<b>FS570.1593</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS566.1607</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1629	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.042	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of</b>	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<b>the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b>		
<b>FS405.007</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Whilst Ballantyne & Agnew agrees that the overview needs to be amended, in their original submission, they sought to amend the Urban Form and Development Chapter overview by incorporating a centre hierarchy and creating clear objectives.	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject
<b>FS570.1738</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS346.653</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
<b>S218.001</b>	Summerset Group Holdings Limited	Objectives	Not Stated	expresses support for the submission of the Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand (submission 520) in its entirety	Insert new strategic objective SD-UFD-OXX: Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.		Reject
<b>S520.001</b>	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	Objectives	Not Stated	Seek an objective to provide for the housing and care needs of the ageing population;	Insert new strategic objective <b>SD-UFD-OXX:</b> <b>Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S247.002	Margaret Sheila Hulse and John Colin Hulse	Objectives	Not Stated	We are-concerned that no further residential subdivisions should be approved before there is enough medical infrastructure within Kerikeri and Waipapa areas to support extra families living here. Our chief concern is that the focal GP practices have closed their books to new patients, and with more people being allowed to settle here they will not be covered with adequate medical faculties- should they need it, despite being- told to the contrary. A number of local residents have agreed with us that this is an ongoing issue which will get worse if not addressed	insert new policy Avoid subdivision and development that would enable housing and population growth in areas where primary medical care services arenot available or inadequate tosupport the wellbeing, health andsafety of additional people."	Reject
S516.021	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	Objectives	Not Stated	Natural hazards have significant implications for public health. Climate change is a significant challenge facing the world, and the PDP needs to have clear strategic direction about how the risk and implications of climate change and other natural hazards are going to be managed in future subdivision and development. The PDP must acknowledge and minimise the risks and impacts of natural hazards, including the influence of climate change, on people, property and infrastructure, consistent with objective 3.13 Natural hazard risk of the Northland Regional Policy Statement. SD- UFD-O4 is inconsistent with proposed NH-O1 and NH-O2 which seek to manage/minimise risk from natural hazards rather than adapt to natural hazards.	Insert new objective as follows: <b>Minimise the risks, impacts and costs of natural hazard events on people, communities and the natural and built environment in Far North District.</b>	Reject
S356.009	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	Objectives	Not Stated	Consider adding new objectives:- to support good urban design including good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, recreational spaces, including by way of active and public transport where practicable; - the	Insert new objectives to address: - good urban design, including good accessibility for all people between housing, jobs, community services, recreational spaces, including by way of active and public transport where practicable; and provision for a range of zones to meet expected	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				provision of a range of zones to meet the demands of the district and support wellbeing;	demand for the district and to support wellbeing.		
FS25.021	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS25.047	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS243.048	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Insert new objectives	Reject
FS325.017	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original decision subject to appropriate drafting.	Reject
FS325.027	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
S561.018	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	Objectives	Support in part	Support the objectives as notified, with the addition of a new policy which supports higher density residential development within a walkable catchment surrounding Kerikeri Town Centre.	Insert new Objective SD-UFD- O5 <b>Enable higher residential intensification in the area within moderate walking distance around Kerikeri Town Centre.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS584.008	Peter Malcom		Support	Support enabling building heights up to 3 storeys (11m) around the Kerikeri Town Centre and other centres including Kaikohe. There is currently a shortage of affordable and public housing within this area. Central Kerikeri is an appropriate location to enable residential intensification as it has sufficient servicing, low natural hazard risk and is accessible to public transport, services and amenities. Enabling intensification within the Kerikeri Town Centre will help reduce sprawl, improve economic viability and promote vibrant communities.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS25.048	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS36.022	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS32.072	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
FS348.002	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
FS325.028	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS23.290	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Reject
FS47.032	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS348.105	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S271.008	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	Insert an additional objective and policy that acknowledges the importance of urban design in achieving integrated development and good urban form and development outcomes. <b>SD-UFD-OXXUrban growth and development is high quality and responds positively to the local context and outcomes expected for the zone.SD-UFD-PXXTo manage change in urban environments by ensuring a high level of amenity through quality urban design by:</b> a. Identifying areas where active frontages are required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS25.066	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
FS36.023	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Support in part		Allow in part	Amend urban form and development objectives so transport outcomes further align with Central Government and Waka Kotahi strategies including the National Policy Statement on Urban Design, Government Policy Statement on Transport, Arataki and Aotearoa Urban Street planning and Design Guide	Reject
FS325.042	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS403.064	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora support quality and compact urban form, urban design strategies and guidelines will contribute to this outcome.	Reject
FS570.731	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.745	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.767	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S349.005</b>	Neil Construction Limited	Objectives	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	Delete Objectives SD-UFD-O1, SD-UFD-O2, SD-UFD-O3, and SD-UFD-O4 or amend to reinforce the importance of additional rural residential development in meeting the District's objectives in respect of urban form and development		Reject
<b>FS36.024</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency		Oppose	The submitter considers that the Urban Form and Development Objectives form an input framework to guide urban outcomes in the Far North. The proposed objectives align with government outcomes sought in the NPS-UD as well as Waka Kotahi strategic direction and therefore should be retained. Rural residential outcomes are appropriately provided for within the relevant zone provisions.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
<b>FS354.046</b>	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S349.005	Accept
<b>FS403.065</b>	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above:	Reject
<b>FS62.039</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
<b>FS333.026</b>	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S511.035</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	The objectives lack recognition of the importance of indigenous biodiversity in relation to urban development. This includes protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity and recognizing the value of it to communities and to achieving well functioning urban environments. The NPSUD 2020 includes a number of considerations for well- functioning urban environments but this is not an exclusive list. Indigenous biodiversity is not only appropriate within urban areas for its own sake but also to provide for social and cultural wellbeing and making these environments attractive. It should be clear at a strategic level within the plan that urban development and infrastructure is intended to be provided in a way that incorporates and sustains indigenous biodiversity	Insert an additional clause to SD-UFD as follows: <b>Urban growth and development incorporates and sustains indigenous biodiversity</b>		Reject
<b>FS164.035</b>	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
<b>FS570.1606</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS566.1620</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
<b>FS569.1642</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S463.006	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Objectives	Support	In the KCZ s32 report Council outlines its reservations about retaining a provision like ODP policy 18.7.4.9.WBF continues to hold the view that given the significance of the various Special Purposes Zones to the Far North district, it is appropriate to recognise the Special Purposes Zones in the Strategic Direction chapter.WBF proposes that policy guidance be included to address circumstances where a proposal on land adjoining a Special Purpose Zone may adversely affect the special values in, or functions of, the adjoining Special Purpose Zone.For want of a better option, the proposed policy is suggested to be added to the UFD policies of the Strategic Direction chapter, but WBF is open to alternative place in the chapter.	Insert a new UFD objective in the Strategic Direction chapter as follows: <b>Activities on land adjoining a Special Purpose Zone are managed to have regard to, and avoid or mitigate potential adverse effects on, the unique values or functions of the Special Purpose Zone.</b>		Reject
FS401.034	Carrington Estate Jade LP and Carrington Farms Jade LP		Support	The reasons contained within the Original Submission No 463	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
S446.008	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. This chapter provides the first opportunity for a 'top down' approach to ensure that this is not the case going forward and that integrated development resulting in good urban design outcomes is achieved.The objective in this chapter to this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy	Insert additional objective that acknowledged the importance of urban design in achieving integrated development and good urban form and development outcomes. <b>SD-UFD-OUrban growth and development is high quality and responds positively to the local context and outcomes expected for the zone.SD-UFD-PXTo manage change in urban environments by ensuring a high level of amenity through quality urban design by:a. Identifying areas where active frontages are required to</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.</b></p>		
<b>FS403.067</b>	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Allow in part	Seek provision details as above .....	Reject
<b>FS569.1767</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow		Reject
<b>FS570.1766</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow		Reject
<b>S524.008</b>	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes.The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	<p>Insert an additional objective and policy that acknowledges the importance of urban design in achieving integrated development and good urban form and development outcomes.<b>SD-UFD-OXXUrban growth and development is high quality and responds positively to the</b></p>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>local context and outcomes expected for the zone.SD-UFD-PXXTo manage change in urban environments by ensuring a high level of amenity through quality urban design by:a. Identifying areas where active frontages are required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environmentb. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.</b></p>		
<p><b>FS403.068</b></p>	<p>Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora</p>		<p>Support in part</p>	<p>Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.</p>	<p>Allow in part</p>	<p>Seek provision details as above ....</p>	<p>Reject</p>
<p><b>FS566.1826</b></p>	<p>Kapiro Conservation Trust 2</p>		<p>Support</p>		<p>Allow</p>	<p>Allow the original submission</p>	<p>Reject</p>

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S529.073	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	The District urban centers have been ad hocly developed, in most cases resulting in poor urban design outcomes. The objective in this chapter does this to an extent, but an additional objective should be included that expressly identifies the importance of urban design in insuring good urban form and development. See suggested wording for new objective and corresponding policy.	<p>Insert an additional objective and policy that acknowledges the importance of urban design in achieving integrated development and good urban form and development outcomes. <b>SD-UFD-OXXUrban growth and development is high quality and responds positively to the local context and outcomes expected for the zone. SD-UFD-PXXTo manage change in urban environments by ensuring a high level of amenity through quality urban design by:</b></p> <p><b>a. Identifying areas where active frontages are required to support a vibrant and pedestrianized environment</b></p> <p><b>b. Requiring development in urban centers to show how they will contribute to a connected, distinctive attractive, appropriate, sustainable and safe urban form.</b></p> <p><b>c. Ensuring that development responds to local context, including through alignment with relevant spatial or strategic document.</b></p>	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.069	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Allow in part	Seek provision details as above ...	Reject
FS570.1961	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1975	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS569.1997	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S442.055	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	The objectives lack recognition of the importance of indigenous biodiversity in relation to urban development. This includes protecting and maintaining indigenous biodiversity and recognizing the value of it to communities and to achieving well functioning urban environments. The NPSUD 2020 includes a number of considerations for well- functioning urban environments but this is not an exclusive list. Indigenous biodiversity is not only appropriate within urban areas for its own sake but also to provide for social and cultural wellbeing and making these environments attractive. It should be clear at a strategic level within the plan that urban development and infrastructure is intended to be provided in a way that incorporates and sustains indigenous biodiversity.	Insert an addition clause to SD- UFD as follows: <b>Urban growth and development incorporates and sustains indigenous biodiversity.</b>		Reject
FS570.1751	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.666	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S300.003	Creative Northland	SD-UFD-O1	Support	<p>To consider the wellbeing of the people who live in and visit towns in the Far North is to have a well understood voice or representation of outcomes. Often wellbeing can be measured through place making and the significance of creativity within the community as a strong vein that weaves community together. Creative Northland are able to instill through a strategy a national understanding that Creative NZ have for community- this is Whiria te Tangata, whiria nga toi, whiria nga hapori (Weave the people, weave the arts, weave the community) for a thriving community. Creativity activates inclusivity and connection on many levels and in the many forms it can represent and be experienced.</p> <p>To unite a multifaceted community I stand to make sure creativity is alive and evident in our towns to aid the wellbeing of the people of Te Tai Tokerau.</p> <p>These outcomes should encompass cultural practice and inclusion for all so there is cultural integrity leadership and practice to always have place within community as an expression of wellbeing.</p> <p>A key outcome would be to instill education around wellbeing and how access to the arts is a vehicle for this outcome. This in turn will develop greater identity, economic growth and opportunity for those visiting who know and can feel the community spirit expressed through art outcomes and access opportunities.</p>	<p>Greater education of wellbeing across community for all ages- What does this look like from council? Work with Creative Northland to generate more opportunities of participation and access within community using arts as a vehicle to increase wellbeing for individuals and community.</p> <p>Provide opportunity for rural community to access opportunities that are in main centres to empower and inspire growth and potential opportunity for new economic &amp; social development &amp; equity.</p>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S386.005</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	This objective is considered to be in conflict with Part II of the RMA, and affords people priority over other natural and physical resources that form the environmental. Ballantyne & Agnew oppose this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes.	Delete objective SD-UDF-01		Reject
<b>S356.005</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-01	Support in part	SD-UFD-01 is unclear and appears to be difficult to interpret within an RMA decision making process. Consider deleting this objective or amend to provide more clarity and certainty, perhaps more in line with NPS-UD Objective 1.	Amend objectives to provide more clarity on how it might be implemented.		Reject
<b>FS25.046</b>	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	It is imperative that the Plan sets out clear objectives with clear supporting policies directing how the objectives are to be achieved.	Allow	Allow the original submission, subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
<b>FS243.049</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend or Delete wording	Reject
<b>FS405.011</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
<b>FS325.026</b>	Turnstone Trust Limited		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission subject to appropriate wording.	Reject
<b>FS403.066</b>	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part		Allow in part	Seek provision details as above ...	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S138.003</b>	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-UFD-O1	Support in part	<p>Agree that the wellbeing of people who live in the Far North should be prioritised when it comes to planning places and spaces, and that affordable housing should be available to those living in the District on low- moderate incomes. Based on the local experience of Kairos in communication with Ngati Rehia hapu, and other community housing providers, these incomes groups are being 'squeezed out' of Kerikeri by market housing prices without due consideration for locals and single parent families on low- moderate incomes.</p> <p>Achieving economic prosperity objective SD- EP-O1 is dependent on businesses having access to a diverse local workforce for in particular, horticulture, tourism and hospitality and various care-based services.</p>	Retain Objective SD-UFD-O1		Accept
<b>FS405.009</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-UFD-O1 as this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject
<b>S247.001</b>	Margaret Sheila Hulse and John Colin Hulse	SD-UFD-O1	Support	We support this objective. However, this objective needs Stronger implementation. in-policies and rules-in the plan; particularly by identifying the availability of primary medical care as an important component of social wellbeing. Separate submissions refer to this.	Retain objective SD-UFD -O1		Accept
<b>FS405.0010</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the retention of SD-UFD-O1 as this objective as it is not suitably balanced, and provides a pathway for perverse outcomes.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S386.006</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	SD-UFD-02	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew support the intention of this objective, and consider this direction would be strengthened by incorporating a centres hierarchy into the objective or by including a separate one. This would take into account existing reticulated networks, established town populations, workforces and projected growth for both business and housing land. Furthermore, it is noted that these objectives have not been evaluated in either the Overview Section 32 Report or Urban Environment Section 32 therefore it is unclear whether these objectives are the most appropriate and achieve the sustainable management purpose of the RMA	Either amend SD-UFD-02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy for Far North's small, medium and larger town centres.		Reject
<b>S516.019</b>	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-02	Support	Ngā Tai Ora endorses enabling urban consolidation and intensification of existing centres/settlements while avoiding sprawling into productive rural areas. A compact urban form results in efficiencies in the provision of public transport and community cohesion, and ultimately is beneficial in terms of achieving positive public health outcomes.	Retain Objective SD-UFD-02		Accept
<b>FS196.231</b>	Joe Carr		Support in part		Disallow in part		Accept
<b>FS405.015</b>	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject
<b>FS369.089</b>	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S463.005	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Certain Special Purpose Zones were specifically established beyond the urban zone extents to provide for unique developments not able to be realised by standard zones. If Council considers that residential and/or commercial activities in Special Purpose Zones does not constitute "urban growth and development", this should be clarified. Otherwise, this policy may be incorrectly applied to development proposals in non-urban Special Purpose Zones	Amend Objective SD-UFD-O2 as follows: SD-UFD-O2 Urban growth and development <b>in the urban zones is consolidated around existing reticulated networks within town centres, supporting a more compact urban form, affordability and providing for a mix of housing typologies.</b>		Reject
FS91.2	Moana Kiff		Oppose	We support this objective, as it encourages sustainable, affordable and vibrant development, that can benefit both current and future residents of the area, for example Matauri Bay Papakāinga which has provided it's own reticulated networks enhancing the quality of life for the poorest region in New Zealand, Northland.	Disallow		Accept
FS369.087	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS403.071	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept
S561.015	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Kāinga Ora considers that the objective is in accordance with the government direction given through the NPS- UD and enables a choice of housing outcomes with supporting infrastructure.	Retain SD-UFD-O2 as notified.		Accept
FS32.069	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject
FS405.016	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.090	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS403.073	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept
FS23.287	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept
FS47.029	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject
FS348.102	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
S138.004	Kairos Connection Trust and Habitat for Humanity Northern Region Ltd	SD-UFD-02	Support in part	<p>Agree that the wellbeing of people who live in the Far North should be prioritised when it comes to planning places and spaces, and that affordable housing should be available to those living in the District on low- moderate incomes.</p> <p>Based on the local experience of Kairos in communication with Ngati Rehia hapu, and other community housing providers, these incomes groups are being 'squeezed out' of Kerikeri by market housing prices without due consideration for locals and single parent families on low- moderate incomes.</p> <p>Achieving economic prosperity objective SD- EP-O1 is dependent on businesses having access to a diverse local workforce for in particular, horticulture, tourism and hospitality and various care-based services.</p>		Retain Objective SD-UFD-02	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS405.012	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject
S356.006	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-02	Support	not stated	Retain SD-UFD-02 as notified		Accept
FS405.013	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy.	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject
FS369.086	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS403.070	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept
S512.009	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-02	Support	Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, while current infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand. Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change. Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury,	retain SD-UFD-02		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				damage to property, land and the environment.			
FS405.014	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew		Oppose	Ballantyne & Agnew opposes the amendment in part, as they note that in the original submission, they sought to either amend SD-UFD- 02 or add a new objective to establish a centres hierarchy.	Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject
FS369.088	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS403.072	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support		Allow	Te Whatu Ora seek to retain this objective as notified.	Accept
S483.027	Top Energy Limited	SD-UFD-02	Support	Top Energy support a compact urban form consolidated around existing reticulated networks as this is more efficiently serviced by electricity and telecommunications infrastructure than sprawling development in rural areas.	Retain Objective SD-UFD-02		Accept
FS345.078	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S516.020	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-03	Not Stated	The provision of adequate three waters infrastructure and the provision of electricity and telecommunications infrastructure is a key component of achieving positive public health outcomes for both urban and rural environments. It is important that the provision of this infrastructure is required at a strategic level to ensure that it is properly co-ordinated in future subdivision and development within the District.	Amend Objective SD-UFD-03 as follows: <b>Adequate development infrastructure in place or planned to meet the anticipated demands for housing and business activities. Ensure that efficient and effective onsite and reticulated infrastructure is provided in a sustainable manner.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS196.230	Joe Carr		Oppose	This requirement would knock out most papakainga developments and many smaller subdivisions that are self sustainable	Disallow		Accept
S331.009	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	SD-UFD-O3	Support in part	The submitter supports in part the objective SD-UFD-03 however, the definition of 'development infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure such as educational facilities and educational facilities are a crucial form of additional infrastructure that is needed to support development. Coordinating educational facilities with the delivery of development will help meet the needs and demand of the local communities. Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (such as educational facilities) is provided with development, and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available (see Policy 10 and 3.5 of Subpart 1 of Part 3: Implementation, in particular). The Ministry request additional amendments to SD-UFD-O3 to focus on community development and employment activities.	Amend the objective SD-UFD- O3 as follows: <b>There is adequate development infrastructure (including additional infrastructure) in place or planned to meet the anticipated demands for community development including the provision of housing and business employment activities.</b>		Accept in part
FS78.007	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter is not opposed to the treatment of educational facilities as infrastructure within the proposed FNDP, however the proposed definition of additional infrastructure includes a network operated for the purpose of transmitting or distributing electricity. As a result, the National Grid would be identified as Regionally Significant Infrastructure, Infrastructure and Additional Infrastructure. This is likely to create confusion in interpreting the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS243.051	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support		Allow	Amend	Accept in part
FS369.091	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part
FS403.074	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part
S561.016	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-O3	Support	The objectives recognises the need for the provision of infrastructure to support growth in urban centres.	Retain SD-UFD-O3 as notified.		Accept in part
FS32.070	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject
FS369.094	Top Energy		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS369.139	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow in part	disallow in part the original submission	Reject in part
FS403.077	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part
FS23.288	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept in part
FS47.030	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject in part
FS348.103	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S483.028	Top Energy Limited	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Top Energy supports the requirement for adequate development infrastructure for future housing and business activities.	Retain Objective SD-UFD-O3		Accept in part
FS351.008	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose		Disallow	Keep "...to enhance economic, cultural environmental and social well-being in the district".	Reject in part
FS371.008	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoru, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose		Disallow	retain "...to enhance economic, cultural environmental and social well-being in the district".	Reject in part
FS449.008	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject in part
FS345.079	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S356.007	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-O3	Support in part	Support SD-UFD-O3 with amendments to reference all development, not just housing and business activities and that infrastructure needs to be integrated with land use.	Amend objective as follows: "Adequate development infrastructure in place or planned to meet the anticipated demands for <del>housing and business activities</del> <b>new development.</b> "		Reject
FS369.092	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the amendments sought in this submission point as it seeks to retain this objective as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS403.075	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Accept
S512.010	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-03	Support	<p>Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, while current infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand. Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change.</p> <p>Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury, damage to property, land and the environment.</p>	retain SD-UFD-03		Accept in part
FS369.093	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS403.076	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose		Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject in part
S516.022	Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland	SD-UFD-04	Not Stated	<p>Natural hazards have significant implications for public health. Climate change is a significant challenge facing the world, and the PDP needs to have clear strategic direction about how the risk and implications of climate change and other natural hazards are going to be managed in future subdivision and development. The PDP must acknowledge and minimise the risks and impacts of natural hazards, including the influence of climate change, on people, property and infrastructure, consistent with objective 3.13 Natural hazard risk of the Northland Regional Policy Statement. SD- UFD-04 is inconsistent with proposed NH-01 and NH-02 which seek to manage/minimise risk</p>	Amend Objective SD-UFD-04 as follows: Urban growth and development is resilient, and adaptive to <b>pre- empt</b> the impacts from natural hazards <del>or</del> <b>and</b> climate change.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				from natural hazards rather than adapt to natural hazards.			
<b>S561.017</b>	Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities	SD-UFD-04	Support	The objective acknowledges the real impacts both natural hazards and climate change can have on any urban growth and development, enabling management tools to be put in place.	Retain SD-UFD-04 as notified.		Accept
<b>FS32.071</b>	Jeff Kemp		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Reject
<b>FS23.289</b>	Des and Lorraine Morrison		Support		Allow	Allow the relief sought to the extent consistent with our primary submission	Accept
<b>FS47.031</b>	Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the entire original submission	Reject
<b>FS348.104</b>	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose		Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
<b>S356.008</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-UFD-04	Support	not stated	Retain SD-UFD-04 as notified		Accept
<b>FS243.050</b>	Kainga Ora Homes and Communities		Support	Kāinga Ora supports development in all forms being aligned with the provision of adequate climate- resilient services and infrastructure.	Allow	Amend	Accept
<b>FS403.078</b>	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S477.011	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-UFD-O4	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences		Reject
FS403.079	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Support in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Allow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject
S512.011	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	SD-UFD-O4	Support	Fire and Emergency support development being appropriately serviced by infrastructure. As towns grow, demand on water supply increases. In particular, while current infrastructure may be sufficient to continue providing for drinking water needs, it may not provide the pressure required for firefighting to meet anticipated housing demand. Therefore, Fire and Emergency support these objectives. Fire and Emergency support the consideration of natural hazards and climate change. Managing these risks will reduce the incidence of, and associated risk to life and property, and prevent or limit injury, damage to property, land and the environment.	retain SD-UFD-O4		Accept
FS403.080	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject
FS403.081	Te Whatu Ora - Nga Tai Ora		Oppose	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Disallow in part	Te Whatu Ora seek to amend this objective.	Reject
S511.023	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and</del>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				strategic overview.	<p><del>implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview</p> <p><b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them</b></p>	
FS164.023	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS548.143	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part
FS570.1594	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1608	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1630	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.043	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development,</b>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>		
<b>FS570.1739</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
<b>FS346.654</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
<b>S454.030</b>	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	Objectives	Support	Transpower supports the inclusion of SD-IE- O1 and SD-IE-O2 in the FNPDP as they appropriately recognise the importance of infrastructure such as the National Grid.	Retain Objectives SD-IE-O1 and SD-IE-O2		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S483.029</b>	Top Energy Limited	SD-IE-O1	Support	Top Energy supports the acknowledgment of the benefits infrastructure and renewable energy generation activities provide across the District.	Retain Objective SD-IE-O1		Accept
<b>FS131.006</b>	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	Amend SD-IE O1 to protect environmental standards and values (inferred).	Reject
<b>FS345.080</b>	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
<b>S160.009</b>	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-IE-O1	Support	The submitter supports SD-IE-01. Infrastructure is increasingly important to the primary industry to ensure products can get to market. A robust infrastructure plan is vital to sustain the sector.	Retain SD-IE-01 as currently written.		Accept
<b>FS369.095</b>	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
<b>FS346.579</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S331.010</b>	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	SD-IE-01	Support in part	The submitter supports in part the objective SD-IE-01 however, the definition of 'infrastructure' does not include additional infrastructure such as educational facilities. Educational facilities are a crucial form of additional infrastructure that is needed to support development. The benefits of educational facilities should be recognised. The submitter wishes to highlight that Council has an obligation under the NPS-UD to ensure sufficient additional infrastructure (such as educational facilities) is provided with development, and local authorities must be satisfied that additional infrastructure to service the development capacity is likely to be available.	Amend the objective SD-IE-01 as follows: The benefits of infrastructure <b>(including additional infrastructure)</b> and renewable electricity generation activities across the district are recognised and provided for, while ensuring their adverse effects are managed.		Accept in part
<b>FS369.096</b>	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy sought to retain this objective as notified but do not have any particular concern with this objective being expanded to include "additional infrastructure" such as educational facilities. However, it is concerned about the potential issues this will create in terms of interpretation given the existing definition of "infrastructure."	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept in part
<b>S356.010</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-IE-01	Support	not stated	Retain SD-IE-01 as notified		Accept
<b>FS369.097</b>	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept
<b>S489.009</b>	Radio New Zealand	SD-IE-01	Support	RNZ supports the inclusion of a strategic direction that expressly recognise the benefits of infrastructure	Retain Objective SD-IE-01		Accept
<b>FS369.098</b>	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seek to retain this objective as notified	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S489.010	Radio New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Support in part	RNZ supports the inclusion of a strategic direction to protect infrastructure from incompatible activities. However it considers amendment is appropriate to specify regionally significant infrastructure and to recognise the need to avoid reverse sensitivity effects	Amend Objective SD-IE-O2 as follows: Infrastructure, <b>in particular regionally significant infrastructure</b> , and renewable electricity generation activities are protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development, <b>including avoiding reverse sensitivity effects</b> , that may compromise their effective operation, maintenance and upgrading		Reject
FS129.14	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Reject
FS369.103	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Reject
S159.028	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Oppose	The objective seeks that infrastructure is protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development. The RPS, NPSET and NPSREG do not seek protection. Objective 3.7 of the Northland RPS seeks to: Recognise and promote the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure, (a physical resource), which through its use of natural and physical resources can significantly enhance Northland's economic, cultural, environmental and social wellbeing. Objective 3.8 is: Manage resource use to: (a) Optimise the use of existing infrastructure (b) Ensure new infrastructure is flexible, adaptable, and resilient, and meets the reasonably foreseeable needs of the	Amend Objective SD-IE-O2 as follows: <b>Recognise and provide for</b> infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities <b>and ensure that their operation, maintenance and upgrading are not are protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development that may compromised their effective operation, maintenance and upgrading. compromised by incompatible subdivision, use and development</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				community; and (c) Strategically enable infrastructure to lead or support regional economic development and community wellbeing.SD-IE-O2 should be consistent with higher order documents.			
FS151.183	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS151.184	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS304.012	Radio New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission.	Accept
FS369.099	Top Energy		Oppose		Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS570.190	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.204	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.226	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S483.030	Top Energy Limited	SD-IE-O2	Support	Top Energy support the recognition of the necessity of protecting infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities from incompatible land use, subdivision and development.	Retain Objective SD-IE-O2		Accept
FS351.009	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose		Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject
FS371.009	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoru, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose		Disallow	Retain as is which requires Top Energy to properly engage and consult the land owners and mana whenua.	Reject
FS449.009	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose		Disallow	retain provision	Reject
FS345.081	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S416.010	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	SD-IE-O2	Support in part	KiwiRail supports the objective which should also include maintenance and repair as an essential activity for functioning networks and for consistency with other plan provisions.	Amend Objective SD-IE-O2 as follows: Infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities are protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development that may compromise their effective operation, maintenance, <b>repair</b> and upgrading.		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS111.034	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the inclusion of maintenance and repair as an essential activity for functioning networks and for consistency with other plan provisions.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept
FS369.101	Top Energy		Support in part		Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept
S356.011	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-IE-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-IE-O2 as notified		Accept
FS369.0100	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to retain this objective as notified, noting that it supports the amendments sought by KiwiRail (see S416.010 below) and Radio New Zealand (S489.010) below	Allow in part	allow in part the original submission	Accept
S421.016	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-IE-O2	Oppose	Federated Farmers seeks that appropriate balance between the positive and negative effects from infrastructure and renewable electricity generation is achieved. We have concerns with how SD-IE-O2 is currently worded. At present, the objective seeks to protect infrastructure and renewable electricity generation from incompatible land use. The Resource Management Act 1991 does not prioritise types of land uses. Under the Act, all land uses are required to avoid, remedy, or mitigate their adverse effects. It is not appropriate for an objective in the district plan to seek to elevate certain land uses over others.	Amend Objective SD-IE-O2 as follows: <del>Infrastructure and renewable electricity generation activities are protected from incompatible land use, subdivision and development that may compromise their effective operation, maintenance and upgrading.</del> <b>Land use, subdivision and development that have more than minor effects on the effective operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure and renewable electricity generation are appropriately managed and mitigated.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.102	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the amendments sought in this submission point as it seeks to retain this objective as notified, noting that it supports the amendment sought by KiwiRail (see S416.010 above) and Radio New Zealand (S489.010) below. The requested amendments from Northland Federated Farmers dilute the strength of this objective, and make it inconsistent with the direction that must be given effect to in higher order planning documents such as the Northland Regional Policy Statement (RPS).	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS570.1248	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.250	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1262	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1284	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S386.007	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Overview	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew consider the overview and objectives is overly simple and narrow. The overview description does not recognise the diverse and variation within the rural environments or acknowledge the other key qualities and characteristics that make up these areas. The narrow focus of the overview and subsequent objectives to only managing outcomes related to primary production and highly versatile soils fails to adequately reflect the realities of the rural environment or provide sufficient direction on how activities and effects are managed in the PDP.	Amend Strategic Direction - Rural Environment to at a minimum recognise the following within the rural environment: - The diverse and variation of the qualities and characteristics within the rural environments; - The small rural settlements that are already established within the rural environment; and - The large tracts of rural lifestyle activities.	Reject
S511.024	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview. found in the strategic overview.	<del>District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan</b>	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<b>implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b>	
<b>FS67.24</b>	The Shooting Box Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS68.26</b>	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS69.25</b>	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS66.41</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS66.42</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS66.43</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow	Reject in part
<b>FS164.024</b>	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS548.144	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part
FS570.1595	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1609	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1631	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.044	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Overview	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of District Plan development, including plan changes, the</b>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>		
<b>FS68.27</b>	P S Yates Family Trust		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
<b>FS69.26</b>	Setar Thirty Six Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
<b>FS66.44</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
<b>FS66.45</b>	Bentzen Farm Limited		Oppose		Disallow		Reject in part
<b>FS570.1740</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.655	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S349.006	Neil Construction Limited	Overview	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	delete the overview or amend to remove the inferred primacy of these objectives and policies and emphasise the importance of providing for additional rural residential development in the rural environment.		Reject
FS62.040	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
FS333.027	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
S386.008	Sarah Ballantyne and Dean Agnew	Objectives	Support in part	Ballantyne & Agnew consider the overview and objectives is overly simple and narrow. The overview description does not recognise the diverse and variation within the rural environments or acknowledge the other key qualities and characteristics that make up these areas. The narrow focus of the overview and subsequent objectives to only managing outcomes related to primary production and highly versatile soils fails to adequately reflect the realities of the rural environment or provide sufficient direction on how activities and effects are managed in the PDP.	Amend Strategic Direction - Rural Environment to at a minimum recognise the following within the rural environment: - The diverse and variation of the qualities and characteristics within the rural environments; - The small rural settlements that are already established within the rural environment; and The large tracts of rural lifestyle activities.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S222.004	Wendover Two Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.	Add the following new Strategic Objective: <b>The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.</b>	Reject
S421.017	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports proposed objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 as the recognise the importance of the primary production sector and the need to protect highly protective soils from inappropriate development. We are living in rapidly changing world where it has reached the point where we must adapt to meet the needs and constraints of the environment. Federated Farmers seeks the inclusion of an additional objective to recognise the importance of providing for and supporting land practice change to address biosecurity, climate, and environmental demands both from regulation and consumer demand. As regulation and markets are driving certain change and practices, the district plan needs to provide for this change to ensure economic viability for rural producers.	Insert new Objective SD-RE-O3 as follows: <b>Primary production activities are supported by Council to adapt to change required by regulatory and consumer demands.</b> or wording with similar intent	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS85.4	PF Olsen Ltd		Support	Primary productions should be supported and encouraged by Councils as brings great economic value to the community. The inclusion of the SD-REO3 would allow more certainty for the primary sector. It is also a way of recognising the partnership between the Council and the primary industry.	Allow		Reject
FS570.1249	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.251	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1263	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1285	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S511.036	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Objectives	Support in part	The rural zone objectives should also limit the other activities that can occur in the rural zones and give an indication that other activities should not have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities	Insert an additional clause to SD-RE as follows: <b>Ensure that within rural areas the establishment and operation of primary production activities are not limited by new incompatible sensitive activities and limit those other activities in the rural areas</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS85.5	PF Olsen Ltd		Support	PF Olsen supports this submission provided that primary production is not limited by incompatible sensitive activities rules.	Allow		Reject
FS66.189	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject
FS164.036	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.150	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support		Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Reject
FS354.049	Horticulture New Zealand		Support		Allow	Allow S511.036	Reject
FS570.1607	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1621	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1643	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S442.056	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Objectives	Support in part	The rural zone objectives should also limit the other activities that can occur in the rural zones and give an indication that other activities should not have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.	Insert an additional clause to SD-RE as follows: <b>Ensure that within rural areas the establishment and operation of primary production activities are not limited by new incompatible sensitive activities and limit those other activities in the rural areas.</b>		Reject
FS66.190	Bentzen Farm Limited		Support in part		Allow in part		Reject
FS570.1752	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.667	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S167.005	Bentzen Farm Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North rural environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose. Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones.	Insert the following new Strategic Objective. SD-RE-O2 The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.		Reject
FS548.057	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers opposes the inclusion of a new strategic direction for the rural environment as sought by the submitter. Rural production activities have no option but to locate in the rural environment where other activities do have the ability to locate elsewhere.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS354.047	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S167.005	Accept
FS566.367	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S168.012	Setar Thirty Six Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.	Insert a new strategic objective as follows: <b>The importance of non-primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.</b>		Reject
FS548.061	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers opposes the inclusion of a new strategic direction for the rural environment as sought by the submitter. Rural production activities have no option but to locate in the rural environment where other activities do have the ability to locate elsewhere.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S333.005	P S Yates Family Trust	Objectives	Not Stated	<p>The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2, it is appropriate that the strategic Objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan</p>	<p>Insert the following new Strategic Objective. <b>SD-RE-O2 The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.</b></p>		Reject
FS354.048	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks to include a new SD: The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the district is recognised and provided for.</p> <p>HortNZ does not support this objective as it is contrary to the direction in the National Planning Standards for the Rural Production Zone. Only those non-primary production activities that have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural environment should be provided for. The SD is setting the direction going forward for the rural area - which is different to what has happened in the past.</p>	Disallow	Disallow S333.005	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S243.014</b>	Matauri Trustee Limited	Objectives	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan	Insert a new Strategic Objective as follows; <b>SD-RE-O3 The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for</b>		Reject
<b>FS570.572</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS566.586</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS569.608</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>S349.007</b>	Neil Construction Limited	Objectives	Oppose	A better outcome in these circumstances is to utilise the land more efficiently for rural residential use, adding much needed housing to Kerikeri in a way that does not impose any burden on the community in terms of providing or funding infrastructure.	delete Objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 or amend to remove the inferred primacy of these objectives and policies and emphasise the importance of providing for additional rural residential development in the rural environment.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS62.041	Kapiro Conservation Trust 1		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
FS333.028	Maree Hart		Oppose		Disallow	Re-zoning of Lot 1001 DP 532487 (tubbs farmland) in Rural Production or Horticulture zone etc	Accept
S91.019	PF Olsen Limited	Objectives	Support	PF Olsen supports SD- RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2 in their application to all primary production.	Retain strategic objectives SD- RE-O1 and SD-RE-O2		Accept
FS566.108	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S55.012	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	SD-RE-O1	Support	Support recognition of the contribution of primary production activities to the district and of enabling efficient and effective operation within the district's rural environments	Retain objective as proposed		Accept
S143.002	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	SD-RE-O1	Support	Ballance supports enabling strategies so that Primary production activities can continue to operate efficiently and effectively	retain the objective SD RE- O1		Accept
S182.011	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-RE-O1	Support	Support enabling strategies so that primary production activities are able to operate efficiently and effectively	Retain SD-RE-O1		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S356.012	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-RE-01	Support	not stated	Retain SD-RE-01 as notified		Accept
S463.007	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-RE-01	Support	It is appropriate in WBF's view to prioritise primary production in the rural zones in the strategic directions.	Retain Objective SD-Re-01		Accept
S159.029	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-RE-01	Support in part	It is important that primary production is sufficiently recognised and provided for in strategic direction. The SD seeks that primary production is recognised but does not provide for it or ensure it is not compromised by incompatible activities.	Amend Objective SD-RE-01 as follows: Primary production activities are <b>recognised and provided for to enable them to operate efficiently and effectively to ensure and the contribution they make to for the economic and social well-being of the district and not be compromised by inappropriate subdivision, use and development and prosperity of the district is recognised.</b>		Reject
FS151.185	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS172.239	Audrey Campbell-Frear		Oppose		Disallow		Accept
FS548.041	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support		Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Reject
FS346.004	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Allow in part	allow in par the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.191	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.205	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.227	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S160.010	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-RE-01	Support	The submitter supports SD-RE-01 and considers that it recognises and acknowledges the important role the primary sector currently has in the community and its potential.	Retain SD-RE-01 as it currently written.		Accept
FS346.580	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
S143.003	Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited	SD-RE-02	Support	Ballance supports the protection of highly productive land in the Far North District	retain the objective SD RE -O2		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S182.012	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-RE-O2	Support	Support the protection of highly productive land	Retain SD-RE-O2	Accept
S187.005	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-RE-O2	Support in part	The Far North is predominantly a rural environment. This environment incorporates a diverse range of activities, supported by a range of zones, including rural lifestyle, rural residential and settlement. Significant areas of the rural environment are not defined by rural production activities, nor are they suitable for this purpose (including lifestyle areas, unsuitable soils, some coastal land and bush blocks). Without detracting from the strategic importance expressed in Strategic objectives SD-RE-O1 and SD-REO2, it is appropriate that the strategic objectives also recognise and enable the broader range of activities which occur in rural zones. This strategic objective is necessary to provide a strategic policy basis for the various rural environment zone objectives and policies which follow in the Plan.	Amend SE-RE-O2 to add the following new Strategic Objective. <b>SD-RE-O2 The importance of non- primary production activities in the rural environment to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district is recognised and provided for.</b>	Reject
S356.013	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-RE-O2	Support	not stated	Retain SD-RE-O2 as notified	Accept
S159.030	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-RE-O2	Support	Support the protection of Highly Productive Land from inappropriate development	Retain Objective SD-RE-O2	Accept
FS151.186	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow	Accept
FS172.240	Audrey Campbell-Frear		Oppose		Disallow	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.192	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.206	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.228	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S454.031	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	SD-RE-02	Not Stated	Transpower are generally supportive of the policy however, request an amendment to allow for infrastructure that has an operational or function need to locate within the rural environment.	Amend SD-RE-02 as follows: Protection of highly productive land from inappropriate development, <b>excluding infrastructure that has a functional or operational need</b> , to ensure its production potential for generations to come.		Reject
FS111.035	Pou Herenga Tai Twin Coast Cycle Trail Charitable Trust (PHTTCCT)		Support	PHTTCCT support the request for an amendment to allow for infrastructure that has an operational or function need to locate within the rural environment.	Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
FS548.130	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Accept
FS354.050	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S454.031	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.104	Top Energy		Support		Allow	allow the original submission	Reject
S463.008	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-RE-O2	Oppose	This proposed policy has been rendered redundant by the recent introduction of the NPS-HPL.	Delete Objective SD-RE-O2		Reject
FS354.051	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the objective as the NPSHPL has been introduced. The District Council has requirements to implement the NPSHPL so the objective is relevant to the council's functions.	Disallow	Disallow S463.008	Accept
S356.014	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-O1	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-O1 as notified		Accept
S399.020	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O1 as follows: A culture of stewardship <b>is evident in the community that protects and increases the District's biodiversity and environmental sustainability.</b>		Reject
S511.026	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	SD-EP-O1	Support in part	In terms of indigenous biodiversity, Forest & Bird considers that the issues include: - the risk of species reaching threat status and of those already threatened becoming more so; - the need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity for habitat benefits to native species; - for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and - for resilience in a changing climate; - recognising that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so; - that development pressures are	Amend overview to include - the need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity: for habitat benefits to native species; - for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and - for resilience in a changing climate; - recognising that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so; - that development - pressures are resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback. These should be reflected in the Overview:			
FS95.007	Northland Fish and Game Council		Support		Allow	Amend as sought by submission point S511.026 (inferred)	Reject
FS164.026	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS548.146	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Accept
FS404.088	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS570.1597	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1611	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1633	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S511.025	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	SD-EP-01	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For</del>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand			Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	<p><del>the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview set out above</p> <p><b>plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS164.025	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS548.145	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose		Disallow	Decline the relief sought	Reject in part
FS570.1596	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1610	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1632	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.045	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-01	Support in part	The overview in these section repeat problematic words from the Strategic Directions Overview. It's not clear why this statement need to be repeated in every section when it is found in the strategic overview.	Delete from each sections overview: ... <del>For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting and implementing the District Plan all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these strategic objectives.</del> If it is preferred by the decision maker then replace with the desired wording for the Strategic Overview <b>For the purpose of</b>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p><b>District Plan development, including plan changes, the strategic objectives in this chapter provide direction for the development of the more detailed provisions contained in the District Plan. For the purpose of District Plan implementation (including the determination of resource consent applications and notices of requirement): a) the strategic objectives may provide guidance on what the related objectives and policies in other chapters of the District Plan are seeking to achieve; and b) the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan (including strategic objectives in this chapter) are to be considered together and no fixed hierarchy exists between them.</b></p>		
FS570.1741	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.656	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation	
S442.046	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-01	Support in part	In terms of indigenous biodiversity, Forest & Bird considers that the issues include: - the risk of species reaching threat status and of those already threatened becoming more so; - the need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity: o for habitat benefits to native species; o for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and o for resilience in a changing climate; - recognizing that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so; - that development pressures are resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback. These should be reflected in the Overview	Amend overview to include <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The risk of species reaching threat status and of those already threatened becoming more so;</li> <li>The need to increase and enhance indigenous biodiversity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o for habitat benefits to native species;</li> </ul> </li> </ul> - for the significant contribution native trees and wetlands provide as carbon sinks; and- for resilience in a changing climate <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>; recognising that Northland is a strong hold for some species and should remain so;</li> </ul> that development pressures are resulting in the loss of indigenous biodiversity including through incremental vegetation clearance, the effects of introduced species and potential to spread kauri dieback.	Reject	
FS570.1742	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.657	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S356.015	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-02	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-02 as notified		Accept
S399.021	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-02	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Amend Objective SD-EP-02 as follows: Collaborative relationships with iwi and hapu <b>are fostered and maintained</b> in order to support tangata whenua to carry out their obligation and responsibility as kaitiaki	Reject	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S394.011</b>	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	SD-EP-O2	Support in part	The relationship that tangata whenua have with the environment is broader than holding a kaitiaki role. The Treaty and its principles require recognition and protection of hapū autonomy. S6(e) RMA requires recognition and provision for the culture, traditions and ancestral relationships that tangata whenua have with their ancestral lands.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O2 as follows: <del>Collaborative relationships with iwi and hapū</del> <b>and recognise hapū tino rangatiratanga</b> in order to support tangata whenua to <b>exercise self determination in their rohe</b> and carry out their obligation and responsibility as kaitiaki.		Reject
<b>FS363.011</b>	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS588.011</b>	Ian Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS538.011</b>	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS537.011</b>	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
<b>FS536.011</b>	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS535.011	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS533.011	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS532.011	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS531.011	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS530.011	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS529.011	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS528.011	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS527.011	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS526.011	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS525.011	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS524.011	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS523.011	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS522.011	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS521.011	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS520.011	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS519.011	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS518.011	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS517.011	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS516.011	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS515.011	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS514.011	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS513.011	Ester Rangī Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS512.011	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS511.011	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS510.011	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS509.011	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS508.011	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS507.011	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS506.011	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS505.011	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS504.011	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS503.011	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS502.011	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS501.011	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS500.011	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS499.011	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS498.011	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS497.011	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS496.011	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS495.011	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS494.011	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS493.011	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS492.011	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS491.011	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS490.011	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS489.011	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS487.011	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS486.011	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS485.011	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS483.011	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS482.011	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS481.011	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS480.011	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS479.011	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS478.011	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS477.011	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS476.011	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS475.011	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS474.011	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS473.011	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS472.011	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS471.011	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS470.011	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS469.011	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS468.011	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS467.011	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS466.011	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS465.011	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS464.011	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS463.011	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS462.011	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS461.011	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS460.011	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS459.011	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS458.011	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS457.011	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS456.011	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS455.011	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS454.011	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS453.011	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS452.011	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS451.011	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS450.011	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS447.011	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS440.011	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS439.011	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS436.011	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS435.011	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS434.011	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS433.011	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS432.011	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS431.011	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS430.011	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS429.011	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS428.011	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS427.011	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS426.011	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS425.011	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS423.011	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS422.011	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS421.011	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS420.011	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS418.011	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS417.011	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS416.011	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS415.011	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS408.011	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS388.011	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS387.011	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS386.011	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS385.011	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS382.011	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS381.011	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS380.011	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS379.011	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS378.011	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS365.011	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS360.011	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS359.011	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS358.011	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS357.011	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS356.011	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS568.011	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS567.011	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS563.011	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS562.011	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS561.011	Ivan Wimoka Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS560.011	Dylan Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS559.011	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS558.011	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS557.011	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS556.011	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS555.011	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS553.011	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS552.011	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS551.011	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS546.011	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS545.011	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS544.011	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS178.011	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS413.011	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S182.013	NZ Agricultural Aviation Association	SD-EP-03	Support	Support protecting ecosystems particularly weed and pest control, and biosecurity	Retain SD-EP-03		Accept
S356.016	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-03	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-03 as notified		Accept
S399.022	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-03	Support in part	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful	Amend Objective SD-EP-03 as follows: Active management of ecosystems to protect, maintain and increase indigenous biodiversity for future generations <b>is ongoing</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S364.020</b>	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-O3	Support	The Director-General supports Objective SD- EP-O3.	Retain Objective SD-EP-O3		Accept
<b>FS548.086</b>	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Oppose	Federated Farmers sought amendments to this strategic direction in its submission.	Disallow	Decline the relief sought.	Reject
<b>FS570.1101</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS346.160</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
<b>FS566.1115</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS569.1137</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>S529.118</b>	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-EP-O3	Support		Retain objective		Accept
<b>FS570.2006</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
<b>FS566.2020</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.2042	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S160.011	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-03	Support in part	The submitter supports in part SD-EP-03 as the districts rare threatened and endangered indigenous biodiversity should be protected maintained and increased.	Amend SD-EP-03 to read as follows: Active management of ecosystems to protect, maintain and increase <b>rare threatened and endangered</b> indigenous biodiversity for future generations.		Reject
FS346.581	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
S527.004	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-EP-03	Support	not stated	Retain as drafted (inferred)		Accept
FS566.1866	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
S399.023	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-04	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-04		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S477.012	Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust	SD-EP-04	Not Stated	As Kaitiaki, we are and have been acutely aware of the degradation of Papatuanuku (Earth mother) and all living things between her and Ranginui (Sky Father) and the unavoidable consequences that can be generally categorised as Climate Change issues since pre-colonial times until present.	Amend the Plan as required to be forward-thinking regarding climate-related issues as the geography of our rohe makes us more susceptible to these issues and their potentially dire consequences	Reject
S421.018	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	SD-EP-04	Oppose	<p>It is important to recognise the recent release of the exposure draft for the National Policy Statement for indigenous biodiversity and the impact it will have for indigenous biodiversity in New Zealand. We also need to recognise that certain activities such as farming need to work in conjunction with biodiversity which need to be recognised in the plan. National policy instruments are creating an unfair playing field for less viable land which we do not support council exacerbating. Federated Farmers also has concerns with the use of the term 'enabling carbon storage' in objective SP-EP-04.</p> <p>The wording of the objective implies the prioritisation of carbon farming over necessary primary activities such as dairy, dry stock, cropping and general food production.</p> <p>Council needs to continue to support landowners and businesses in their climate journey by enabling technology and certain practices to reduce emissions rather than any short-term carbon storage which is not reducing the carbon emissions the Far North produces</p>	<p>Amend Objective SD-EP-04 as follows: <del>Land use practices reverse climate change by enabling carbon storage and reducing carbon emissions.</del></p> <p><b>Council supports landowners to adopt climate change mitigation measures through sequestration, new technologies, land use and science.</b></p> <p>or wording with similar intent</p>	Reject
FS24.6	Lynley Newport		Support	.	Allow	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1250	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.252	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1264	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1286	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S159.027	Horticulture New Zealand	SD-EP-04	Support in part	Reversing climate change reads like it will 'end' which isn't the case. Prefer to use mitigate	Amend Objective SD-EP-04 as follows: Land use practices <del>reverse</del> <b>mitigate</b> climate change by enabling carbon storage and reducing carbon emissions		Accept
FS151.181	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept
FS151.182	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.189	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.203	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.225	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S356.018	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-04	Support	It is unclear what the objective is setting out to achieve and is a bit confusing - is it land use practices that reverse climate change, enabling carbon storage or reducing emissions which is the objective? Waka Kotahi questions how achievable this objective is to be implemented, and how it applies to all land use practices.	Amend to clarify the purpose of the objective and how the Far North District Council anticipate this will be implemented.		Reject
FS534.011	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited		Support	WBFL agrees with this submitter that this objective is unclear.	Allow	amend to clarify the purpose of the objective and how it will be implemented	Reject
S160.012	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-04	Support	The submitter supports objective SD-EP-04 as it they consider that the use of a range of tree, indigenous and exotic, to achieve this objective.	Retain objective SD-EP-04 as it is written.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS346.582	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS. Loss of natural character, coastal environment values and the values of outstanding landscapes could also result.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
S333.006	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>		Reject
S187.006	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>		Reject
S356.017	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-05	Support	not stated	Retain SD-EP-05 as notified		Accept
S222.005	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
S399.024	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-05	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-05	Accept
S463.009	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	SD-EP-05	Support	This policy is considered appropriate in terms of the obligations stated at policies 13 and 14 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.	Retain Objective SD-EP-05	Accept
S168.013	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long-term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessarily mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010	Amend Objective SD-EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>	Reject
FS112.10	Carly McIlroy		Support		Allow	Reject
FS58.4	Harold Corbett		Support		Allow	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS28.024	Dr John L Craig		Support		Allow	Amend SD-EP-05 as follows: 'The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long- term protection for future generations, including their restoration'.	Reject
S167.006	Bentzen Farm Limited	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Strategic Objective SD- EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>		Reject
FS143.3	Mataka Residents' Association Inc		Support	The further submitter agrees with this submission that the long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Allow		Reject
FS354.052	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S167.006	Accept
FS566.368	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S394.012	Haititaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	SD-EP-O5	Support in part	Ss 6(a) - (b) RMA respectively require preservation of the coastal environment's natural character and protection of outstanding natural features. Ensuring these aspects of the environment are 'managed' falls short of giving substance to these RMA sections.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O5 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment <b>is preserved</b> and outstanding natural features and landscapes are <b>protected</b> <del>managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations.</del>		Reject
FS285.3	Alistair and Cheryl Baxter		Oppose		Disallow in part		Accept
FS363.012	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS588.012	Ian Bamber		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS538.012	Awhina Fiaui		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS537.012	Maryanne June Harrison		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS536.012	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS535.012	Dyrell Akavi		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS533.012	Sidney John Rupapera		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
<b>S243.015</b>	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-05	Support in part	The long term protection of the values set out in this strategic objective may not necessary mean their restoration. The natural character of the coastal environment is in most cases degraded, and opportunities for its restoration or rehabilitation should be promoted as required by policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	Amend Objective SD-EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment and outstanding natural features and landscapes are managed to ensure their long-term protection for future generations, <b>including their restoration.</b>		Reject
<b>FS570.573</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS566.587</b>	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS569.609</b>	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>S364.021</b>	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-05	Support	The Director-General supports Objective SD- EP-05.	Retain Objective SD-EP-05		Accept
<b>FS570.1102</b>	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
<b>FS346.161</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.1116	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1138	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
S394.012	Haititaimarāngai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	SD-EP-05	Support in part	Ss 6(a) - (b) RMA respectively require preservation of the coastal environment's natural character and protection of outstanding natural features. Ensuring these aspects of the environment are 'managed' falls short of giving substance to these RMA sections.	Amend Objective SD-EP-05 as follows: The natural character of the coastal environment <b>is preserved</b> and outstanding natural features and landscapes are <b>protected</b> <del>managed to ensure their long-term protection for</del> future generations.		Reject
FS536.012	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS535.012	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS533.012	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS532.012	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS531.012	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS530.012	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS529.012	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS528.012	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS527.012	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS526.012	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS525.012	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS524.012	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS523.012	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS522.012	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS521.012	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS520.012	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS519.012	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS518.012	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS517.012	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS516.012	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS515.012	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS514.012	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS513.012	Ester Rangī Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS512.012	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS511.012	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS510.012	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS509.012	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS508.012	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS507.012	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS506.012	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS505.012	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS504.012	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS503.012	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS502.012	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS501.012	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS500.012	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS499.012	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS498.012	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS497.012	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS496.012	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS495.012	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS494.012	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS493.012	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS492.012	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS491.012	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS490.012	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS489.012	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS487.012	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS486.012	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS485.012	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS483.012	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS482.012	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS481.012	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS480.012	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS479.012	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS478.012	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS477.012	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS476.012	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS475.012	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS474.012	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS473.012	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS472.012	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS471.012	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS470.012	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS469.012	Anne-marie Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS468.012	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS467.012	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS466.012	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS465.012	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS464.012	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS463.012	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS462.012	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS461.012	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS460.012	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS459.012	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS458.012	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS457.012	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS456.012	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS455.012	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS454.012	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS453.012	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS452.012	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS451.012	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS450.012	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS447.012	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS440.012	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS439.012	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS436.012	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS435.012	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS434.012	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS433.012	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS432.012	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS431.012	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS430.012	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS429.012	Cedrick Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS428.012	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS427.012	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS426.012	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS425.012	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS423.012	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS422.012	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS421.012	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS420.012	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS418.012	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS417.012	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS416.012	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS415.012	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS408.012	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS388.012	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS387.012	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS386.012	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS385.012	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS382.012	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS381.012	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS380.012	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS379.012	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS378.012	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS365.012	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS360.012	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS359.012	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS358.012	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS357.012	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS356.012	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS568.012	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS567.012	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS563.012	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS562.012	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS561.012	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS560.012	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS559.012	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS558.012	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS557.012	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS556.012	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS555.012	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS553.012	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS552.012	Barbara May Hataraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS551.012	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS546.012	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS545.012	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS544.012	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS178.012	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS413.012	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S333.007	P S Yates Family Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <b>and are</b> protected for current and		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted	future generations.	
<b>S168.014</b>	Setar Thirty Six Limited	SD-EP-06	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	Amend Objective SD-EP-06 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations	Accept
<b>S187.007</b>	The Shooting Box Limited	SD-EP-06	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo as noted	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-06 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept
<b>S356.019</b>	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	SD-EP-06	Support in part	Support objective. Appears there may be a minor error in SD-EP-06.	Retain as notified with minor correction: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept
<b>S222.006</b>	Wendover Two Limited	SD-EP-06	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-06 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				reasons set out below), the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.			
<b>S399.025</b>	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	SD-EP-06	Support	We support the intent of these policies, but consider that the ethic of protection could be strengthened and that the first three objectives are lacking in verbs which are required for the objectives to be successful.	Retain Objective SD-EP-06		Accept in part
<b>S160.013</b>	Manulife Forest Management (NZ) Ltd	SD-EP-06	Support in part	The submitter supports SD-EP-06 in part, and considers that the protection of significant indigenous vegetation and habitat where the areas can be mapped and managed accordingly. These area should exclude all plantation forestry areas.	Amend SD-EP-06 to read as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <b>are protected for current and future generations.</b>		Accept
<b>FS176.14</b>	Summit Forests New Zealand Limited		Support	As set out in the original submission	Allow		Accept
<b>FS346.583</b>	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
<b>S243.016</b>	Matauri Trustee Limited	SD-EP-06	Support in part	The objective follows the section 6(c) matter of national importance, though is realised in limited terms in the Proposed Plan as notified, with some methods included to implement it. Nevertheless, there are methods included in for example the Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity section of the Plan. Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission (for the reasons set out below), the Objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-06 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <b>and are protected for current and future generations</b>		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.574	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.588	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.610	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S364.022	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	The Director-General supports Objective SD- EP-O6, however, requests the wording be amended to fix the error.	Amend Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and</del> <b>are</b> protected for current and future generations.		Accept
FS570.1103	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.162	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1117	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1139	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.053	Kapiro Conservation Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	In order to protect something they must first be identified if they have not been already. This may have been a typo as well given that the objective has an 'and' in front of 'protected' which implies that something is missing from this objective.	Amend SD-EP-O6: ... "fauna <del>and are</del> identified and protected ..."		Reject
FS570.1749	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.664	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S529.119	Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	SD-EP-O6	Support		Retain objective		Accept in part
FS570.2007	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.2021	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS569.2043	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S167.007	Bentzen Farm Limited	SD-EP-O6	Support in part	Subject to the deletion of Significant Natural Areas as sought in this submission the objective is supported with the typo amendment as noted.	Amend Strategic Objective SD-EP-O6 as follows: Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna <del>and are</del> protected for current and future generations.		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS566.369	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S527.005	Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK)	SD-EP-06	Support	not stated	retain as drafted (inferred)		Accept in part
FS566.1867	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support		Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S463.010	Waiaua Bay Farm Limited	Overview	Support	WBF supports recognition of the operational, functional and locational needs associated with, and public benefits of infrastructure provision and development.	Retain the Overview		Accept
S483.026	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Not Stated	Top Energy note that the Strategic Direction chapter only contains objectives for each topic, and not policies. In Top Energy's view, the objectives need policies to demonstrate how they are going to be achieved in the plan. It is also important at this strategic level of the PDP, that the policies provide clear direction for the consideration of resource consents where there is conflict between different areas of strategic direction (e.g., for instance between the necessary provision of infrastructure, alongside the protection of the natural environment).	Insert policies to the Strategic Direction Chapter for the objectives, noting Top Energy's submission below on specific objectives relating to the provision of infrastructure, electricity and renewable energy generation activities.		Reject
FS78.041	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Oppose	The submitter considers that the submission point does not provide sufficient detail to be able to identify the content of the proposed policies and whether they would give effect to the NPSET.	Disallow in part	Disallow the submission in part.	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation	
FS354.063	Horticulture New Zealand		Oppose		Disallow	Disallow S483.026	Accept
FS345.077	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support		Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S300.005	Creative Northland	Objectives	Support	<p>The 1st paragraph in your overview is the the key narrative for Aotearoa and the Far North holding the key to the story needs to be your anchor point for all else to flourish.</p> <p>This narrative needs strong framework of how this can be utilised from the grassroots among community to strengthen economic development across disciplinary outcomes and greater understanding from government about its recognition.</p>	<p>Concrete outcomes to have Tangata Whenua empowered to tell this story the good and the bad to cement opportunity to unite on the same waka and clear future for the next 5 years respecting narrative for all community of the past , present and a weaved future.</p> <p>Access to participate in cultural practice so the full understanding of the relationship with lands, water sites and other toanga is understood- lived and taught so all can be respected, practices to increase the wellbeing for all- local and visitors.</p> <p>The more education and availability to access the faster we will understand the significance beyond text and into our physical wellbeing.</p> <p>Wellbeing permeates beyond our mind.</p>	Reject	
S156.001	Robert Adams	Objectives	Oppose	<p>The Far North has many towns that have been neglected by council and its planners for too long. Many towns have wonderful examples of good urban design and well designed buildings but Council has been blind to the fact that those buildings need recognition and protection through urban design overlays. Auckland Council finally did this in the Unitary plan by putting overlays over many traditional town centres in an effort to protect their essential elements before it was too late. The overlays look at each individual building in the town centre from an architectural perspective</p>	<p>Insert urban design overlays and urban design assessments for all of Northlands towns. Start with the towns least compromised such as Rawene, Russell, Kawakawa,, Mangonui, Kohukohu, and then move on to the other towns to see what we can save.</p>	Reject	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Council Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				and a urban design perspective which looks ay at how the buildings as a whole create good urban design. This matter should be taken seriously before it is too late to stop the loss of our urban heritage. Council are neglecting their obligations under the Resource Management Act. Auckland Council has shown that there are tools but our planners are asleep at the wheel. There are many Architects (including submitter) who would be willing to help Council and its planners to get this process into place and help with assessments.			
FS51.222	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Support in part	HNZPT is supportive of the application of tools to protect and retain the amenity and character of the Northland towns.  Noting a component of any urban design would be recognition of the individual town's historic heritage and cultural values.	Allow in part		Reject
FS372.017	John Andrew Riddell		Support		Allow	allow the submission to the extent that a new objective, policies and methods are added on the development and insertion in the district plan of urban design overlays, guidelines and assessments for Far North's towns and settlements	Reject
S302.002	Kristine Kerr	Overview	Support in part	Provide design guidelines, urban design form to maximise sunlight to streets - pedestrian and car routes and avoid shading that will cause wind tunnels. Retain parking accessibility.	Amend to provide design guidelines, urban design form to maximise sunlight to streets - pedestrian and car routes and avoid shading that will cause wind tunnels. Retain parking accessibility.		Reject