

**Before the Environment Court
At Auckland**

**I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao
Tāmaki Makaurau Rohe**

ENV-2026-AKL-000167

Under the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA")

In the matter of an appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA

And in the matter of the Proposed Far North District Plan

Between **Vision Kerikeri, Kapiro Conservation Trust, Our Kerikeri Community Trust, Carbon Neutral NZ Trust**
Appellants

And **Far North District Council**
Respondent

**Notice of Ironwood Trustee Limited's wish
to be a party to proceedings**

Dated: 1 September 2026

Presented for filing by:



Joanna Beresford

PO Box 1088 Shortland Street,
Auckland 1140

joanna@beresfordlaw.co.nz
+64 21 114 1277

TO: The Registrar
Environment Court
Auckland

AND: Far North District Council

AND: The Appellants

1. Ironwood Trustee Limited (**Ironwood**) wishes to be a party under s 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**) to the following proceedings:

The appeal by Vision Kerikeri, Our Kerikeri Community Trust, Kapiro Conservation Trust, and Carbon Neutral NZ Trust (**the Appellants**) against the decision of the Far North District Council in respect of the Proposed Far North District Plan (**PDP**), ENV-2026-AKL-000167 (**the Appeal**).

2. Ironwood is a person who has an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the interest that the general public has in terms of s 274(1)(d) of the RMA having lodged a submission (No. S492 and No 337) and an appeal (ENV-2026-AKL-000142) on the PDP.
3. Ironwood is a person who has made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings in terms of s 274(e) of the RMA having lodged a submission on the PDP.
4. Ironwood is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C of the RMA.
5. Ironwood is interested in the parts of the proceeding that relate to the relief sought in the Appeal identified in **Schedule One**. Ironwood opposes the relief sought as set out in Schedule One.
6. Ironwood opposes the relief sought by the Appellants on the grounds that it:
 - (a) Will not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources and is otherwise inconsistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA.
 - (b) Will generate significant adverse effects on the environment.
 - (c) Is inappropriate in terms of the requirements of s 32 of the RMA and the relevant provisions of applicable planning instruments.

7. Ironwood agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution.

Dated: 1 September 2026

IRONWOOD TRUSTEE LIMITED by its solicitors and duly authorised agents
Beresford Law

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "JL Beresford". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The letters "JL" are large and prominent, followed by "Beresford" in a more compact script.

JL Beresford

Address for service: The offices of Beresford Law. Level 6, 20 Waterloo Quadrant, Auckland, 1010. PO Box 1088 Shortland Street Auckland 1140. Attention: Joanna Beresford, Telephone: +64 9 307 1277, Mobile: +64 21 114 1277, Email: joanna@beresfordlaw.co.nz.

Schedule One: Relevant Parts of Relief Sought in Appeal

Appellant	Provision	Relief Sought in Appeal	Support/Oppose
Vision Kerikeri, Our Kerikeri Community Trust, Kapiro Conservation Trust and Carbon Neutral NZ Trust	Esplanade Priority: Esplanade Priority in PDP planning map	PDP map: Retain Esplanade Priority, especially where significant development anticipated.	Oppose
	SUB-S8 Further provisions on esplanade in other relevant chapters	SUB-S8; relevant policy/rules: Provision for esplanade / connectivity re: lots >4 ha and land use in key locations where PDP anticipates significant growth (e.g. Kerikeri urban zones); and access to coastal beach for towns that lack access	
	Amenity and community values - landing site for private helicopters: NOISE-R7	Require alternative solution - private helicopters should be based at existing local airports/airfields or similar rather than creating private landing sites in areas that affect others.	Oppose
	NOISE-S4 Other chapters that should contain provisions on this type of land use	Address omissions in PDP provisions so that land uses such as new private helicopter sites will be assessed on the full range of adverse effects.	Oppose

	Omissions, insufficient alignment with key policies, and clarifications: Further points inserted in zone chapters and other relevant chapters	Further provisions needed, particularly standards, to support community wellbeing, community values, especially amenity, ecological values, and to implement similar points stated in RMA, national direction, and Policies of PDP.	Oppose
--	---	--	---------------