

**From:** [Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust](#)  
**To:** [Sarah Trinder](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Proposed Plan - Further Submission - Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust  
**Date:** Tuesday, 12 September 2023 2:02:13 PM

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside Far North District Council.  
Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Tena koe Sarah

Thanks for your email.

In response:

**1. Eligibility to make a further submission** *(for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)*

**I am:**

**A person who has an interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has. In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category**

**My reasons for selecting the...above are:**

The further submission is made on behalf of the Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust. This Trust represents the interests of Te Whanau Moana and Te Rorohuri, being the two hapu that whakapapa to Haititaimarangai Marae. Haititaimarangai Marae is the sole marae of Karikari Peninsula and the tupuna Marae of Ngati Kahu.

The provisions of the PFNDP will apply to the rohe of Te Whanau Moana and Te Rorohuri. Further submissions points relate to matters of particular interest and / or concern to Te Whanau Moana and Te Rorohuri.

---

**From:** Sarah Trinder <Sarah.Trinder@fndc.govt.nz>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 12 September 2023 1:42 PM  
**To:** Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust <Karikarikaitiaki@outlook.com>  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed Plan - Further Submission - Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust

Hi Troy,

Thank you for your further submission.

Could you please confirm for our records the Further Submitter qualifier reason, this is section 2 in the attached word document.

*Kind Regards,*

*District Planning Team  
Far North District Council*

**From:** Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust <Karikarikaitiaki@outlook.com>

**Sent:** Sunday, 3 September 2023 11:28 PM

**To:** Proposed District Plan <pdp@fndc.govt.nz>

**Subject:** Proposed Plan - Further Submission - Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside Far North District Council.

Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Tena koe

Please find **attached** further submission regarding the above.

We will serve this document on related parties over the coming week

Can you kindly confirm receipt by return email.

Nga mihi

Troy Urlich

Trustee - Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust

3 September 2023

Strategic Planning and Policy  
Far North District Council  
Private Bag 752  
Kaikohe 0400

**By email:** [pdp@fndc.govt.nz](mailto:pdp@fndc.govt.nz)

Tēnā koe

**Proposed Far North District Plan Further Submission**

We enclose the further submission of Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust on provisions of the Proposed Far North District Plan.

Please contact Troy Urlich if you wish to discuss any of the matters raised in this submissions.

Ngā mihi

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'T. Urlich', written over a horizontal line.

Troy Urlich on behalf of Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust

## Proposed District Plan Further Submission

Further Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan (**Proposed Plan**)

**TO: Far North District Council**

**This is a further submission on the Proposed Plan**

### 1. Submitter details:

<b>Full Name:</b>	Troy Mary Urlich
<b>Organisation Name:</b>	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust
<b>Contact person:</b>	Troy Mary Urlich
<b>Address:</b>	PO Box 339, Kaitaia
<b>Phone contact:</b>	021 261 6694
<b>Email:</b>	karikarikaitiaki@outlook.com

### 2.

- I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
- I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

### 3.

- I **am** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- adversely affects the environment; and
  - does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition.
- I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- adversely affects the environment; and
  - does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition

**The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my further submissions relate to are:**

As attached Further Submission Table.

**Confirm your position:** Trustee

See **attached** Submission Table.

**My further submission is:**

A. generally, that the decisions sought are necessary to achieve the purpose and principles of the RMA and to give effect to higher order planning instruments. Haititaimarangai is particularly interested in ensuring the the Proposed Plan includes adequate and appropriate provisions for:

1. culture, traditions and ancestral relationships;
2. kaitiakitanga; and
3. Te Tiriti o Waitangi.

See **attached** Further Submission Table.

**I seek the following decision from the Council:**

- A. retention of the Proposed Plan provisions supported in the Further Submission Table;
- B. amendments, additions and deletion of Proposed Plan provisions, as identified in the Further Submission Table;
- C. further, consequential or alternative relief to give effect to the relief sought in the general submission and / or the Further Submission Table.

I **wish** to be heard in support of my submission

I **do not wish** to be heard in support of my submission

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing

Yes  No

Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams?

Yes  No

**Signature of submitter:**



**Date:** 3 September 2023

## FURTHER SUBMISSION TABLE

Haititaimarangai Marae set out there position on provisions of the Proposed Plan below. The 'relief sought' column records proposed amendments – original wording is black, Amended wording propoposed by submitters is highlighted blue. Our further amendments are highlighted and ~~struck out~~ in red.

The request for further, consequential or alternattive relief to give effect to the relief sought in the general submission and / or the Submission Table applies to all submission points.

Submission	Position	Reason	Relief Sought
S351.001	Oppose in part	We support retaining the CEZ, to the extent that it applies to established activities – the golf course, vineyard, constructed accommodation and the restaurant.  Consistency with higher order planning documents is otherwise required.	Reject in part. <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z" #</span>
S351.002	Oppose	Insufficient information is provided as to the purpose of a “mixed use” zone and whether such as zone is appropriate in the coastal (or other – this is not clear) environment.	Reject <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z" 2</span>
S351.004	Oppose	There is insufficient infrastructure to support residential growth. Further growth will increase pervious surfaces and the current volume of waste water discharged to Waimango, and ultimately Karikari Beach. This is	Reject <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z" 3</span>

		culturally inappropriate and inconsistent with higher order planning instruments.		
S351.005	Oppose	Cementing the extraction of our whenua by way of overlay is inappropriate. This is an affront to the cultural values of Haititaimarangai Marae and does not factor in natural resilience against climate change.	Reject	FS%&Z " 4
S351.006	Oppose	The submitter does not identify the purpose or provisions that might apply. There is a high potential that industrial activities will not accord with directions contained in national and regional planning instruments.	Reject	FS%&Z " 5
S351.007	Oppose	Non-complying is the appropriate classification of pastoral and forestry activities within the CEZ. These activities have the potential to adversely affect surface water and the coastal environment. Such effects need to be identified and appropriate conditions imposed to ensure sustainable management.	Reject	FS%&Z " 6
S351.009	Oppose	The consents referred to are now outdated. Vegetation and earthworks provisions should ensure that the effects not contemplated 20 years ago are appropriately managed, including as they relate to cultural values.	Reject	FS%&Z " 7
S351.020	Oppose	The consent are now outdated. Fresh consents need to be obtained.	Reject	FS%&Z " 8
S390.001 - 2	Support	Ensuring tangata whenua are integrated and have capacity to effectively participate in matters concerning	Allow	FS%&Z " 9, FS339.0010

		their rohe will assist with implementing s 6(e) RMA and ensuring matters are properly understood.	
S390.003	Support	It should be clear that assessing cultural effects is a mandatory requirement under the RMA, with respect to all resource consent and private plan changes.	Allow <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z 11</span>
S390.005	Support	Taking an inclusive and integrated approach to managing flora and fauna and providing resourcing to tangata whenua will assist with realising “high trust collaborative” relationships.	Allow <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z 12</span>
S390.007	Support	Including objectives and policies that focus on mātauranga Māori will assist with implementing s 6(e) RMA.	Allow <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z 13</span>
S390.001	Support	Resourcing tangata whenua to effectively participate in Council-led initiatives like identifying sites of significance (where culturally appropriate) will assist in achieving those initiatives.	Allow
S390.015	Support	It should be clear that assessing cultural effects is a mandatory requirement under the RMA, with respect to all resource consent and private plan changes.	Allow <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z 14</span>
S390.018	Support	Customary uses and places extend beyond land, buildings and marae activities.	Allow <span style="color: red;">FS%&amp;Z 15</span>



S390.020	Support	The PFNDP must implement the freshwater policy to the extent that FNDC manages freshwater. Te mana o tew ai is the focal point of the NZPSFW.	Allow	FS% <del>4</del> 16
S390.036	Support	Building relationships at both an iwi and hapū level is essential to achieving Council led initiatives.	Allow	FS% <del>4</del> 17
S390.048	Support in part	Greater clarity is required of cultural impact assessments. All subdivisions and land uses have the potential to impact culture and ancestral relationships. Effects assessments of such are required by the RMA.	Amend new policy: TW-P7 Require:	FS% <del>4</del> 18
			(a) an assessment of cultural effects, which may include a cultural impact assessment for all activities, resource consents and / or private plan changes of land use and subdivision proposals that have the potential for positive or adverse effects on the relationship of tangata whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wahi tapu and other taonga.	
			(b) any resource consent application that is incomplete in that it does not include an assessment of cultural effects assessment to be returned to the applicant.	
S390.049	Support	Hapū should have the opportunity to enter into Mana Whakahono a Rohe agreements – not all hapū have mandated iwi entities to act on their behalf.	Allow	FS% <del>4</del> 19
S390.052	Support	Appointing tangata whenua to Council planning committees and hearing panels will assist with ensuring	Allow	FS% <del>4</del> 20





S364.011, 014	Support	The NZSIB provides clear definitions as to what constitutes a biodiversity offset. As above, it is now in force.	Allow
S364.012, 015	Support	Principles pertaining to offsets and compensation are critical to proper implementation.	Allow
S364.019	Support	Consistency in the definition of 'wetland' is required to properly implement the NPSFM.	Allow
S364.023	Support	Avoiding adverse effects on significant natural areas, outstanding natural landscapes and outstanding natural features and considering functional need aligns with the NZCPS.  Offsets and compensation relate to residual adverse effects.	Allow
S364.025	Support	Avoiding adverse effects on significant natural areas is one mechanism by which s 6(c) RMA can be implemented.	Allow
S364.032	Support	Protecting, maintaining and restoring indigenous biodiversity reflects sustainable management of ecosystems.	Allow

<p>S364.034, S364.002 S364.035</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>Areas contained in the Wildlands report should be included as SNA's, however this report is not exhaustive.</p> <p>Identification of SNA's needs to be an iterative process if s 6(c) RMA is to be properly implemented. SNA should be treated as such whether identified or not.</p> <p>SNA identification is not dependent on landholder agreement.</p> <p>Since this submission was made, the NZPSIB has commenced.</p> <p>Resourcing hapū or iwi to identify SNA's will assist in identification of such areas</p>	<p>Amend in part:</p> <p>Identify Significant Natural Areas by:</p> <p>a. using the ecological significance criteria in Appendix 1 <del>5 of the RPS or in any more recent</del> National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity;</p> <p>b. including areas that meet the ecological significance criteria as Significant Natural Areas in Schedule 4 <del>of the District Plan and on the planning maps where this is agreed with the landowner and verified by physical inspection where practicable;</del></p> <p><del>e. encouraging landowners to include including identified Significant Natural Areas in Schedule 4 of the District Plan at the time of subdivision and development;</del></p> <p>d. providing assistance to landowners <b>and hapū or iwi</b> to add Significant Natural Areas to Schedule 4 of the District Plan; and</p> <p>e. requiring an assessment of the ecological significance for indigenous vegetation clearance to establish permitted activity thresholds in Rule IB R2-R4.</p>
<p>S364.036</p>	<p>Support in part</p>	<p>While we support the general intention of the proposed amendment, we consider recognition <u>and provision</u> for protection of significant areas is required. Levels of acceptable adverse effects should be certain.</p>	<p>Amend:</p> <p><b>Recognise and provide for the protection of scheduled and unscheduled SNAs by avoiding adverse effects on ensuring the characteristics</b></p>

			that contribute to their significance. <del>are not adversely affected</del>
S364.037	Support	The proposed amendments ensure the PFNDP implements NZCPS Policy 11.	Allow
S364.038	Support	The proposed amendments ensure the PFNDP implement NZCPS Policy 11.	Allow
S364.046	Support	Ensuring vegetation clearance is appropriately controlled is critical to protecting eco-systems and related cultural values.	Allow
S364.069	Support in part	<p>We support including specific mention of subdivision.</p> <p>The coastal environment's natural character should be protected, irrespective of whether it is classified as 'outstanding'.</p>	<p>Amend:</p> <p>Prohibit land use and <u>subdivision</u> that would result in any loss and/or destruction of <del>the characteristics and qualities in</del> outstanding natural character areas.</p>