

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S559.008	Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia	General / Process	Support in part	For example there are amenity-based rules on 'reflectivity', building height or similar that unduly limit opportunities for small to medium scale solar or wind generation.	Amend land use to ensure there are no impediments to climate change mitigation.		Reject
FS151.141	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS25.014	Kiwi Fresh Orange Company Limited		Support	Supports the aspirations of Ngāti Rēhia in respect of climate change mitigation.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS570.2198	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS348.035	Alec Brian Cox		Oppose	The submission was not made by the closing date and is therefore not a valid submission under RMA	Disallow	I seek that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
FS566.2212	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.2234	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S483.076	Top Energy Limited	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support	In general, Top Energy supports the outcomes sought within this chapter. The nature of this chapter is unique to others in that it seeks to manage a specific activity on a district wide basis. It is not clear how this Chapter interacts with Part 3 - Area Specific Matters. It is assumed that the standards within Part 3 would apply (except where more permissive standards are provided in the REG chapter). However, without specific direction within the chapter confirming that this is the case, this could generate confusion for plan users.	Amend the Plan to provide clarity around integration of this chapter with other Chapters in the Plan so that the provisions of the REG chapter have precedence.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				The How the Plan works Chapter gives specific direction as to how Part 2: District - Wide Matters interacts with the Sensitive Environment Overlays, but not how this chapter should be treated.			
FS78.048	Transpower New Zealand Limited		Support	The submitter supports this submission as it will improve the clarity of the plan.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS346.061	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not appropriate to specify that the REG provisions have precedence over other plan provisions.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.127	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S454.033	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Not Stated	<p>The Energy chapter of the FNPDP contains provisions that provide for infrastructure, including the National Grid, however not all provisions relating the infrastructure are located within the chapter. The FNPDP contains provisions in a number of other chapters that relate to infrastructure, including the National Grid. These include for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Natural Hazards - Hazardous substances - Historic Heritage - Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity - Natural character - Natural features and landscapes - Subdivision - Earthworks - Notable trees <p>Where necessary, Transpower has made more specific submission on provisions throughout the FNPDP as drafted seeking to ensure that critical infrastructure, such as the National Grid, is appropriately provided for and the NPSET is given</p>	<p>Retain the Energy chapter but amend it to ensure that all provisions relating to infrastructure, including the National Grid, are contained within that chapter and cross references within all other chapters of the FNPDP make it clear that the infrastructure provisions apply, or have primacy where necessary.</p> <p>Should the FNPDP not be amended as requested, ensure that the District Wide Matters, Zones and Overlays and other relevant sections of the Plan (such as the How the Plan Works chapter) are amended to ensure that infrastructure is appropriately provided for and the cross-referencing between chapters clearly directs the plan user to the provisions of the Infrastructure chapter that apply to an activity and where these have primacy.</p>		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				effect to efficiently and effectively. However, Transpower's preference is for a standalone set of provisions for infrastructure, including the National Grid, within the Infrastructure Chapter as it avoids duplication (for example in the zone rules) and provides a coherent set of rules which applicants/users can refer to. The ability of the EPlan to provide links within the plan would ensure plan users can be directed to the Infrastructure chapter as required, when looking in other chapters. It could also be made clear that the objectives, policies and rules in the infrastructure chapter have primacy, in accordance with the requirements of the NPSET for example, where there is a conflict.			
FS354.011	Horticulture New Zealand		Support	The submitter seeks that all provisions for energy are included in the energy chapter. The intent is supported to the extent that there needs to be clarity where relevant provisions are located within the Plan.	Allow	Allow S454.033 to the extent that it provides clarity to the Plan	Accept in part
FS346.021	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Forest & Bird opposes amendments that would give Infrastructure and transport provisions primacy over other sections of the plan, particularly IB, NATC, ONFLs and Notable Trees.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS369.012	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports appropriate cross-referencing between the Energy Chapter and other District Wide Chapters and that provisions are consistently applied across topics.	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part
S359.033	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	Renewable energy provisions need to recognise that some forms of renewable energy (such as wind speeds required for larger-scale wind farms for example) are limited geographically and may be location specific in the same way in which mineral resources or highly versatile soils are	Amend the renewable energy provisions to recognise that some forms of renewable energy are limited geographically and may be location specific		Accept in part
FS369.007	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy agrees that the renewable energy provisions should recognise the geographical limitations associated with some forms of	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				renewable energy. Top Energy sought amendments to REG-O3 to this effect.			
FS570.1069	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.494	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1083	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1105	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S359.034	Northland Regional Council	General / Plan Content / Miscellaneous	Support in part	As the nation shifts to a low carbon economy, the need for our Northland communities to maximise their use of renewable energy sources for electricity generation within the next decade will become paramount and we recommend careful consideration of the provisions which must enable this access.	Amend the provisions to enhance access to renewable energy sources.		Accept in part
FS369.008	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy agrees that access to renewable energy sources should be enhanced	Allow	allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS570.1070	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.495	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.Forest & Bird supports the full submission other	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission			
FS566.1084	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1106	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.004	Top Energy Limited	COMMUNITY SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definition of 'Community Scale Renewable Electricity Generation Activities'		Accept in part
FS345.055	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.007	Top Energy Limited	LARGE SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definition of 'Large Scale Renewable Electricity Generation Activities'		Accept in part
FS345.058	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.014	Top Energy Limited	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definition of 'Renewable Electricity Generation'		Accept in part
FS345.065	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						in its submission (S483).	
S483.015	Top Energy Limited	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definition of 'Renewable Electricity Generation Activities'		Accept
FS351.002	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the public to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject
FS371.002	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoru, Ngati Rahiri		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the public to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject
FS449.002	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Footprint is a clear layman's term for the public to understand.	Disallow	No change as definitions are adequate.	Reject
FS345.066	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S511.014	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support in part	The meaning is firstly set out to be "of structures associated with renewable electricity generation". However, the further inclusions appear to extend to infrastructure beyond "structures" and possibly to earthworks and planting for site rehabilitation works. It is also unclear whether the definition includes structures specifically for renewable electricity generation rather than just associated with it. The inclusion of ancillary activities needs to be reconsidered and captured within this definition only where renewable electricity generation meets	Amend the definition to clarify its application to generation structures and associated/ancillary infrastructure and to limit the definition to RSI. That is where generation is of more than 1 MW of electricity and its supporting infrastructure where the electricity generated is supplied to the electricity distribution and transmission networks.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				the definition of RSI. Limiting the definition to renewable electricity generation within the scope of the definition for RSI is appropriate given the special considerations provided for in provisions, including ECO and CE chapters for these activities in terms of adverse environmental effects.			
FS164.014	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Reject
FS369.072	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS570.1585	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS566.1599	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1621	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S442.034	Kapiro Conservation Trust	RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION ACTIVITIES	Support in part	The meaning is firstly set out to be "of structures associated with renewable electricity generation". However, the further inclusions appear to extend to infrastructure beyond "structures" and possibly to	Amend the definition to clarify its application to generation structures and associated/ancillary infrastructure and to limit the definition to RSI. That is where generation is of more than 1 MW		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				<p>earthworks and planting for site rehabilitation works. It is also unclear whether the definition includes structures specifically for renewable electricity generation rather than just associated with it.</p> <p>The inclusion of ancillary activities needs to be reconsidered and captured within this definition only where renewable electricity generation meets the definition of RSI. Limiting the definition to renewable electricity generation within the scope of the definition for RSI is appropriate given the special considerations provided for in provisions, including ECO and CE chapters for these activities in terms of adverse environmental effects.</p>	of electricity and its supporting infrastructure where the electricity generated is supplied to the electricity distribution and transmission networks.		
FS369.071	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy opposes the submission point in relation to this definition as it supports the definition as notified.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS570.1730	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.645	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S483.016	Top Energy Limited	SMALL SCALE RENEWABLE ELECTRICITY GENERATION	Support	Top Energy supports this definition as worded.	Retain the definition of 'Small Scale Renewable Electricity Generation'		Accept
FS351.003	A.W and D.M Simpson		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need" definition as written by Top energy.	Reject
FS371.003	Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and its associated Hapu, Ngati Kawa, Te Ngare		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need" definition as written by Top energy.	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Hauata, Te Matarahurahu, Te Whanaurara, Ngati Kaihoro, Ngati Rahiri						
FS131.003	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by the original submitter to navigate around the intent of the proposed FNDP.	Disallow	Reject the 'operational need' definition as sought by the original submission.	Reject
FS449.003	The Proprietors of Tapuaetahi Incorporation		Oppose	Adopting this definition risks the plan being reinterpreted by Top Energy to navigate around the intent of the PDP.	Disallow	Do not adopt "operational need" definition as written by Top energy	Reject
FS345.067	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S483.077	Top Energy Limited	REG-O1	Support	Top Energy supports acknowledgement of the significance of the local regional and national benefits of renewable energy and recognition in the Plan of its inclusion as a matter of national significance in the RMA. Further the acknowledgment of the operation, functional, technical needs and constrains.	Retain Objective REG-O1		Accept in part
FS196.15	Joe Carr		Support	an absolutely essential provision for the sustainability of the planet earth	Allow		Accept in part
FS345.128	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						in its submission (S483).	
S394.015	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-O1	Support in part	The wording of this provision elevates the importance of renewable energy. Benefit from renewable energy is not a matter of national importance that must be recognised and provided for, but a 'other matter' that attracts particular regard, per s 7(j) RMA.	Amend Objective REG-O1 as follows: The significant local, regional and national benefits from the use and development of renewable electricity generation activities, and their technical, operational and functional needs and constraints, are recognised and provided for .		Reject
FS369.262	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy supports this objective as notified.	Disallow		Reject
FS363.015	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS538.015	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS537.015	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS536.015	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS535.015	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS533.015	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS532.015	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS531.015	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS530.015	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS529.015	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS528.015	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS527.015	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS526.015	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS525.015	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS524.015	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS523.015	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS522.015	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS521.015	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS520.015	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS519.015	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS518.015	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS517.015	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS516.015	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS515.015	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS514.015	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS513.015	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS512.015	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS511.015	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS510.015	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS509.015	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS508.015	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS507.015	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS506.015	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS505.015	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS504.015	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS503.015	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS502.015	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS501.015	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS500.015	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS499.015	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS498.015	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS497.015	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS496.015	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS495.015	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS494.015	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS493.015	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS492.015	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS491.015	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS490.015	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS489.015	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS487.015	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS486.015	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS485.015	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS483.015	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS482.015	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS481.015	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS480.015	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS479.015	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS478.015	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS477.015	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS476.015	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS475.015	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS474.015	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS473.015	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS472.015	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS471.015	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS470.015	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS469.015	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS468.015	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS467.015	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS466.015	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS465.015	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS464.015	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS463.015	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS462.015	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS461.015	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS460.015	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS459.015	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS458.015	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS457.015	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS456.015	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS455.015	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS454.015	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS453.015	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS452.015	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS451.015	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS450.015	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS447.015	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS440.015	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS439.015	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS436.015	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS435.015	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS434.015	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS433.015	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS432.015	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS431.015	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS430.015	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS429.015	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS428.015	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS427.015	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS426.015	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS425.015	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS423.015	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS422.015	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS421.015	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS420.015	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS418.015	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS417.015	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS416.015	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS415.015	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS408.015	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS388.015	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS387.015	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS386.015	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS385.015	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS382.015	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS381.015	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS380.015	Asleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS379.015	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS378.015	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS365.015	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS360.015	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS359.015	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS358.015	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS357.015	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS356.015	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS568.015	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS567.015	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS563.015	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS562.015	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS561.015	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS560.015	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS559.015	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS558.015	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS557.015	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS556.015	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS555.015	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS553.015	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS552.015	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS551.015	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS546.015	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS545.015	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS544.015	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS178.015	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS413.015	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS588.015	Ian Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S421.045	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O1	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-O1, REG-O2 and REG-O4 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Objective REG-O1 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS369.263	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy supports this objective as notified.	Allow	Allow	Accept in part
FS570.1277	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.279	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1291	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						inconsistent with our original submission	
FS569.1313	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S421.046	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O2	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-O1, REG-O2 and REG-O4 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Objective REG-O2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS369.264	Top Energy		Oppose	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements or to recognise the benefits of renewable energy. Top Energy considers that this objective should be deleted or amended	Disallow	Delete or Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1278	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.280	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1292	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1314	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.078	Top Energy Limited	REG-O2	Not Stated	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements, or recognise the benefits of renewable energy. On review of the policies, Top Energy considers it is likely the latter, and if this is the case the objective is unnecessary given that REG O1	Delete Objective REG-O2 OR amend to avoid the objective being interpreted as a list of minimum requirements.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				recognises and provides for the benefits and REG - P4 establishes what the benefits include.			
FS345.129	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S421.048	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O3	Support in part	We have concerns over the proposed wording for objective REG-O3. The generation of renewable energy needs to occur where the energy source is located. The objective as currently drafted does not recognise this functional need as renewable energy needs to be located where the renewable source is located to ensure effective and efficient production. On farms, renewable energy generation occurs from the wind, the sun and in some instances, the capture of methane. The infrastructure to capture these renewable energy sources needs to be located where the resource is.	Amend Objective REG-O3 as follows: Renewable electricity generation activities where practical are located and designed to avoid and minimise adverse effects on areas with historical, cultural, environmental, and coastal values. or wording with similar intent		Accept in part
FS51.55	Heritage New Zealand Poutere Taonga		Oppose	HNZPT recognises the importance of the provision for renewable electricity generations. However, HNZPT considers the proposed amendment is unnecessary and opposes this amendment. Objective REG-O3 specifically addresses and recognises the potential for such infrastructure to cause adverse effects on areas with historic heritage & cultural values.	Disallow		Accept in part
FS369.266	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1280	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						inconsistent with our original submission	
FS346.282	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1294	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1316	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.079	Top Energy Limited	REG-O3	Not Stated	The current wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure. Further, the reference to values should align with what is mapped in the Plan.	Amend Objective REG-O3 as follows: Adverse effects from renewable energy generation activities on values mapped in the Plan are managed. Renewable electricity generation activities are located and designed to avoid and minimise adverse effects on areas with historical, cultural, environmental and coastal values.		Accept in part
FS131.020	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust, Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe		Oppose	The current wording is clear and the original submitter is attempting to remove the directive by the proposed FNDP to avoid or minimise. Managing adverse effects is inappropriate and undermines the original intent.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation						
FS346.062	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS404.082	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The mapping is not complete in the notified FNDP, for example, SNAs have been identified but not scheduled and areas of the coastal environment have been omitted. It is appropriate that effects on these values are assessed, and an avoidance directive is applied where required by the higher order planning instruments.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.130	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S511.047	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-O3	Support in part	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.	Amend: "... avoid, remedy or mitigate minimise ..."		Accept in part
FS164.047	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS548.152	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc		Support in part	Federated Farmers also suggested an amendment to objective REG-03 to recognize the functional need of renewable electricity generation activities to be located where the renewable source is located to ensure effective and efficient production.	Allow in part	Grant the relief sought along with the relief sought in Federated Farmers original submission.	Accept in part
FS369.268	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1618	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1632	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1654	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S399.030	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-03	Not Stated	The wording of Objective REG-03 is ambiguous, it is not possible to avoid and minimise adverse effects	Amend Objective REG-03 as follows: Renewable electricity generation activities are located and designed to avoid and minimise significant adverse effects on areas with historical, cultural, environmental and coastal values. Alternatively the words "avoid and" could be deleted		Reject
FS369.265	Top Energy		Oppose	It is unclear whether this objective seeks to direct minimum requirements or to recognise the benefits	Disallow	Delete or Amend	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				of renewable energy. Top Energy considers that this objective should be deleted or amended.			
S442.066	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-O3	Support	The word 'minimise' is not appropriate and does not reflect the terminology used in RMA, s5 This chapter does not have any rules that address indigenous biodiversity. It may be that this objective is better reflected in the IB chapter.	Amend: "... avoid, remedy or mitigate minimise ..."		Reject
FS369.267	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks amendment to this objective and considers that the proposed wording is unclear whether adverse effects on values should be avoided or minimised. Managing adverse effects is more aligned with the Regional Policy Statement (Policy 5.3.3) noting that renewable energy generation is considered regionally significant infrastructure	Disallow	Amend	Accept
FS570.1763	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS346.677	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
S304.002	Ngati Rangī ki Ngāwha Hapu	REG-O4	Support in part	As reiterated in Ngati Rangī Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangī have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangī is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues.	Amend to ensure consistency with Ngati Rangī Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy, including: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangī have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangī is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues. The council will investigate joint approaches to renewable energy development and use, including the possible Transfer of Power or Joint Management Agreements, between itself and Far North District Council, Northland		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					Regional Council, or other authorities, including Recognised Iwi Authorities and Mandated Iwi Authorities.		
S331.018	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	REG-04	Support	The submitter supports objective REG-04 to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities, like schools, in close proximity to community and large-scale renewable electricity activities.	Retain as proposed.		Accept in part
S515.007	Ngati Rangī ki Ngawha	REG-04	Support in part	As reiterated in Ngati Rangī Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangī have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangī is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues.	Amend to ensure consistency with Ngati Rangī Hapu objectives in regards to renewable energy, including: Clean, renewable energy is harnessed in a way that does not impact the environmental, cultural, visual, and spiritual connections Ngāti Rangī have with Ranginui, our Whenua, and waterways. To protect the mauri of air from adverse effects related to the discharge of contaminants into the air. Ngāti Rangī is involved in regional decision-making on air quality issues. The council will investigate joint approaches to renewable energy development and use, including the possible Transfer of Power or Joint Management Agreements, between itself and Far North District Council, Northland Regional Council, or other authorities, including Recognised Iwi Authorities and Mandated Iwi Authorities.		Accept in part
S159.039	Horticulture New Zealand	REG-04	Support	An objective that seeks to avoid or otherwise mitigate reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities is supported	Retain Objective REG-04		Accept in part
FS129.7	Waste Management New Zealand Limited		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS151.198	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS548.045	Northland Federated		Support	Highly productive land is a limited resource and needs to be recognized and provided for. The	Allow	Grant the relief sought.	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Farmers of New Zealand Inc			Proposed District Plan also needs to be consistent with the National Policy Statement for Highly Productive Land.			
FS369.269	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this objective to provide for "repair" and "maintenance"	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.201	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.215	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.237	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.080	Top Energy Limited	REG-O4	Support	Top Energy supports the enablement of operation, maintenance, and upgrade of these activities, and the protection from reverse sensitivity effects, but consider that repair should also be included. Top Energy also highlights that the use of "or otherwise mitigating" reverse sensitivity effects is inconsistent with and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2) which requires that subdivision use and development be located, designed and built in a manner that "should not result in incompatible land uses in close proximity and avoids the potential for reverse sensitivity".	Amend Objective REG-O4 as follows: The ongoing efficient operation, maintenance, repair and upgrading of existing renewable electricity generation activities is enabled, including through avoiding, or otherwise mitigating , the reverse sensitivity effects from sensitive activities in close proximity to community and large-scale renewable electricity activities.		Accept in part
FS131.021	Oromahoe Land Owners: AW and DM Simpson, R.A.S Ltd, Arran Trust,		Oppose	It is unclear how maintenance doesn't capture repair unless repair is being used as a vehicle for upgrading. The original submitter seeks to mitigate effects when encroaching on others interests but	Disallow	Disallow the original submission (inferred).	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Garry Stanners, Errol McIntyre, SW Halliday, SJ and PM Boys, Oromahoe 18R2B2B2 Trust and Tapuaetahi Incorporation			also seeks to not enable land owners the same right when encroaching on their assets.			
FS345.131	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S421.047	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-O4	Support	Federated Farmers supports objectives REG-O1, REG-O2 and REG-O4 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Objective REG-O4 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS570.1279	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.281	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1293	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1315	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.093	Top Energy Limited	Policies	Support	Method 5.4.3 of the RPS directs Council to Encourage and Provide for activities associated with investigation for the identification	Include a new policy as follows (or to the same effect): REG - PXEnable activities		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				renewable energy sources. In the context of Top Energy's interests, this is of particular relevance to geothermal energy where sub surface investigation is often required to understand the availability of the resource to inform feasibility. The inclusion of such a policy also supports REG - 3 and REG - 4 which provide for investigation activities associated with hydro and wind.	associated with the investigation, identification and assessment of potential sites and energy sources for renewable electricity generation		
FS196.187	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept
FS196.188	Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Accept
FS346.066	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Policy is inappropriate without management of effects.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Reject
FS345.144	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S394.016	Haitaimarangi Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-P1	Support in part	The wording of this provision elevates the importance of renewable energy. Benefit from renewable energy is not a matter of national importance that must be recognised and provided for, but a 'other matter' that attracts particular regard, per s 7(j) RMA.	Amend Policy REG-P1 as follows: Recognise and provide Consider the benefits of renewable electricity generation activities that supply electricity to the National Grid or local electricity distribution network or directly to high energy users as regionally significant infrastructure.		Reject
FS369.272	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified. The amendment sought is inconsistent with the national direction and weakens the wording of this objective	Disallow	Retain	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS363.016	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS538.016	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS537.016	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS536.016	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS535.016	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS533.016	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS532.016	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS531.016	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS530.016	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS529.016	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS528.016	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS527.016	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS526.016	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS525.016	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS524.016	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS523.016	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS522.016	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS521.016	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS520.016	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS519.016	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS518.016	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS517.016	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS516.016	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS515.016	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS514.016	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS513.016	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS512.016	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS511.016	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS510.016	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS509.016	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS508.016	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS507.016	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS506.016	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS505.016	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS504.016	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS503.016	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS502.016	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS501.016	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS500.016	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS499.016	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS498.016	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS497.016	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS496.016	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS495.016	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS494.016	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS493.016	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS492.016	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS491.016	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS490.016	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS489.016	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS487.016	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS486.016	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS485.016	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS483.016	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS482.016	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS481.016	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS480.016	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS479.016	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS478.016	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS477.016	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS476.016	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS475.016	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS474.016	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS473.016	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS472.016	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS471.016	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS470.016	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS469.016	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS468.016	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS467.016	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS466.016	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS465.016	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS464.016	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS463.016	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS462.016	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS461.016	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS460.016	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS459.016	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS458.016	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS457.016	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS456.016	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS455.016	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS454.016	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS453.016	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS452.016	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS451.016	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS450.016	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS447.016	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS440.016	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS439.016	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS436.016	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS435.016	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS434.016	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS433.016	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS432.016	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS431.016	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS430.016	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS429.016	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS428.016	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS427.016	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS426.016	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS425.016	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS423.016	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS422.016	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS421.016	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS420.016	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS418.016	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS417.016	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS416.016	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS415.016	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS408.016	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS388.016	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS387.016	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS386.016	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS385.016	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS382.016	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS381.016	Lorraine Joan Heteraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS380.016	Asleigh Heteraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS379.016	Kaya Heteraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS378.016	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS365.016	Roberta Heteraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS360.016	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS359.016	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS358.016	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS357.016	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS356.016	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS568.016	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS567.016	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS563.016	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS562.016	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS561.016	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS560.016	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS559.016	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS558.016	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS557.016	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS556.016	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS555.016	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS553.016	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS552.016	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS551.016	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS546.016	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS545.016	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS544.016	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS178.016	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS413.016	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS588.016	Ian Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S421.049	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P1	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P1 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept
FS369.273	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified.	Allow	Retain	Accept
FS570.1281	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.283	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1295	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1317	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S483.081	Top Energy Limited	REG-P1	Support	Top Energy supports this recognition and provision for renewable energy generation activities as Regionally Significant Infrastructure,	Retain Policy REG-P1		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				including where the electricity is directed to a high energy user.			
FS345.132	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept
S483.082	Top Energy Limited	REG-P2	Support	Top Energy supports the provision for ongoing maintenance, operation and upgrade but considers that this should be enabled and seeks wording to reflect this in the policy and amendments to associated rules to reflect this	Amend Policy REG-P2 as follows: Provide Enable the continued operation, maintenance, repair , upgrading and replacement of renewable electricity generation activities to maintain and increase generation capacity		Accept in part
FS346.063	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.133	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S421.050	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P2	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS369.274	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend this policy.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1282	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.284	Royal Forest and Bird		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.			with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.			
FS566.1296	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1318	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S421.051	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P3	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P3 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS570.1283	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.285	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1297	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1319	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.083	Top Energy Limited	REG-P3	Support	Top Energy supports this policy.	Retain Policy REG-P3		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS345.134	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.084	Top Energy Limited	REG-P4	Support	Top Energy support the intent of this policy but seek amendments to: - Recognise and provide for the benefits in alignment with RPS and NPS-REG; - Specifically acknowledge improved resilience (through a variety of energy sources) as a benefit; - Remove REG-P4(g) as it is not a 'benefit' and does not fit in this policy.	Amend Policy REG-P4 as follows: Recognise and provide for the benefits of new community scale and new large-scale renewable electricity generation activities, which include: a. security of electricity supply; b. increased energy independence and improvements in resilience of supply resulting from local generation; c. economic benefits to the local, regional or national economy; d. helping to meet local, regional or central government renewable electricity and emission reduction targets; e. improved quality of life and standard of living; and f. public health; and adverse effects are managed in accordance with REE P5 and P6		Accept
FS196.17	Joe Carr		Support	provides for RMA sec 2 sustainability requirements	Allow		Accept
FS345.135	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited	Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						in its submission (S483).	
S368.030	Far North District Council	REG-P4	Support in part	Typo: REG-P5 is incorrectly referenced in g.	Amend REG-P4 Provide for the benefits of new community scale and new large-scale renewable electricity generation activities, which include: a. security of electricity supply; b. increased energy independence from local generation; c. economic benefits to the local, regional or national economy; d. helping to meet local, regional or central government renewable electricity and emission reduction targets; e. improved quality of life and standard of living; f. public health; and g. adverse effects are managed in accordance with REG P5 and P6		Accept in part
FS369.275	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to remove clause (g) as it is not a benefit and does not fit in this policy.	Disallow	Remove clause	Accept in part
S399.031	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P4	Not Stated	Point g. of Policy REG-P4 contains reference to policies that don't exist (REE-P5 and P6)	Amend point g. of Policy REG-P4 as follow e. adverse effects are managed in accordance with REEG-P5 and P6.		Accept in part
FS369.276	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seek to remove clause (g) as it is not a benefit and does not fit in this policy.	Disallow	Remove clause	Accept in part
S421.052	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P4	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P4 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.277	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seek to amend this policy.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1284	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.286	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1298	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1320	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S364.025	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-P5	Support in part	The Director-General is generally supportive of Policy REG-P5, however, notes that SNAs and the coastal environment have value in of itself, not just in characteristics and qualities and the wording should reflect this.	Amend the wording of Policy REG-P5 as follows: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity generation activities by: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. avoiding adverse effects on the qualities and characteristics of significant natural areas, outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; 2. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and 		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						landscapes, and areas of natural character; 3. recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of renewable electricity generation activities; and 4. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.	
FS196.18	Joe Carr		Oppose	THE SURVIVAL OF THE PLANET IS THE CALL HERE DoC	Disallow		Accept
FS339.044	Haitaitamarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Avoiding adverse effects on significant natural areas is one mechanism by which s 6(c) RMA can be implemented.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
FS369.278	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
FS570.1106	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.165	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1120	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1142	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S511.048	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-P5	Oppose	<p>This policy mirrors I-P2. Forest & Bird concerns are the same as those for I-P2</p> <p>There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step too far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should</p>	<p>Amend same as relief as for I-P2, replace the words Regionally Significant Infrastructure with 'Renewable Electricity Generation'</p> <p>Amend REG-P5 In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure and new Regionally Significant Infrastructure or re-consenting of existing of Renewable Electricity Generation activities by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. avoiding adverse effects on the values, qualities and characteristics of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. significant natural areas, b. The outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. Avoiding adverse effects on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the NZ Threat Classification System lists; and b. Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; <p>b. areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>c. Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial, traditional, or cultural</p>	Accept in part	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.	<p>purposes; d. Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands, intertidal zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; and e. Historic heritage recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and e. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p>		
FS164.048	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.			
FS369.283	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1619	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1633	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1655	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.085	Top Energy Limited	REG-P5	Not Stated	Top Energy consider that more balance needs to be provided for in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Renewable energy activities (e.g., wind in particular) are inevitably located within the coastal environment. An outright 'avoid' directive for any adverse effects is not realistic and will unduly constrain renewable energy generation activities. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Amend Policy REG-P5 as follows: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity generation activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects that are more than minor on the characteristics of significant natural areas, and mapped outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. avoiding significant adverse effects that are more than minor on other mapped natural features and		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					landscapes, and areas of natural character; C... d. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures including measures or compensation which benefit the local environment and community affected where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated		
FS346.064	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS404.083	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with the s6 of the Act and higher order planning documents, including the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS345.136	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S394.017	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust	REG-P5	Support in part	The natural character of the coastal environment must be preserved under s 6(e) RMA. Protection of SNA, ONF and ONL is a starting point. Effects should not need to be significant to trigger avoidance. Provision to address potential effects on cultural values within the coastal environment is also important in the context of ss 6(e), 7(a), 8 RMA.	Amend Policy REG-P5 as follows: In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity generation activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment and the qualities and		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					characteristics of significant natural areas, outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. avoiding significant adverse effects on other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; c. avoiding significant adverse effects on cultural values and remedying or mitigating other adverse cultural effects...		
FS369.279	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
FS363.017	Liz Rowena Maki Hetaraka.		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS538.017	Awhina Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS537.017	Maryanne June Harrison		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS536.017	Bradley Tauhara Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS535.017	Dyrell Akavi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS533.017	Sidney John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS532.017	Wiremu Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS531.017	Phyllis Marie Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS530.017	Norma Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS529.017	Aaron Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS528.017	Erana Samuels		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS527.017	David Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS526.017	Michelle Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS525.017	Vaughn Piripi Duvell Evans		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS524.017	Tania Morunga		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS523.017	Brett Larkin		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS522.017	Stacey Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS521.017	Marie Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS520.017	Maureen Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS519.017	Huia Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS518.017	William Boyd Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS517.017	Mereana Alma Houkamau		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS516.017	Rebecca Jan Stensness		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS515.017	Anaru Poharama		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS514.017	Robert Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS513.017	Ester Rangi Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS512.017	Ellen Appleby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS511.017	Cedric Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS510.017	Raniera Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS509.017	Clinton Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS508.017	Sana Ryan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS507.017	Te TeArani Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS506.017	Selwyn Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS505.017	Thomson Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS504.017	Ngarei Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS503.017	Nina Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS502.017	Rebecca Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS501.017	Patricia Ellen Buddy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS500.017	Whetu Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS499.017	Paki Daniel Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS498.017	Aaron George Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS497.017	Tayla Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS496.017	Cheryl Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS495.017	Jasmine Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS494.017	Ian Ethan Bamber		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS493.017	Albert Tawhio Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS492.017	Sarah Kati Cook		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS491.017	Mark J Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS490.017	Julia Middleton		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS489.017	Josephine Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS487.017	Timothy Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS486.017	John Barry Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS485.017	Travis Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS483.017	Mate Simon Covich Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS482.017	Waikura Maungaia Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS481.017	Peggy Joanne Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS480.017	Cheryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS479.017	Jacob Hohaia		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS478.017	Grayson Fleur Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS477.017	Chase McIndoe		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS476.017	Jessica Solomon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS475.017	Marina Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS474.017	Steven Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS473.017	Beryl Chase		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS472.017	Krystal-Jade Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS471.017	William Gary Butt		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS470.017	Michael Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS469.017	Anne-maree Morrissey		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS468.017	Elias Reihana-Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS467.017	Carol Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS466.017	Janet Myra Bennett		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS465.017	Rangimarie Muru		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS464.017	Glennis Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS463.017	Jayden Murray		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS462.017	Roharia Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS461.017	Vincent C Matiu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS460.017	Tawhai Motu		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS459.017	Maria Kim Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS458.017	Alexander John Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS457.017	Ena Lesley Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS456.017	Rhys Alexander Lawrence-Busby		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS455.017	Rangi Matthew Marriott		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS454.017	Turei John Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS453.017	Marlaine Ulrich		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS452.017	Reikura Joan Boyd		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS451.017	Ariana Bellingham		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS450.017	Georgina Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS447.017	Rangaunu Taua		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS440.017	Hongi Laing		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS439.017	Rahera Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS436.017	Parehuia Jane Williams		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS435.017	George Hori Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS434.017	Anthony Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS433.017	Christian Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS432.017	Makarita Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS431.017	Valarie Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS430.017	Kaeo Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS429.017	Cedric Rutene		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS428.017	Shane Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS427.017	Jacey Horan		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS426.017	Toni Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS425.017	Florence Campbell		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS423.017	Joseph Maheno		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS422.017	Sharmaine Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS421.017	Gia-Dene Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS420.017	Josephine Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS418.017	Mary Watkins		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS417.017	Maddison Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS416.017	Isobel Fitzgibbon		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS415.017	Michelle Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS408.017	Jason Gardiner		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS388.017	Crystal Myra Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS387.017	Aroha Whitinui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS386.017	Tynan Hokimate Mark		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS385.017	Victoria Murphy		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS382.017	Yvonne Meta Desmond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS381.017	Lorraine Joan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS380.017	Ashleigh Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS379.017	Kaya Hetaraka-Tawhai		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS378.017	Maanu Reihana		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS365.017	Roberta Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS360.017	Cameron Mccaskill		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS359.017	Mark Brannen		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS358.017	Kailah Raharuhi - Alatipi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS357.017	Raharuhi Fiaui		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS356.017	Katharine Kino		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS568.017	Bonnie Hepi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS567.017	Blaze Maraki		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS563.017	Hohepa Fletcher		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS562.017	Rhonda Raharuhi		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS561.017	Ivan Wimoka Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS560.017	Dylan Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS559.017	Clinton Albert Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS558.017	Timothy John Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS557.017	Patricia Kate Broad		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS556.017	Louis Aluishis Brabant		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS555.017	Kelly Sharee Doyle		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS553.017	Kenape Saupese		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS552.017	Barbara May Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS551.017	Alamein Drummond		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS546.017	Shona Hetaraka		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS545.017	Peter Charles Rupapera		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS544.017	Te Waata Lawrence Kara		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS178.017	Hera Johns		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS413.017	Charles Lawrence		Support	I support the entire submission to protect waahi tapu site of significance and rights of tangata whenua	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS588.017	Ian Taylor Bamber		Support	Support original submission to protect our wāhi tapu sites of significance and rights as tangata whenua.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Reject
S399.032	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P5	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, make reference to biodiversity offsetting	Amend point d. of Policy REG-P5 as follows: d. having regard to biodiversity offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.280	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
S421.053	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P5	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P5 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Reject
FS369.281	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
FS570.1285	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.287	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1299	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1321	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.067	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-P5	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P2. Forest & Bird concerns are the same as those for I-P2.	Amend same as relief as for I-P2, replace the words Regionally Significant Infrastructure with 'Renewable Electricity Generation'		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested	Hearings Panel Recommendation
				<p>There are no rules in this chapter that regulate the removal of indigenous biodiversity. For this reason this chapter may not be the most appropriate place for these policies. It means that plan users have to go to the IB chapter then if they are undertaking an infrastructure activity then they will need to go back to the Infrastructure chapter to pick up on these policies. This policy elevates all infrastructure in the Far North to the status of RSI, National Grid, electricity transmission and renewable electricity generation activities. The definition for RSI is already far ranging and including all of infrastructure is a step to far and has no support from higher order planning documents. This policy does not meet the requirements of the NZCPS because it provides access to the effects mitigation hierarchy for all infrastructure in the Far North. This is contrary to the express requirements of the NZCPS in regards to ONLs, ONFs, and policy 11(a) matters. It also runs counter to RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1, 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. The RPS only provides access to the mitigation hierarchy for RSI not infrastructure as a whole in certain circumstances. These suggested amendments reflect these restrictions. Further the RPS does not provide access to offsetting and compensation principles in the Coastal Environment for new or re-consenting of RSI under RPS, policy 5.5.3, 4.4.1 (1) and (2) The RPS, policy 5.5.3 only provides access to offsetting and compensation for maintenance, and upgrading of existing RSI, in the coastal environment. Under the RPS infrastructure in general must comply with RPS policies 4.4.1 and 4.6.1 and 4.6.2. No further policy direction is required for infrastructure in general and it should fall to the natural environment chapter objectives and policies to assist resource consent applications.</p>	<p>Amend REG-P5 In the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure and new Regionally Significant Infrastructure or re-consenting of existing of Renewable Electricity Generation activities by: a. avoiding adverse effects on the values, qualities and characteristics of: a. significant natural areas, b. The outstanding natural features or landscapes, areas of outstanding natural character; b. Avoiding adverse effects on: a. Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the NZ Threat Classification System lists; and b. Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation c. avoiding significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, mitigate other adverse effects on: a. other natural features and landscapes, and areas of natural character; b.areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation; c.Habitats of indigenous species important for recreational, commercial,traditional, or cultural purposes; d.Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries,lagoons, coastal wetlands, dunelands,intertidal</p>	

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					<p>zones, rocky reefs systems, eelgrass, northern wet heathlands, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh; and. Historic heritage- recognising the technical, operational and functional needs and constraints of infrastructure activities; and. having regard to offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p>		
FS369.282	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART -Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS369.288	Top Energy		Support	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1764	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.678	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.			
S511.049	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-P6	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P3 Forest & Bird's concerns are the same as those for I-P3. The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	Amend same as a relief above for I-P3, replace the words Regionally Significant Infrastructure with 'Renewable Electricity Generation. unclear on what is meant here.		Accept in part
FS164.049	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS369.289	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1620	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1634	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1656	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.086	Top Energy Limited	REG-P6	Not Stated	Top Energy seeks amendments to better align with the NPS-REG and the RPS.	Amend policy REG-P6 as follows: Outside the coastal environment, manage the effects of the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of renewable electricity generation activities by: a. avoiding significant effects that are more than minor on significant natural areas, and mapped historical and cultural values, significant natural areas, and outstanding natural features or landscapes to the extent practicable; b. minimising or remedying adverse effects on mapped historical and cultural values, natural environment values that cannot be avoided...		Reject
FS346.065	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Not all important values are mapped in the plan. The amendment sought would therefore be inadequate to manage effects on values.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept
FS404.084	Penny Nelson, Director-General of Conservation		Oppose	The relief is inconsistent with the s6 of the Act and higher order planning documents, including the NZCPS. It is also noted that significant effects are by inherently "more than minor".	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS345.137	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S364.026	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-P6	Support	The Director-General supports Policy REG-P6.	Retain Policy REG-P6		Reject
FS369.284	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
FS570.1107	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.166	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1121	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1143	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Reject
S399.033	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P6	Not Stated	For avoidance of doubt, make reference to biodiversity offsetting	Amend point d. of Policy REG-P6 as follows: d. having regard to biodiversity offsetting and environmental compensation measures where there are more than minor residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.285	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
S421.054	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P6	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P6 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Reject
FS369.286	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy seeks to amend this policy to provide more balance in terms of the avoidance directive in this policy. Top Energy seeks additional amendments to better align this policy with the NPS - REG and the RPS.	Disallow in part	Amend	Accept
FS570.1286	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.288	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1300	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1322	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S442.068	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-P6	Oppose	This policy mirrors I-P3 Forest & Bird's concerns are the same as those for I-P3. The policy does not give effect to the RPS, policies 5.3.3, 4.4.1(3) and 4.6.1.	Amend same as a relief above for I-P3, replace the words Regionally Significant Infrastructure with 'Renewable Electricity Generation. unclear on what is meant here.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS570.1753	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.679	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S421.055	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P7	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P7 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept
FS369.290	Top Energy		Support	Top Energy seeks to retain this policy as notified	Allow	Retain	Accept
FS570.1287	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.289	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1301	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1323	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S483.087	Top Energy Limited	REG-P7	Support	Top Energy supports separate policy direction for solar electricity generation that recognises the discrete nature of the adverse effects associated with the activity.	Retain Policy REG-P7		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS345.138	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S483.088	Top Energy Limited	REG-P8	Support	Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities. However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate" reverse sensitivity effects is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2) which requires that subdivision use and development be located, designed and built in a manner that "should not result in incompatible land uses in close proximity and avoids the potential for reverse sensitivity"	Amend Policy REG-P8 as follows: Require sensitive activities to be designed and located to avoid to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate, reverse sensitivity effects on existing or consented community scale and largescale renewable electricity generation activities.		Reject
FS196.185	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
FS345.139	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S331.019	Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga	REG-P8	Support	The submitter supports the policy REG-P8 and the need for sensitive activities to be designed to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on renewable electricity generation activities, where a new school is established in close proximity to these activities.	Retain policy REG-P8 as proposed.		Accept
FS369.291	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities. However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "...to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate..." is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2).	Disallow in part	Amend	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S421.056	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P8	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P8 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept
FS369.292	Top Energy		Oppose	Oppose IN PART - Top Energy supports protecting large scale renewable electricity generation activities. However, Top Energy is concerned that the use of "...to the extent possible, or otherwise mitigate..." is inconsistent with, and does not give effect to the Northland Regional Policy Statement, and in particular Policy 5.1.1(2).	Disallow in part	Amend	Reject
FS570.1288	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.290	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1302	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1324	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S159.040	Horticulture New Zealand	REG-P9	Support in part	The policy seeks that large scale renewable electricity generation activities should generally be in the Rural Production Zone. There should be consideration that such activities do not locate on highly productive land.	Amend Policy REG-P9 as follows: Avoid locating large-scale renewable electricity generation activities outside the Rural Production zone or highly productive land unless it can be demonstrated that adverse effects will be no more than minor.		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS151.199	Ngāi Tukairangi No.2 Trust		Support		Allow		Reject
FS369.293	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy noting that renewable energy is not just located within the rural production zone	Disallow	Delete	Accept
FS570.202	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS566.216	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.238	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept
S483.089	Top Energy Limited	REG-P9	Oppose	Given that renewable energy resources are located throughout the district and not just within the Rural Production Zone, Top Energy notes that in some instances there will be technical, operation and functional needs for such an activity to be located outside of the Rural Production Zone. It is acknowledged that the opportunity for this will generally be limited due to the area of land required for such an activity, none the less Top Energy seeks that this provision be deleted.	Delete Policy REG-P9		Reject
FS196.186	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
FS345.140	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S421.057	Northland Federated	REG-P9	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P9 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Farmers of New Zealand						
FS369.294	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy noting that renewable energy is not just located within the rural production zone	Disallow	Delete	Reject
FS570.1289	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.291	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1303	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1325	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S421.058	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-P10	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P10 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept
FS369.295	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete this policy and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11.	Disallow	Delete	Reject
FS570.1290	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.292	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.			
FS566.1304	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1326	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S483.090	Top Energy Limited	REG-P10	Support	While Top Energy has no problem with this policy in principle, it would be better placed as a matter under REG -P11 for consideration at resource consent stage	Delete Policy REG-P10 and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11.		Reject
FS345.141	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S399.034	Te Hiku Iwi Development Trust	REG-P11	Not Stated	With respect to removal of indigenous vegetation it is also relevant to consider the ecological and cultural significance of that vegetation	Amend point 3. of Policy REG-P11 as follows: 3. the extent of earthworks or indigenous vegetation removal and the ecological and cultural significance of that vegetation;		Accept in part
FS339.028	Haititaimarangai Marae Kaitiaki Trust		Support	Cultural relationships with flora should be considered at all planning phases.	Allow	Allow the original submission.	Accept in part
FS369.296	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy seeks to delete REG-P10 policy and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11	Disallow	Delete	Accept in part
S421.059	Northland Federated	REG-P11	Support	Federated Farmers support policies REG-P1 to REG-P11 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Policy REG-P11 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Farmers of New Zealand						
FS570.1291	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.293	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1305	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1327	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S483.091	Top Energy Limited	REG-P11	Support	While Top Energy has no problem with this policy in principle, it would be better placed as a matter under REG -P11 for consideration at resource consent stage	Delete Policy REG-P10 and insert it as a matter for consideration as part of REG-P11.		Reject
FS345.142	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S483.092	Top Energy Limited	REG-P11	Support	Top Energy considers that this policy gives useful direction for plan users but seek some amendments to provide additional direction.	Insert new point in Policy REG-P11 as follows (or to the same effect): 12. plan for rehabilitation of the site following decommissioning of any renewable electricity generation activity, including removal of buildings, and		Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					concrete areas.		
FS345.143	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S483.101	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Top Energy considers that adverse effects associated with solar renewable electricity generation are readily understandable and therefore this activity should be enabled as a restricted discretionary activity with clear matters of discretion. This rule could be applied to specific zones only e.g. Rural Production where the Chapter encourages renewable electricity generation activities to locate.	Insert new restricted discretionary rule as follows (or to the same effect): REG - RX Large scale solar renewable electricity generation activity Activity status: Restricted discretionary Matters of discretion are restricted to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. adverse visual amenity effects resulting from the scale of the buildings or structures and whether landscaping can effectively manage any such effects b. adverse effects on the natural character of the site or surrounding area c. adverse noise effects on adjoining properties d. adverse effects on transportation network resulting from construction traffic e. adverse effects on adjoining properties or the wider catchment resulting from stormwater runoff 		Accept in part
FS196.193	Joe Carr		Support		Allow		Accept in part
FS346.068	Royal Forest and Bird Protection		Oppose	Large scale solar can have adverse effects, and these are not well understood for biodiversity and	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand Inc.			other natural values. Discretionary status is therefore appropriate.			
FS345.152	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.102	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Not Stated	Method 5.4.3 of the RPS directs Council to 'Encourage and Provide' for activities associated with investigation for the identification renewable energy sources, this is of particular relevance for geothermal renewable electricity generation. Top Energy seeks a new rule to provide for investigation activities other than those currently provided in REG - 3 and REG - 4. Without such a rule, these activities would default to discretionary	Insert new rule (inferred) as follows (or to the same effect): REG-RX Renewable energy generation investigation activity. Permitted Where: PER 1 Any building or structure located above ground associated with the investigation activity does not exceed a GFA of 25m² PER 2 Any building or structure can comply with the height, setback, height in relation to boundary performance standards of the underlying zone.		Accept in part
FS196.194	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part
FS345.153	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.095	Top Energy Limited	Rules	Support	Top Energy seeks amendment to this rule, or addition of a new one to enable minor upgrades to an appropriate scale and increased power generation. Enabling such upgrades as a permitted activity without triggering the need for a discretionary resource consent (as would otherwise be required pursuant to REG - R7 for large scale projects) is critical to ensuring the viability and resilience of this	Include a new permitted activity rule as follows (or to the same effect): REG - RX - Upgrading or repowering existing renewable electricity generation activities Permitted Where: 1. The upgrade or repowering does not result in an overall increase to the		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				critical infrastructure, noting that this is consistent with how it is addressed in the Infrastructure Chapter.	footprint or envelope of the renewable electricity generation activity.2. For wind farms, compliance is achieved with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind farm noise.		
FS346.067	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	Upgrading or repowering is not appropriate as a permitted activity, particularly where there are not standards associated with that rule.	Disallow	disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS345.146	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S511.050	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well	Amend to include reference "Area-Specific Matters Chapter"		Accept in part
FS164.050	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS369.298	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the notes to clarify how	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				renewable energy is to be treated within area specific chapters			
FS570.1621	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1635	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1657	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.069	Kapiro Conservation Trust	Notes	Support in part	Note 1 only refers to other District Wide Matters as potentially applying. It should say that Area Specific Matters may apply as well.	Amend to include reference "Area-Specific Matters Chapter".		Accept in part
FS369.297	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend the notes to clarify how renewable energy is to be treated within area specific chapters	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1765	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.680	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S483.094	Top Energy Limited	Notes	Not Stated	it is not clear how this Chapter interacts with Part - 3 Area Specific Matters. An additional Note is one way this clarity could be provided.	Amend to provide clarity in the Plan around how renewable electricity generation interacts with Part - 3 Area Specific Chapters.		Accept in part
FS345.145	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S421.060	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R1	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Rule REG-R1 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept
FS570.1292	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.294	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1306	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1328	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S368.031	Far North District Council	REG-R2	Support in part	Plan usability: Improve PDP usability by including 'small scale renewable electricity generation activity' within the rule to provide a link to the definition.	Amend REG-R2 PER-1 The small scale renewable electricity generation activity does not exceed the permitted maximum height standard for the zone it is located in, by more than 3m.		Reject
FS369.299	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R2 to apply to all zones consistently.	Allow in part	Amend	Reject
S421.061	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R2	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Rule REG-R2 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.300	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R2 to apply to all zones consistently.	Allow in part	Amend	Reject
FS570.1293	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS346.295	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Reject
FS566.1307	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
FS569.1329	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Reject
S483.096	Top Energy Limited	REG-R2	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R2 to apply to all zones consistently.		Accept in part
FS345.147	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S483.097	Top Energy Limited	REG-R3	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy	Amend Rule REG-R3 to apply to all zones consistently.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
				generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.			
FS196.189	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part
FS345.148	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S511.051	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-R3	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part
FS164.051	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS369.302	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1622	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
						consistent with our original submission	
FS566.1636	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1658	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S421.063	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R3	Support in part	Federated Farmers supports the rule in part but would like performance standard PER-2 amended so that it is recognised that an activity is permitted where the appropriate approval or consent has been obtained. Doing this will reduce any unnecessary barriers for landowners wanting to pursue these types of activity.	Amend PER-3 of Rule REG-R3 as follows: PER-2 Approval or consent has been received if it is not located on an esplanade reserve or strip, marginal strip or any consented or planned public access area... (inferred) or wording with a similar intent		Accept in part
FS369.301	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R3 to apply to all zones consistently	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1295	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.297	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1309	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS569.1331	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.070	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R3	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it lists vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part
FS369.303	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1766	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.681	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S483.098	Top Energy Limited	REG-R4	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R4 to apply to all zones consistently.		Reject
FS196.190	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
FS345.149	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS511.052	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	REG-R4	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part
FS164.052	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery. The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS369.304	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and overly restrictive	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1623	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1637	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1659	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S442.071	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R4	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part
FS369.305	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that the relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1767	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.682	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S483.099	Top Energy Limited	REG-R5	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R5 to apply to all zones consistently.		Reject
FS196.191	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Reject
FS345.150	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Reject
S511.053	Royal Forest and Bird Protection	REG-R5	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
	Society of New Zealand			clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply	vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		
FS164.053	Scrumptious Fruit Trust		Support	<p>Taupo Bay foreshore and surrounds (as well as most Northland beach areas) must be designated as a SNA. There needs to be greater recognition of beaches as primarily biodiversity habitats and secondly as passive recreational spaces, thereby recognising and ensuring stronger protections for wildlife. This will ensure various other instruments such as bylaws are adopted to meet higher standards of protection of wildlife. Dogs on leashes in beach areas will help support the Northland foreshore and biodiversity recovery.</p> <p>The submitter supports Taupo Bay being recognised as a high character area.</p>	Allow	Amend HNC overlay to include Taupo Bay; Amend provisions to require strong wildlife protection; Amend provisions to require dogs on leash in beach areas; Adopt SNA and HNC provisions (inferred).	Accept in part
FS369.309	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1624	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1638	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1660	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S368.015	Far North District Council	REG-R5	Support in part	Spelling error in REG-R5 PER-3. Should read as 'notional boundary' not 'national boundary'	Amend REG-R5 PER-3 Any structure is setback at least three times the height of the structure from the boundary of any other site and is not within the		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
					national notional boundary of any other site.		
FS369.306	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports correction of the spelling error.	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
S421.062	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	REG-R5	Support	Federated Farmers supports Rules REG-R1 and REG-R2 as they are currently drafted in the proposed district plan.	Retain Rule REG-R5 or ensure that amendments include similar wording that achieves the same intent		Accept in part
FS369.307	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy seeks to amend REG-R5 to apply to all zones consistently	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part
FS570.1294	Vision Kerikeri 3		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submissions.	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS346.296	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Oppose	The amendments sought will result in a loss of indigenous biodiversity values which is inconsistent with council's functions and responsibilities under section 31(1)(b)(iii) and Section 6 the RMA and do not give effect to the RPS, NPSFM, NPSIB and the NZCPS.	Disallow	Disallow the original submission	Accept in part
FS566.1308	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
FS569.1330	Vision Kerikeri 2		Oppose	Oppose to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Disallow	Disallow to the extent that the submission is inconsistent with our original submission	Accept in part
S442.072	Kapiro Conservation Trust	REG-R5	Support in part	The scope of these activities is not clear. Within the Restricted discretionary matters of discretion it list vegetation clearance. The context of the wording seems to imply that the activity includes vegetation clearance. It should be made abundantly clear that this rule does not apply to indigenous vegetation clearance and that the IB chapter on indigenous vegetation clearance apply.	Amend to make abundantly clear that these activities do not include indigenous vegetation clearance or areas of non-indigenous vegetation that is significant habitat for indigenous fauna.		Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
FS369.308	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy considers that relief sought is inconsistent with the NPS-IB and is overly restrictive.	Disallow	Reject	Accept in part
FS570.1768	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
FS346.683	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS and Part 2 of the RMA and the NPS IB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Birds submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept in part
S483.100	Top Energy Limited	REG-R6	Support	Top Energy supports the inclusion of these permitted thresholds but seek that these rules apply to all zones. Renewable energy generation activities are located based on the availability and feasibility of the resource, and should not be constrained by artificial zoning lines on a map. The Overlays will still apply, and can address any particular sensitivities in sensitive environments.	Amend Rule REG-R6 to apply to all zones consistently.		Accept in part
FS196.192	Joe Carr		Support	tautoko	Allow		Accept in part
FS345.151	Ngawha Generation Limited		Support	NGL is a subsidiary of Top Energy Limited. NGL supports all submission points made by Top Energy.	Allow	Allow all of the relief sought by Top Energy Limited in its submission (S483).	Accept in part
S368.016	Far North District Council	REG-R6	Support in part	Spelling error in REG-R6 PER-3. Should read as 'notional boundary' not 'national boundary	Amend REG-R6 PER-3 Any structure is setback at least three times the height of the structure (including supporting structures) from the boundary of any other site and is not within the national notional boundary of any other site.		Accept in part
FS369.310	Top Energy		Support in part	Top Energy supports correction of the spelling error	Allow in part	Amend	Accept in part

Submission Point	Submitter (S) / Further Submitter (FS)	Provision	Position	Reasons	Summary of Decision Requested		Hearings Panel Recommendation
S163.015	Julianne Sally Bainbridge	REG-R7	Oppose	There is a need to protect our rural landscape from the production loss and eyesores of solar and wind electricity generation. There is already lots of roof space available in urban settings where the electricity is required most. There should be no further approvals of solar or wind farms in the Far North.	Amend activity status to prohibited (inferred)There should be no further approvals of solar or wind farms in the Far North		Reject
FS369.311	Top Energy		Oppose	Top Energy supports the provision of renewable electricity generation within the rural environment, and considers that its proposed new restricted discretionary rule affords appropriate management of potential effects	Disallow	Reject	Accept
S364.027	Director-General of Conservation (Department of Conservation)	REG-R7	Support	The Director-General supports the requirement for large scale renewable electricity generation activities (such as wind farms) to require resource consent as a Discretionary Activity.	Retain Rule REG-R7.		Accept
FS570.1108	Vision Kerikeri 3		Support	Support to the extent the submission is consistent with our original submissions.	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS346.167	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.		Support	The amendments sought give effect to the NPS FM, the RPS, Part 2 of the RMA, and the NPSIB. Forest & Bird supports the full submission of the Director General for Conservation other than where the relief sought would conflict with that sought in Forest & Bird's submission.	Allow	Allow the original submission	Accept
FS566.1122	Kapiro Conservation Trust 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept
FS569.1144	Vision Kerikeri 2		Support	Support to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Allow	Allow to the extent that the submission is consistent with our original submission	Accept