

Office Use Only

Application Number:

| Private Bag 752, Memorial Ave | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Kaikohe 0440, New Zealand | |
| Freephone: 0800 920 029 | |
| Phone: (09) 401 5200 | |
| Fax: (09) 401 2137 | |
| Email: ask.us@fndc.govt.nz | |
| Website: www.fndc.govt.nz | |

APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT OR FAST-TRACK RESOURCE CONSENT

(Or Associated Consent Pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)) (If applying for a Resource Consent pursuant to Section 87AAC or 88 of the RMA, this form can be used to satisfy the requirements of Form 9)

Prior to, and during, completion of this application form, please refer to Resource Consent Guidance Notes and Schedule of Fees and Charges – both available on the Council's web page.

1. Pre-Lodgement Meeting

Have you met with a Council Resource Consent representative to discuss this application prior to lodgement? Yes / No

2. Type of Consent being applied for (more than one circle can be ticked):

| Q′L | and Use | ${\sf O}$ Fast Track Land Use* | O Subdivision | O Discharge | |
|--------|---|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| OE | Extension of time (s.125) | Ø Change of conditions (s.127) | O Change of Cons | sent Notice (s.221(3)) | |
| 0 0 | Consent under National En | vironmental Standard (e.g. Assess | ing and Managing Co | ontaminants in Soil) | |
| 0 0 | O Other (please specify) | | | | |
| *The f | ast track for simple land use co onic address for service. | onsents is restricted to consents with a co | ontrolled activity status an | d requires you provide an | |
| 3. | Would you like to opt | out of the Fast Track Process? | Yes / | ' No- | |

4. Applicant Details:

Name/s:

Paradise Found Developments Limited

| Electronic Address for Service (E-mail): | |
|--|--|
| Phone Numbers: | |
| Postal Address: (<i>or</i> alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act) | |

5. Address for Correspondence: Name and address for service and correspondence (if using an Agent write their details here).

| Name/s: | Bay of Islands Flanning Linned | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|------|
| Electronic Address for Service (E-mail): | c/- office@bayplan.co.nz | | | |
| Phone Numbers: | Work: 4075253 | Home: | | |
| Postal Address: (<i>or</i> alternative method of service under | PO Box 795 Kerikeri | | | |
| section 352 of the Act) | | | _Post Code: | 0230 |

All correspondence will be sent by email in the first instance. Please advise us if you would prefer an alternative means of communication.

6. Details of Property Owner/s and Occupier/s: Name and Address of the Owner/Occupiers of the land to which

| Name/s | ame/s: Paradise Found Developments Limited | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Propert Locatio | y Address/: n | c/-PO Box 795 Kerikeri | | |
| 7. Locatio | Application S | Site Details: rty Street Address of the proposed activity: | | |
| Site Ad | dress/ | 40 McKenzie Road | | |
| Locatio | n: | Kerikeri | | |
| Legal D | escription: | Lot 12 DP 497523 | | |
| Certificate of Title: As attached. Please remember to attach a copy of your Certificate of Title to the application, along with re- consent notices and/or easements and encumbrances (search copy must be less than 6 mo | | | | |
| <u>Site Vis</u> Is there Is there Please caretak | it Requirement a locked gate a dog on the p provide details er's details. Th | <u>s</u> : or security system restricting access by Council staff? Yes / No roperty? Yes / No of any other entry restrictions that Council staff should be aware of, e.g. health and safety, is is important to avoid a wasted trip and having to re-arrange a second visit. | | |
| | Please conta | act the agents office before making any site visit. | | |
| 8. | Description of Please enter a la a recognized so Notes, for furthe | of the Proposal: prief description of the proposal here. Attach a detailed description of the proposed activity and drawings (ale, e.g. 1:100) to illustrate your proposal. Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan, and Guidance er details of information requirements. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

If this is an application for an Extension of Time (s.125); Change of Consent Conditions (s.127) or Change or Cancellation of Consent Notice conditions (s.221(3)), please quote relevant existing Resource Consents and Consent Notice identifiers and provide details of the change(s) or extension being sought, with reasons for requesting them.

| 10. | Other Consent required/being applied for under different legislation (more than one circle can be |
|-----|---|
| | ticked): |

O Building Consent (BC ref # if known)

O Regional Council Consent (ref # if known)

O National Environmental Standard consent

O Other (please specify)

11. National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health:

The site and proposal may be subject to the above NES. In order to determine whether regard needs to be had to the NES please answer the following (further information in regard to this NES is available on the Council's planning web pages):

Is the piece of land currently being used or has it historically ever been used for an activity or industry on the Hazardous Industries and Activities List (HAIL)

Is the proposed activity an activity covered by the NES? (If the activity is any of the activities listed below, then you need to tick the 'yes' circle).

O ves Ø no O don't know

O ves Ø no O don't know

O Subdividing land

O Disturbing, removing or sampling soil

O Changing the use of a piece of land

O Removing or replacing a fuel storage system

12. Assessment of Environmental Effects:

Every application for resource consent must be accompanied by an Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE). This is a requirement of Schedule 4 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and an application can be rejected if an adequate AEE is not provided. The information in an AEE must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required. Your AEE may include additional information such as Written Approvals from adjoining property owners, or affected parties.

Please attach your AEE to this application.

13. Billing Details:

, , ,

This identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for paying any invoices or receiving any refunds associated with processing this resource consent. Please also refer to Council's Fees and Charges Schedule.

| name/s: (please write all names in full) | Refer attached B | Billing Details | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Email: | | | | |
| Postal Address: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Post Code: | |
| Phone Numbers: | Work: | Home. | Fax [.] | |

Fees Information: An instalment fee for processing this application is payable at the time of lodgement and must accompany your application in order for it to be lodged. Please note that if the instalment fee is insufficient to cover the actual and reasonable costs of work undertaken to process the application you will be required to pay any additional costs. Invoiced amounts are payable by the 20th of the month following invoice date. You may also be required to make additional payments if your application requires notification.

Declaration concerning Payment of Fees: I/we understand that the Council may charge me/us for all costs actually and reasonably incurred in processing this application. Subject to my/our rights under Sections 357B and 358 of the RMA, to object to any costs, I/we undertake to pay all and future processing costs incurred by the Council. Without limiting the Far North District Council's legal rights if any steps (including the use of debt collection agencies) are necessary to recover unpaid processing costs I/we agree to pay all costs of recovering those processing costs. If this application is made on behalf of a trust (private or family), a society (incorporated or unincorporated) or a company in signing this application I/we are binding the trust, society or company to pay all the above costs and guaranteeing to pay all the above costs in my/our personal capacity.

| Name: | _(please print) | | |
|------------|--|-------|--|
| Signature: | _(signature of bill payer – mandatory) | Date: | |

14. Important Information:

Note to applicant

You must include all information required by this form. The information must be specified in sufficient detail to satisfy the purpose for which it is required.

You may apply for 2 or more resource consents that are needed for the same activity on the same form. You must pay the charge payable to the consent authority for the resource consent application under the Resource Management Act 1991.

Fast-track application

Under the fast-track resource consent process, notice of the decision must be given within 10 working days after the date the application was first lodged with the authority, unless the applicant opts out of that process at the time of lodgement. A fast-track application may cease to be a fast-track application under section 87AAC(2) of the RMA.

Privacy Information:

Once this application is lodged with the Council it becomes public information. Please advise Council if there is sensitive information in the proposal. The information you have provided on this form is required so that your application for consent pursuant to the Resource Management Act 1991 can be processed under that Act. The information will be stored on a public register and held by the Far North District Council. The details of your application may also be made available to the public on the Council's website, <u>www.fndc.govt.nz</u>. These details are collected to inform the general public and community groups about all consents which have been issued through the Far North District Council.

Declaration: The information I have supplied with this application is true and complete to the best of my knowledge.

Name:_____(please print)

Signature: (signature)

(A signature is not required if the application is made by electronic means)

Checklist (please tick if information is provided)

Payment (cheques payable to Far North District Council)

A current Certificate of Title (Search Copy not more than 6 months old)

Copies of any listed encumbrances, easements and/or consent notices relevant to the application

Applicant / Agent / Property Owner / Bill Payer details provided

Location of property and description of proposal

- Assessment of Environmental Effects
- Written Approvals / correspondence from consulted parties
- Reports from technical experts (if required)
- Copies of other relevant consents associated with this application
- Location and Site plans (land use) AND/OR
- Location and Scheme Plan (subdivision)
- Elevations / Floor plans
- Topographical / contour plans

Please refer to Chapter 4 of the District Plan for details of the information that must be provided with an application. Please also refer to the RC Checklist available on the Council's website. This contains more helpful hints as to what information needs to be shown on plans.

Only one copy of an application is required, but please note for copying and scanning purposes, documentation should be:

UNBOUND

SINGLE SIDED

NO LARGER THAN A3 in SIZE

Date: 6 October 2021

10. Billing Details:

This identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for paying any invoices or receiving any refunds associated with processing this resource consent. Please also refer to Council's Fees and Charges Schedule. Name/s: (please write all names in full)

Postal Address:
PO Box 644, Wellesley Street, Auckland 1141
Phone Numbers: Work: 09 355 9101 Home: 09 5200660
Fax: _______ Email: birnie@ birniecapital.com
Fees Information: An instalment fee for processing this application is payable at the time of lodgement and must accompany
your application in order for it to be lodged. Please note that if the instalment fee is insufficient to cover the actual and
reasonable costs of work undertaken to process the application you will be required to pay any additional payments if
your application requires notification.
Declaration concerning Payment of Fees: I/we understand that the Council may charge me/us for all costs actually and
reasonably incurred in processing this application. Subject to my/our rights under Sections 357B and 358 of the RMA, to object
to any costs, I/we undertake to pay all and future processing costs incurred by the Council. Without limiting the Far North
District Council's legal rights if any steps (including the use of debt collection agencies) are necessary to recover unpaid
processing costs I/we agree to pay all costs of recovering those processing costs. If this application is made on behalf of a trust
(private or family), a society (incorporated or unincorporated) or a company in signing this application l/we are binding the trust,
society or company to pay all/the/above costs and quaranteeing to pay all the above costs in my/our personal capacity.

nature of bill payer – mandatory) Date: 6 Nov 2019

BAY OF ISLANDS PLANNING LIMITED PO Box 318 Paihia



Northland

Phone [09] 407 5253; Email – <u>office@bayplan.co.nz</u>

Resource Consents Department Far North District Council John Butler Centre Kerikeri

16 November 2023

Dear Team Leaders

Re: Proposed Variation of RC

Our client seeks to vary RC 2220242 in relation to amendments to the proposed residential development on site.

In accordance with section 127 of the Resource Management Act, the application to vary the consent is a Discretionary Activity.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you require any further information.

Yours sincerely.

Kenton Baxter Consultant Planner

Reviewed



Steven Sanson Consultant Planner

November 2023



1.0 INTRODUCTION

- The applicant, Paradise Found Developments Limited seeks to amend the design of the residential development on their property approved as part of land use consent RC 2220242 at Lot 12, Purerua, Te Tii. The site is legally described as Lot 12 DP 497523. A copy of the Record of Title and associated instruments are attached at **Appendix A**.
- 2. The original land use consent approved a residential dwelling along with varying consent notice conditions associated with the site.
- This development was to be carried in accordance with the approved plans prepared by Arcline Architecture found in the decision documents for the dwelling found in RC 2220242 (Refer Appendix B).
- 4. The proposed variation is to amend the design of the residential dwelling including an additional 37m2 of gross floor area and a proposed carport within 3m of the site boundary, this differs from what was approved under the original land use decision.
- 5. A copy of the amended architectural plans is attached at **Appendix C.** These can be referred to against the decision version for comparing / contrasting.
- 6. The previous consent application contained engineering reports and landscape assessment which are also considered as relevant and ingrained within the proposal given the minor changes assessed. These are found in **Appendix D** and are considered to assess previous reports and consent notice matters in their entirety.
- 7. The application is a Discretionary Activity in accordance with s127 of the Act. The scale and intensity of the land use activity arising from the proposed change remains the same. No persons are affected by the proposed amendments to the design of the residential dwelling.

2.0 SITE AND LOCALITY DESCRIPTION

8. The application site is located at Lot 12, Purerua, Te Tii. A detailed description of this property and its surrounds is provided in the assessment of effects on the environment (AEE) that supported the original land use application approved under RC 2220242. The application site is accessed off McKenzie Road. The original application can be provided on request.

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL



- As mentioned earlier, the land use consent aims to modify the design of the consented residential development. The modification includes extending a bedroom, adding a carport and changing the shape and type of pool.
- 10. The proposed changes will increase the gross floor area by 37m2. The proposed carport is also within 3m of the site boundary, however approval from the affected neighbour is given as the applicant also owns the affected property. These minor changes are not anticipated to increase effects associated with the proposal beyond what was originally consented, given the height and appearance of the dwelling will remain the same.
- 11. The proposed variation to this consent would maintain the size and scale of the development. Proposed changes will not increase effects associated with this property.
- 12. A variation to conditions of consent is sought as follows:
- 13. The proposed change to conditions seeks the following amendments:
- 1. The activity shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans prepared by Arcline Architecture, referenced Site Plan, Floor Plan, Ground Floor Plan, North and South Elevations, East and West Elevations, Perspectives, Height to Boundaries, dated <u>14/01/2021</u> 16/8/23, and attached to this consent with the Council's "Approved Stamp" affixed to it.

Consent Notice 10526054.25(a)(iii) be amended to read as follows:

(a) Any development shall be designed in accordance with the 'Wiroa Station architecture code and deign approval process' document approved under RC2160044, and as attached to that resource consent decision. Any building consent or resource consent application shall include a report prepared by a suitable qualified architect and/or landscape architect demonstrating compliance. The report shall confirm that:

(iii) Subject to the exceptions as apply to the secondary residential unit on Lot 14 (refer to condition (g) below), at least 50% of the building's footprint must be within the 'nominal building location' as identified and marked as areas G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, Y, U, V, W, X, Y, Z AND ZZ on DP497523. Except in relation to Lot 12 <u>which</u> the extent of the building footprint <u>is shown on the approved plans for RC 2220242-RMAVAR/A.</u> within the nominal building location shall be no less than 166m2.

4.0 STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

Section 127 of the Resource Management Act (RMA)

2. Section 127 of the RMA requires:



- 127 Change or cancellation of consent condition on application by consent holder
- (1) The holder of a resource consent may apply to a consent authority for a change or cancellation of a condition of the consent, subject to the following:
 - (a) the holder of a subdivision consent must apply under this section for a change or cancellation of the consent before the deposit of the survey plan (and must apply under section 221 for a variation or cancellation of a consent notice after the deposit of the survey plan); and
 - (b) no holder of any consent may apply for a change or cancellation of a condition on the duration of the consent.
- (2) [Repealed]
- (3) Sections 88 to 121 apply, with all necessary modifications, as if—
 - (a) the application were an application for a resource consent for a discretionary activity; and
 - (b) the references to a resource consent and to the activity were references only to the change or cancellation of a condition and the effects of the change or cancellation respectively.
- (3A) If the resource consent is a coastal permit authorising aquaculture activities to be undertaken in the coastal marine area, no aquaculture decision is required in respect of the application if the application is for a change or cancellation of a condition of the consent and does not relate to a condition that has been specified under section 186H(3) of the Fisheries Act 1996 as a condition that may not be changed or cancelled until the chief executive of the Ministry of Fisheries makes a further aquaculture decision.
- (4) For the purposes of determining who is adversely affected by the change or cancellation, the consent authority must consider, in particular, every person who—
 - (a) made a submission on the original application; and
 - (b) may be affected by the change or cancellation.

Section 127(1): replaced, on 10 August 2005, by section 70 of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2005 (2005 No 87). Section 127(2): repealed, on 10 August 2005, by section 70 of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2003 (2003 No 87). Section 127(3): replaced, on 1 August 2003, by section 53(2) of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2003 (2003 No 23). Section 127(3): replaced, on 1 October 2011, by section 34 of the Resource Management Amendment Act (No 2) 2011 (2011 No 70). Section 127(4): replaced, on 1 August 2003, by section 53(2) of the Resource Management Amendment Act 2003 (2003 No 23). Section 127(4): mended, on 1 October 2009, by section 150 of the Resource Management (Simplifying and Streamlining) Amendment Act 2009 (2009 No 31).

- The notification and substantive decision matters required to be assessed under Section 95 and Section 104 of the RMA apply to an application to change or cancel conditions of consent. The approved discretionary land use consent 2220242-RMALUC was determined on a nonnotified basis under delegated authority.
- 4. It is considered that the minor changes proposed to the proposed residential dwelling, necessitating updated plans would result in potential adverse effects that are similar in scale and intensity to the original land use proposal and would not necessitate limited or public notification of this application.
- Section 127 considerations also include the assessment matters to be considered under Section 104 of the RMA as follows:

"the consent authority must, subject to Part II, have regard to -

- (a) any actual and potential effects on the environment of allowing the activity; and
- (ab) any measure proposed or agreed to by the applicant for the purpose of ensuring positive effects on the environment that will or may result from allowing the

activity; and

- (b) any relevant provisions of
 - i. a national environmental standard:
 - ii. other regulations:
 - *iii.* a national policy statement:
 - iv. a New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement:
 - v. a regional policy statement or proposed regional policy statement:

Lot 12, Purerua, Te Tii



vi. a plan or proposed plan; and

(c) any other matter the consent authority considers relevant and reasonably necessary to determine the application."

Section 104(1)(a) and (ab)

- 6. Potential effects on the environment arising from the proposed land use activity were comprehensively assessed in the assessment of effects on the environment (AEE) provided that supports the approved consent 2220242-RMALUC (available on request). The proposed alterations would lead to 37m2 of additional gross floor area and the additional carport is proposed within the 3m boundary setback.
- 7. Effects associated with the proposed changes are largely anticipated to be similar to the existing approval. As previously mentioned, the proposed changes will slightly increase the gross floor area; however, the height and appearance of the buildings will be maintained. Therefore, the effects on visual amenity are similar to what was assessed within the original application 2220242-RMALUC. The effects associated with the additional setback to boundary breach created by the carport can be discounted as the applicant also owns the affected neighbouring property therefore approval is granted for this breach.
- 8. Adverse effects associated with the amendment to the consent notice are also not anticipated given the similarly between the originally approved plans and the updated proposal. The location is also almost identical except for the minor amendments as previously outlined.
- 9. The original application was completed after the Proposed District Plan was notified therefore no further assessment of these matter is required.

Section 104 (b)(vi) Plans or Proposed Plans

10. This land use application is subject to the provisions of the operative Far North District Plan. The extent to which the proposal would achieve the outcomes sought by the relevant provisions was assessed in the AEE that supported the application. This was confirmed in the Council's 'Reasons for the Decision'. The proposed residential dwelling plan amendments would not be contrary to the provisions of the Operative and Proposed Far North District Plan or the applicable Northland Regional Policy Statement or New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement.

Section 104 (c) Other Matters





11. There are no other matters that require consideration.

6.0 WRITTEN APPROVAL

12. No written approvals were obtained with the original decision. The proposed amended plan includes a carport within 3m of the property boundary, approval from the affected neighbouring property owner can be assumed as this is also the applicant. Therefore, adverse effects associated with this amendment can be discounted.

7.0 CONCLUSION

- 13. The applicant, Paradise Found Developments Limited, seeks to modify the approved residential development plans, specifically increasing the gross floor area by 37m2 and adding a carport within the property boundary setback. The proposed changes relate to the originally approved consent (2220242-RMALUC)
- 14. The application is subject to section 127 of the RMA, which is to be assessed as a Discretionary Activity. The proposed changes will be similar to the scale and intensity of the consented land use activities, nor would they generate adverse effects that are dissimilar to the approved development and which the Council has assessed to be no more than minor. There are no parties that would have an interest in the proposed change apart from those who have provided approval. The proposed amendments will not result in any adverse reverse sensitivity effects.

Yours sincerely.

Kenton Baxter Consultant Planner

Reviewed



Steven Sanson Consultant Planner

November 2023





FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL

FAR NORTH OPERATIVE DISTRICT PLAN DECISION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION (LANDUSE)

Resource Consent Number: 2220242-RMALUC

Pursuant to section 104B and section 221(3) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act), the Far North District Council hereby grants resource consent to:

Paradise Found Developments Limited

The activity to which this decision relates:

To establish a residential dwelling and to vary consent notice conditions in the General Coastal Zone, breaching the Visual Amenity, Sunlight, Setback from Boundaries and Excavation and Filling rules of the District Plan. Variation of consent notice conditions

Subject Site DetailsAddress:40 McKenzie Road, Kerikeri 0294Legal Description:Lot 12 DP 497523Record of Title reference:CT-735035

Pursuant to Section 108 of the Act, this consent is issued subject to the following conditions:

General

- 1. The activity shall be carried out in accordance with the approved plans prepared by Arcline Architecture, referenced Site Plan, Ground Floor Plan, North and South Elevations, East and West Elevations, Perspectives, Height to Boundaries, dated 14/01/2021, and attached to this consent with the Council's "Approved Stamp" affixed to it.
- 2. Within 3 months of the approval of this consent, the Consent Holder shall provide to Council's Resource Consent Monitoring Team, a revised landscaping plan which includes a minimum of two 4m high pohutukawa trees which are to be transplanted on the Southern Boundary for the purpose of visual softening as it relates to the approved dwelling.
- 3. The Consent Holder shall ensure that the activity is carried out in accordance with the revised landscaping plan required by Condition 2 of this consent (RC2220242) and approved by Council's Resource Consents Monitoring Team. The Planting Palette Lot 12 Wiroa Station and Landscape Planting Implementation +

Maintenance, dated 27/02/2021 and attached to this consent with the Council's "Approved Stamp" affixed to it shall also be adhered to by the Consent Holder.

The approved Landscaping Plan is to be implemented within the 12 months of the completion of the dwelling and is to be maintained for the duration of the consent. Any plants that are removed or damaged are to be replaced as soon as possible, or within the next planting season (1 May to 30 September).

4. The Consent Holder shall ensure the cladding, roofing and windows are finished in the following schedule of colours (as provided in the s92 response with RC application 2220242 and correspondence with Council on 20/09/2022):

| Feature | Colour |
|----------------|---|
| Cladding | Double Sea Fog (LRV 76%) |
| Roof | Thunder Grey |
| Window Joinery | Matte Titania |
| Windows | Shall not be reflective or mirrored glass |

The building is to be finished in accordance with this schedule within three months of the occupation of the building and the approved schedule shall be adhered to for the duration of this consent.

Earthworks

Prior to construction commencing:

- 5. The consent holder is to establish and mark the location of the boundary pegs and mark all property boundaries adjacent to the proposed earthworks. No authorisation is given for works on legal road or on private property other than the lot subject to the land use consent. Where the consent holder is not the lot owner, the applicant is responsible for obtaining approval from the lot owner prior to commencing work.
- 6. The consent holder is to install a perimeter silt fence fully across the lower edge of the construction site (in accordance with the requirements detailed in Auckland Council document GD05) to remove silt and debris from Stormwater runoff prior to its discharge.
- 7. The consent holder is to provide evidence to the FNDC Resource Consents Monitoring Officer (Email to: Consents Monitoring rcmonitoring@fndc.govt.nz) that erosion and sediment controls are installed prior to the commencement of any earthworks. The installation of all erosion and sediment controls shall be supervised by an appropriately qualified and experienced person.
- 8. The consent holder is to provide evidence to the FNDC Resource Consents Monitoring Officer (Email to: Consents Monitoring rcmonitoring@fndc.govt.nz) that sediment control measures are constructed and maintained in accordance with the principles and practices contained the Auckland Council document entitled "GD05: Erosion and Sediment Control Guide for Land Disturbing Activities in the Auckland Region". These measures are to be maintained during the construction phase and can only be removed once appropriate stabilization has been completed.

- 9. The consent holder shall ensure that prior to any excavation commencing the consent holder is to provide evidence that all consents (resource consent) for the disposal of fill have been obtained for the receiving site.
- 10. The Consent Holder shall ensure that the foundations for the dwelling shall be specifically designed by a Chartered Professional Engineer with geotechnical expertise as recommended by the Site Suitability Report, prepared by PK Engineering Ltd, referenced Jon No: 21-020 and dated May 2021.
- 11. The Consent Holder shall ensure that the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as shown on the PK Engineering Plans (provided with the Site Suitability Report, referenced A3/SG1, A3/SG2, A3/SG3, A3/SG4, A3/SS1 and A3/SS2, dated Mar 2021) be installed and maintained during the construction phase and are only removed once appropriate stabilization has been completed.
- 12. The Consent Holder shall ensure that all earthworks are carried out in general accordance with the PK Engineering Plans (provided with the Site Suitability Report, referenced A3/SG1, A3/SG2, A3/SG3, A3/SG4, A3/SS1 and A3/SS2, dated Mar 2021) and that any cut greater than 1.5m shall be retained to a suitability qualified engineer's design. In particular, the volume of earthworks shall not exceed 1080m³ of cut/fill.

During/following construction:

- 13. The consent holder is to ensure that all offsite stormwater is directed away from earthworks areas and no drainage pathways are constructed or permitted to flow over fill areas in a manner that increases erosion of the cut or fill material.
- 14. The consent holder is to ensure that all existing vegetation cover down slope of the proposed earthworks is retained to facilitate the filtering of silt from the stormwater runoff.
- 15. The consent holder is to provide evidence that all bare areas of land and fill are covered a suitable grass/legume mixture to achieve an 80% groundcover within three (3) months of the completion of earthworks. Temporary mulching or other suitable ground cover material shall be applied to achieve total ground cover of any areas unable to achieve the above requirements.

Pursuant to section 221(3) of the Act:

The following changes are made to Consent Notice Conditions insofar as they relate to Lot 12 DP 497523.

Consent Notice 10526054.25(a)(iii) be amended to read as follows:

(a) Any development shall be designed in accordance with the 'Wiroa Station architecture code and deign approval process' document approved under RC2160044, and as attached to that resource consent decision. Any building consent or resource consent application shall include a report prepared by a suitable qualified architect and/or landscape architect demonstrating compliance. The report shall confirm that:

(iii) Subject to the exceptions as apply to the secondary residential unit on Lot 14 (refer to condition (g) below), at least 50% of the building's footprint must be within the *'nominal building location'* as identified and marked as areas G, H, I, J,

K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, Y, U, V, W, X, Y, Z AND ZZ on DP497523. <u>Except in</u> relation to Lot 12 the extent of the building footprint within the nominal building location shall be no less than 166m².

Clause J of Consent Notice 10526054.25 be amended to read as follows:

(j) Stormwater disposal from hard surfaced areas of each Lot will be to a soakage area or areas. The specific engineering design of the soakage area shall accompany the building consent application for the development on the relevant Lot. <u>Fulfilment of this condition can be attained via alternative disposal methods acceptable to Council.</u>

For the purpose of clarity, the amended Consent Notices shall read as follows:

Consent Notice 10526054.25(a)(iii):

(a) Any development shall be designed in accordance with the 'Wiroa Station architecture code and deign approval process' document approved under RC2160044, and as attached to that resource consent decision. Any building consent or resource consent application shall include a report prepared by a suitable qualified architect and/or landscape architect demonstrating compliance. The report shall confirm that:

(iii) Subject to the exceptions as apply to the secondary residential unit on Lot 14 (refer to condition (g) below), at least 50% of the building's footprint must be within the *'nominal building location'* as identified and marked as areas G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, Q, R, S, Y, U, V, W, X, Y, Z AND ZZ on DP497523. Except in relation to Lot 12 the extent of the building footprint within the nominal building location shall be no less than 166m².

Clause J of Consent Notice 10526054.25:

(j) Stormwater disposal from hard surfaced areas of each Lot will be to a soakage area or areas. The specific engineering design of the soakage area shall accompany the building consent application for the development on the relevant Lot. Fulfilment of this condition can be attained via alternative disposal methods acceptable to Council.

Advice Notes

- 1. Archaeological sites are protected pursuant to the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. It is an offence, pursuant to the Act, to modify, damage or destroy an archaeological site without an archaeological authority issued pursuant to that Act. Should any site be inadvertently uncovered, the procedure is that work should cease, with the Trust and local iwi consulted immediately. The New Zealand Police should also be consulted if the discovery includes koiwi (human remains). A copy of Heritage New Zealand's Archaeological Discovery Protocol (ADP) is attached for your information. This should be made available to all person(s) working on site.
- 2. During the assessment of your application, it was noted that a private Land Covenant exists on your property. Council does not enforce private land covenants, and this does not affect Council approving your plans. However, you may wish to get independent legal advice, as despite having a resource consent from Council, the private land covenant can be enforced by those parties specified in the covenant.

- 3. The consent holder is advised that any development and any earthworks undertaken as a result of this activity, or the consent conditions need to be undertaken in accordance with the relevant permitted rules and standards of the Proposed District Plan which was notified on the 27th of July 2022.
- 4. The Consent Holder shall be aware that there are Consent Notices held on the Record of Title which relate to the underlying subdivision consent which must be complied with at all times.
- 5. Any debris deposited on the public or private road as a result of the earthworks shall be removed by or at the expense of the applicant.
- 6. The Consent Holder shall ensure that the amended Consent Notice Conditions as approved by this consent (RC2220242) as it relates to Lot 14 DP 497523 is updated on the Record of Title through Land Information New Zealand.

Reasons for the Decision

- 1. The Council has determined (by way of an earlier report and resolution) that the adverse environmental effects associated with the proposed activity are no more than minor and that there are no affected persons or affected customary rights group or customary marine title group.
- 2. District Plan Rules Affected:

Operative District Plan:

| Rule # & Name | Non Compliance Aspect | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 10.6.5.1.1 Visual Amenity | The proposed dwelling is > 25m ² in size and it sits both inside and outside of the existing approved building envelope | |
| 10.6.5.1.5 Sunlight | A small eave protrudes the sunlight requirements. | |
| 10.6.5.1.7 Setback from Boundaries | Part of the dwelling sits within the 3m setback requirement. | |
| 12.3.6.1.2 Excavation and Filling | The proposal includes 1,080m ³ of cut/fill. | |

The applicant has also applied for a variation to existing consent notice conditions pursuant to s221(3) of the Act.

Note: The Stormwater Management rule is also breached however the site has an authorisation to exceed this up to 50% coverage. This is therefore disregarded.

Adverse effects will be less than minor:

It is considered the relevant and potential effects have been addressed within the assessment of effects above, and it has been concluded that the adverse effects will be less than minor.

Objectives and policies of the District Plan:

The following objectives and policies of the District Plan have been considered:

- a. The objectives and policies of the coastal environment, and more specifically the general coastal zone which see to preserve the natural resources of the coastal environment, including the amenity values of landscapes. This is achieved by only allowing appropriate development which recognises the context of development and is designed appropriately. This activity has been designed in a manner which is consistent with these goals, particularly through the siting of the building outside of areas of significance and through management of built form and use of recessive finishes and landscaping.
- b. The objectives and policies relating to soils and minerals found in chapter 12.3 which seek to avoid the loss of versatile soils to urban development, and soil due to erosion in the coastal environment. The conditions imposed as a result of this consent will significantly reduce this risk, and the proposed development is not contrary to these objectives and policies.

The proposal is not contrary to the relevant objectives and policies of the District Plan.

Proposed Far North District Plan

The activity is consistent with the relevant objectives and policies of the Proposed District Plan because the potential effects as a result of the approved earthworks to people, infrastructure and property are managed and mitigated, ensuring the health, safety and resilience of inhabitants of the property through the imposition of the consent conditions above.

For this resource consent application, the relevant provisions of both an operative and any proposed plan must be considered. Weighting is relevant if different outcomes arise from assessments of objectives and policies under both the operative and proposed plans.

As the outcomes sought are the same under the operative and the proposed plan frameworks, no weighting is necessary.

- 3. In accordance with an assessment under s104(1)(b) of the RMA the proposal is consistent with the relevant statutory documents.
 - a) The Operative Far North District Plan 2009,
 - b) The Proposed Far North District Plan 2022,
 - c) New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010.
- 4. No other non statutory documents were considered relevant in making this decision.
- 5. No other matters were considered in relevant in making this decision.
- 6. Part 2 Matters

The Council has taken into account the purpose & principles outlined in sections 5, 6, 7 & 8 of the Act. It is considered that granting this resource consent application achieves the purpose of the Act.

7. In summary it is considered that the activity is consistent with the sustainable management purpose of the RMA.

Approval

This resource consent has been prepared by Hannah Kane, Intermediate Resource Planner and is granted under delegated authority (pursuant to section 34A of the Resource Management Act 1991) from the Far North District Council by:



Pat Killalea, Principal Planner

Date: 20th September 2022

Right of Objection

If you are dissatisfied with the decision or any part of it, you have the right (pursuant to section 357A of the Act) to object to the decision. The objection must be in writing, stating reasons for the objection and must be received by Council within 15 working days of the receipt of this decision.

Lapsing of Consent

Pursuant to section 125 of the Act, this resource consent will lapse 5 years after the date of commencement of consent unless, before the consent lapses;

The consent is given effect to; or

An application is made to the Council to extend the period of consent, and the council decides to grant an extension after taking into account the statutory considerations, set out in section 125(1)(b) of the Act.



























| | | Revision Name | Date | ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---|--------|------------------------|
| Initial Issue | PROPOSED | New Sauna and Changed Pool shape and type + Spa | 7/8/23 | WITH NZS3604 2011 AND |
| Date: 23/4/19 | | | | THE BUILDING |
| | | | | CODE OF NEW ZEALAND |
| Scale: 1:100, 1:150 | RESIDENCE | | | CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER |
| | | | | |
| Drawn By: STEPHEN | | | | |
| | PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS | | | |

Architecture

Date this copy printed/emailed 17/08/23

AMENDMENTS 16/8/23

Email info@arcline.co.nz www.arcline.co.nz

49 Matthews Ave, Kaitaia 0410

Ph (09) 4082233

Sheet# 6 of 66





+4500

• TOP PLATE +2605



| H1 CALCULATIONS - NORTHERN SCHEDULE METHOD | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Date | | Required | Construction R-Value Achieved | |
| Wall Insulati | on | R1.9 | R2.4 | |
| Roof Insulat | ion | R2.9 | R3.2 | |
| Floor Insulat | ion | R1.3 | R1.6 | |
| Glazing | | R0.26 | R0.26 | |
| Note: Construction | R-Values are source | ed from BRANZ House In | sulation Guide or Design Navigator | |
| | Glazing vs | Wall Area Calcul | ations | |
| Wall facing | M ² of Wall | M ² of Windows | % Windows of Wall | |
| West | 59.09 | 12.99 | 21.98 | |
| East | 33.30 | 7.75 | 23.27 | |
| South | 103.23 | 70.64 | 68.43 | |
| North | 103.76 | 46.96 | 45.26 | |
| TOTAL | <u>299.38</u> | <u>138.34</u> | 46.22 | |
| Total glazing of East, South, North & West walls is Less than 50% of these walls total wall area so passes the H1 Northern Schedule Method. | | | | |

TOP PLATE

GROUND FLOOR

Date this copy printed/emailed 17/08/23

AMENDMENTS 16/8/23

Sheet# 8 of 66









BUILDER - OWNER TO CHECK ALL LEVELS, DIMENSIONS, CONNECTIONS AND MANUFACTURES SPECIFICATIONS, BEFORE BEGINNING OR FABRICATING ANY WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL MATERIALS AND LABOUR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE BUILDING PROJECT ARE INCLUED, WHETHER INFERRED, DRAWN ON PLANS OR NOT. LIABILITY WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED BY DESIGNERS FOR ANY MATERIALS & LABOUR NOT SHOWN ON DRAWINGS OR REQUIRED BY COUNCIL

CROSS SECTION B

| Initial Issue | PROPOSED | Revision Name | Date | ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH NZS3604 2011 AND | | ۸ro |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|---|---------------|--|
| Date: 23/4/19 | NEW FOR WIROA LOT 12 | Add New Carport | 31/3/23 | THE BUILDING | | AIC |
| Scale: 1:50, 1:300 | RESIDENCE | | | CODE OF NEW ZEALAND CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER | | Archit |
| Drawn By: STEPHEN | PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS | | | THE CONCEPT PLANS HAVE BEEN APPROVED WILL BE | 49 Matth F | iews Ave, Kaitaia ^o h (09) 4082233 |
| | | | | INVOICED | Email info@ar | cline.co.nz www |







BUILDER - OWNER TO CHECK ALL LEVELS, DIMENSIONS, CONNECTIONS AND MANUFACTURES SPECIFICATIONS, BEFORE BEGINNING OR FABRICATING ANY WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL MATERIALS AND LABOUR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE BUILDING PROJECT ARE INCLUED, WHETHER INFERRED, DRAWN ON PLANS OR NOT. LIABILITY WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED BY DESIGNERS FOR ANY MATERIALS & LABOUR NOT SHOWN ON DRAWINGS OR REQUIRED BY COUNCIL

CROSS SECTION E

| Initial Issue Date: 23/4/19 Scale: 1:50, 1:300 | PROPOSED NEW FOR WIROA LOT 12 RESIDENCE | Revision Name Changed Chimney framing treatment Changed Fire to Living Flame Euro | Date 23/3/22 16/8/23 | ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH NZS3604 2011 AND THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEALAND CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER | 49 Matthew | Archit Archit |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Drawn By: STEPHEN | PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS | | | BEEN APPROVED WILL BE INVOICED | Ph (Email info@arclin | (09) 4082233 ne.co.nz www |




BY DESIGNERS FOR ANY MATERIALS & LABOUR NOT SHOWN ON DRAWINGS OR REQUIRED BY COUNCIL

| PROPOSED NEW |
|--|
| |
| |
| PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS |
| Architecture 49 Matthews Ave, Kaitaia 0410 Ph (09) 4082233 Email info@arcline.co.nz |
| Revision Name Date |
| Added Soffit Vents23/3/22Extend Bed 131/5/23 |
| Extend Bed 1 and reposition Deck and Verandah Roof 16/8/23 |
| |
| CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER THE CONCEPT PLANS HAVE BEEN APPROVED WILL BE INVOICED |
| ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH NZS3604 2011 AND THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEALAND |
| Initial Issue Date: 23/4/19 |
| Scale: 1:300, 1:50 |
| Drawn By: STEPHEN |
| Date this copy printed/emailed 17/08/23 |
| MENDMENTS |

Sheet# 23 of 66

16/8/23













printed/emailed

AMENDMENTS 16/8/23

Sheet# 59 of 66





CROSS SECTION N

49 Matthews Ave, Kaitaia 0410 Ph (09) 4082233

| Initial Issue Date: 23/4/19 | PROPOSED NEW FOR WIROA LOT 12 | Revision Name C/Section new Carport | Date 31/5/23 | ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH NZS3604 2011 AND THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEALAND | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|---|
| Scale: 1:50, 1:300 | RESIDENCE | | | CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER | |
| Drawn By: STEPHEN | PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS | | | BEEN APPROVED WILL BE | E |



Arcline Architecture

Date this copy printed/emailed 17/08/23

AMENDMENTS 16/8/23

Sheet# 61 of 66

mail info@arcline.co.nz www.arcline.co.nz





BUILDER - OWNER TO CHECK ALL LEVELS, DIMENSIONS, CONNECTIONS AND MANUFACTURES SPECIFICATIONS, BEFORE BEGINNING OR FABRICATING ANY WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL MATERIALS AND LABOUR NECESSARY TO COMPLETE THE BUILDING PROJECT ARE INCLUED. WHETHER INFERRED. DRAWN ON PLANS OR NOT. LIABILITY WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED BY DESIGNERS FOR ANY MATERIALS & LABOUR NOT SHOWN ON DRAWINGS OR REQUIRED BY COUNCIL

| Initial Issue Date: 23/4/19 Scale: NTS | PROPOSED NEW FOR WIROA LOT 12 RESIDENCE | Revision Name Add Carport, Add extension to Bed 1,reposition existing Verandah | Date Aug 2023 | ALL WORK SHALL COMPLY WITH NZS3604 2011 AND THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEALAND CHANGES TO PLANS AFTER | |
|--|---|--|---------------|---|----------|
| Drawn By: STEPHEN | PURERUA, BAY OF ISLANDS | | | BEEN APPROVED WILL BE INVOICED | Email in |













GROUND FLOOR

| Date | | Required | Construction R-Val |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Wall Insulati | on | R1.9 | R2.4 |
| Roof Insulat | ion | R2.9 | R3.2 |
| Floor Insula | tion | R1.3 | R1.6 |
| Glazing | | R0.26 | R0.26 |
| Note: Construction | R-Values are sour | ed from BRANZ House In | sulation Guide or Design Naviga |
| | Glazing vs | Wall Area Calcul | ations |
| Wall facing | M ² of Wall | M ² of Windows | % Windows of Wall |
| West | 53.09 | 12.99 | 24.47 |
| East | 27.30 | 6.45 | 23.62 |
| South | 103.23 | 70.64 | 68.43 |
| North | 103.76 | 46.96 | 45.26 |
| TOTAL | 287.38 | 137.04 | 47.68 |

+4500

+2605

GROUND FLOOR

Date this copy printed/emailed 30/05/23

CONSTRUCTION 7/4/21

Sheet# 8 of 60



26th February 2021

Pat Killalea Far North District Council John Butler Centre **Kerikeri**

Dear Pat

<u>Re: Proposed Dwelling on Lot 12 Wiroa Station – Landscape and Visual Effects</u> <u>Assessment</u>

1. INTRODUCTION

The applicant proposes to construct a new dwelling on Lot 12 DP 497523 in the Wiroa Station subdivision, located off McKenzie Road, Purerua peninsular, Kerikeri.

To evaluate the potential impact that the proposed dwelling may have upon the landscape values and natural character of the coastal environment the applicant has commissioned Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd. to undertake a landscape and visual impact assessment to accompany the consent application.

I have viewed the architectural plans of the proposed dwelling prepared by Arcline Architecture and I have visited the property to determine the site conditions, its visibility and the extent and type of the existing vegetation on site.

The application site is located at Wiroa Station which has been developed under the conditions of RC 2160044–RMACOM. Consent was granted for 20 lifestyle lots of between 3,550m2 and 4,000m2 in early December of 2015.

This assessment will determine if the proposed dwellings is in accord with the consent notice conditions and applicable Wiroa Station Architecture code and Landscape Performance Specification.

A Landscape Plan has been developed that provides proposed plantings to soften the built form of the proposed dwelling and tie it into the landscape minimising any potential adverse landscape and visual effects to a low level.

This assessment has been prepared by a qualified Landscape Architect and in accordance with the NZILA (New Zealand Institute of Landscape Architects) Code of Conduct.

2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The applicant proposes to construct a single story residential dwelling on their property located at Lot 12 Wiroa Station.

The Development Plans contained in Appendix 2 illustrate the proposed design of the dwelling. These architectural plans prepared by Arcline Architecture illustrate the floor layout, elevations and 3d model of what the building will look like. The 463m² dwelling is divided into three wings, with a bedroom wing to the west, a living wing centrally located and the master bedroom and garaging wing to the east.



The style of the dwelling is a horizontal form of approximately 4.5m tall designed in a traditional colonial style. The building will have verandahs and decking on both sides with a swimming pool and outdoor living area located on the northern side. This area will be dug into the contour, and the slope will be retained with a low retaining wall.

The proposed dwelling has been designed following the Wiroa Station Architecture Code and the relevant consent notice conditions associated with the property.

Landscape enhancement plantings are proposed around the dwelling to soften the built form and tie it into the landscape. The plantings will provide a vegetated land backdrop when viewed from the visual cone that extends generally to the south, across the Te Puna Inlet and towards the Opito Bay peninsula.

3. CONSENT NOTICES UNDER RC 2160044 - RMACOM

The consent notices applying to the overall property under item 4 require the following conditions that have visual amenity and landscape relevance and shall be complied with:

Building design

(i) Any development shall be designed in accordance with the 'Wiroa Station architecture code and design approval process' document approved under RC2160044, as attached to the resource consent. Any building consent or resource consent application shall include a report prepared by a suitably qualified architect and/or landscape architect demonstrating compliance. The report shall confirm that:

(a) Development shall be consistent with the requirements of the 'Wiroa Station architecture code and design approval process' document.

(b) The development incorporates and protects the specimen tree planting detail as shown on the plans approved under condition 3(h) and carried out under condition 3(i) RC2160044. This specimen tree planting shall be maintained on a continuing basis by the respective lot owner to the satisfaction of Council's duly delegated officer.

(c) Subject to the exceptions as apply to the secondary residential unit on Lot 14 (refer to condition xxii below), at least 50% of the building's footprint must be within the 'nominal building location' as identified on the plans prepared by Lands and Survey, references 9172/3, sheets 1-13, dated November 2015 (as shown on the survey plan).

(d) The interior ground floor area (excluding cellars, first floors, mezzanines, terraces, decks and verandahs) of each house shall be no more than 600m².

(e) The maximum rolling height above ground level (as described in the District Plan) shall not exceed the relevant Lot in Table 1 below. [Lot 12 = 4.5m max height].

The approved $600m^2$ nominated building platform on Lot 12 is shown on the plan below.





The proposed dwelling will have a 463m² building footprint. An area of 224m² will be located outside of this nominated building platform and a 166m² will be located inside this. This has resulted due to the desire to construct a dwelling the fully flows with the contours of the site. Rather than requiring a large cut on the northern side of the dwelling to cut the depth required for this floor area, the design utilises a narrower form that wraps around the contour.

The existing 4m tall Pohutukawa trees that were planted as part of the subdivision consent are located to the west of the building platform and will not be affected by the location of the proposed dwelling.

Maintenance of required specimen planting

(xxiii) The proprietor of the respective lot subject to the specimen tree planting shall be responsible for the ongoing maintenance of the planting within that lot as carried out under condition (3i) of RC2160044. Such maintenance shall be to the satisfaction of Councils duly delegated officer.

No pruning or similar of the trees shall be undertaken within five years of planting, except where the written approval of Councils duly delegated officer has been obtained. Any pruning's thereafter shall be limited to limbing up by use of secateurs or loppers, (ie. No handsaws or chainsaws) of branches less than 50mm in diameter, provided that no more than one third of the foliage of that tree shall be removed I any 12 month period and it shall not reduce the overall height of the tree. Any further works shall be subject to the prior written approval of Councils duly delegated officer and may be subject to works being

Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd27 Hobson Ave, Kerikeri 2030P. 09 407 6448 M. 021 407649info@hawthornlandscapes.co.nz



completed by, or under the supervision of, a contractor approved by the New Zealand Arboriculture Association.

Council shall be advised in the event of any of the trees failing, with the specimen trees to be replaced to the satisfaction of Councils duly delegated officer no later that the end of the planting season (May to August) immediately following failure.

4. WIROA STATION ARCHITECTURE CODE AND DESIGN APPROVAL PROCESS

As the Wiroa Station subdivision consent RC2160044 – RMACOM created an approved building envelope for a house on each of the approved building platforms the Wiroa Station Architecture Code provide design guidelines for future built development upon each lot.

The General Design Guidelines promote the following:

- A high standard of NZ architecture and landscape design,
- Simple classical barn forms that sit calmly/expectedly within the landscape,
- Landscape design to be integrated with the built form,
- Creation of informal spaces using simple rectangular planning, with internal living spaces to address large outdoor living terraces,
- Building arrangements are to promote a sense of enclosure or compound, where an outdoor terrace may be defined by the careful planning of various surrounding buildings,
- Building arrangements are to respect the privacy of adjoining sites and incorporate privacy walls where necessary,
- No extravagant forms, application of decorative or pastiche finishes allowed and
- Building using pole/platform construction are not permitted.

Further design guidelines are provided under the following headings:

- Walls
- Privacy Walls
- Attachments
- Roofing
- Openings
- Landscaping
- Design Approval

A full copy of the Architecture Code provides further details of the design parameters under these heading. Refer to Appendix 6 for a copy of the Wiroa Station Architecture Code.

The proposed dwelling designed by Arcline Architecture illustrated in Appendix 2 – Development Plans depicts the floor layout and exterior aesthetics of the proposed dwelling. The dwelling will be 463m² and will accommodate 4 bedrooms, a main living wing and an attached garaging.

The building has been configured to maximise views whilst maintaining privacy for adjoining lots. The dwelling is a single-story structure and is within the 4.5m building high limit for this lot.



It will be coloured in neutral tones that harmonise with the landscape. The Stratco CS Maxx roofing will be a dark grey colour while the exterior walls will be a warm grey Linea weatherboard. The chimneys will be clad in natural stone.

The dwelling has been set on a building platform that has been cut into the hillslope slightly. This results in a small retaining wall on the northern side of the building which creates a courtyard space within which the swimming pool and outdoor living areas will be housed. This area will have privacy from adjoining lots.

The dwelling has been designed to hug the landscape and follow the curvature of the contours of the hill slope which has resulted in the building being broken up into three wings which all have the same building style, roof pitch and form. Decking covered by verandahs is located on the southern and northern sides of the house. The overhanging verandah create shadows on the facade of the building and minimises the reflectively of the windows.

A landscape plan (refer to Appendix 5) has been prepared for the area around the proposed dwelling to integrate the built form into the landscape. The landscape plan is consistent with the principals of the Detailed House Site Design Plans prepared by Boffa Miskell.

The proposed dwelling is considered to be consistent with the design guidelines found within the Wiroa Station Architecture Code.

5. LANDSCAPE PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION

The Landscape Performance Specifications prepared by Boffa Miskell Limited in September 2008 contains 15 Sections, covering aspects such as Fencing, Weed and Pest Management, Maintenance, Plant Species and Planting of areas such as road batters, swamps, Pohutukawa tree plantings and gully revegetation.

The strategic vision of the landscape management of Wiroa Station included the over-riding objective of the protection and enhancement of landscape values, including water quality, habitat and biodiversity.

This document's primary intention was to inform the wider restoration, mitigation and management initiatives of the subdivision process and as such, the majority of the document is not directed towards the subsequent development of individual sites within the Station.

Section 6.4 of the Specification requires that 4m tall Pohutukawa specimen trees shall be planted around the houses sites as shown on the Detailed House Site Design plans (Site 12 shown below). There are seven of these trees shown to the north of the building site on Lot 12. There are also twelve specimen trees of 2m tall shown to the west of the building site.





Section 9.4 of the Specification described that the layout of the Pohutukawa tree plantings indicated on the Detailed House Site Design plans have been strategically placed to integrate the future houses in terms of internal and external views.

This planting was to be implemented as part of the subdivision consent process by the developer and was to be implemented and signed off prior to the survey plan being approved by Council under 223 of the RMA.

The key features of the proposed landscape enhancement and mitigation planting detailed on the Landscape Plan contained in Appendix 5 are:

- Foreground shrub planting located out from the western and eastern ends of the dwelling to ground the building and link into the Pohutukawa tree specimen plantings,
- Large field grown Pohutukawa tree specimens located off the eastern end of the dwelling and to the north by the driveway to mirror the Pohutukawa trees that are located on the west. These large grade trees will instantly provide a vegetated context and setting for the proposed dwelling to be located within,
- Planting between the three wings to visually soften and break up the built form,
- Amenity planting on the hill slope above to the north of the house, including large field grow Nikau palms to provide height and a vegetated backdrop when viewed from the south and below on the nearby beach.

Overall, the applicant's proposal is considered to closely align to the principles of the Landscape Performance Specification, whilst recognising that it was not the purpose of that document to direct detailed planting associated with individual house sites.

Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd 27 P. 09 407 6448 M. 021 407649 info

27 Hobson Ave, Kerikeri 2030 info@hawthornlandscapes.co.nz



6. VISUAL AND LANDSCAPE EFFECTS

The existing landscape and its visual context contributes to the existing baseline for landscape and visual effects assessment. The existing landscape or 'environment' to use as the baseline for this assessment also includes the future state of the landscape or environment.

Therefore in assessing the 'environment' I have included the future state of the environment, which may be modified from what is currently visible today. The assessment is therefore not limited to the existing landscape character but will take into account how it can be modified in the future by the utilisation of rights to carry out a permitted activity under the district plan, and the implementation of approved resource consents.

In this instance the landscape that the site is located within is part of the Wiroa Station subdivision that has consent for 20 residential dwellings to be developed following architecture design and landscape enhancement guidelines.

This assessment will establish the potential visibility of the application site and future placement of the dwelling on lot 12. It will also determine who the potentially effected viewing audience is, and level of potential adverse visual and landscape effects.

Potential visual effects can be generated through visual changes to the landscape as a result of a development. The significance of these effects is measured by the response of a particular viewing audience.

Visual sensitivity is influenced by a number of factors including its visibility, the nature and extent of the viewing audience, whether the proposal is the focal point or part of a wider view, whether the view is transient or permanent and the degree of contrast with the surrounding environment. The visual qualities of the proposal and the ability to integrate any change within the landscape setting also influence the significance of effects.

The degree of adverse visual effects generated by a proposal also depends upon the character of the surrounding landscape (the context), existing levels of development on the application site, the contour of the land, the presence or absence of screening and/or backdrop vegetation, and the characteristics of the future activities facilitated by the application.

The photographs contained in Appendix 4 Off Site Viewpoints depict a number of representative viewing positions that illustrate the potential view of the application site. The location of the viewpoints are shown in Appendix 1.

The visual cone that extends from the site within which the application site is visible is relatively narrow. The area the visual cone encompasses is depicted in the photos contained within Appendix 3 – On Site Photos. The visual cone extends generally to the south of the site, taking in a small wedge of the Te Puna Inlet, the beach below the site and a section of the Opito Bay peninsula located over 3 kilometers away.

The viewing audience includes visitors to the beach below the site, the number of visitors to this beach are small due to the lack of public land-based access. It also includes visitors to the wedge of the Te Puna Inlet generally to the south of the site,



and visitors and residents along the northern facing slopes of a small section of the Opito Bay peninsula. The viewing audiences' views range from close up to very long focal lengths, with a mix of transitory and permanent views.

Viewpoints 1 and 2

These viewing positions are located on the beach below the building site to the south of the site, and represent the closest views any visitors to the beach would have of the site and future dwelling placed on it. The number of visitors to this beach will be small as there is no public land access to it. Only visitors by boat will be able to gain this view.

The existing pavilions located next to the beach are visible as the foreground built form. The building site on lot 12 is located on the hill slope as indicated upon the consented building platform and is just to the west of the existing transplanted Pohutukawa trees that are visible on the hill slope.

These existing trees and proposed landscape enhancement and mitigation plantings will soften the built form of the proposed dwelling and tie it into the landscape. The use of additional large grade field grown Pohutukawa trees positioned to the east of the dwelling will mirror the existing large pohutukawa's and blend the house into the landform.

Although the proposed dwelling will be visible as a readily noticeable change to the current landscape scene, the proposed dwelling is located upon a consented building site and has been designed so that it complies with the Wiroa Station Architecture Design code. Therefore, the proposed development is aligned with the anticipated future change for this lot.

The proposed landscape enhancement plantings will soften the built form and blend it into the landscape, minimising any potential adverse visual amenity effects to a low level.

Viewpoint 3 & 4

Viewpoint 3 is located on a private road on the Opito Bay peninsula to the southwest of the site, approximately 3.3 km away. Views are obtained across the foreground Te Puna Inlet, with the building site on Lot 12 located on the hill slopes upon the consented building platform as indicated.

Viewpoint 4 is located on Opito Bay Road looking north towards the application site, which is located approximately 4km away. From these long focal distances the proposed dwelling will be inconspicuous and it will be difficult to distinguish it at a glance.

The site is not located on the skyline and is located within the Wiroa Station development that will eventually accommodate 20 dwellings sites. From these distant

Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd27 Hobson Ave, Kerikeri 2030P. 09 407 6448M. 021 407649info@hawthornlandscapes.co.nz



viewing positions along the Opito Bay peninsula it will result in a very low level of visual change and will not impact negatively upon the landscape and visual quality values of the surrounding landscape.

The view from the water within the Te Puna Inlet will be a mixture of close up and distant views ranging between the views shown in Viewpoint 1 to Viewpoint 4. As described above the potential effects of the development upon a water-based viewing audience will be similar to the assessment of the viewing positions 1 - 4.

In summary the potential adverse landscape and visual effects of the proposed development upon the general viewing audience is assessed as being low.

7. STATUTORY CONTEXT

The property is located within the General Coastal Zone as depicted on Zone Map 29 within the FNDP. The resource Map 29 identifies that the costal edge of the property is identified has having an Outstanding Landscape designation, however the proposed dwelling is not located within this. There are no Outstanding Landscape Features or Natural Features identified on the site.

Far North District Plan (FNDP)

The following is an assessment of the proposal against the relevant assessment criteria found within the Visual Amenity Rule 10.6.5.3.1 of the FNDP.

10.6.5.3.1 Visual Amenity Rule

i. The location of the building;

The proposed dwelling is partially located within the 600m² nominated building platform that was identified on the Detailed House Site Design plans prepared by Boffa Miskell in 2008.

The dwelling has a $463m^2$ building footprint, with $224m^2$ located outside of this nominated building platform and a $166m^2$ located inside this.

The dwelling will be located as anticipated at the time of the subdivision consent. It has been designed and located following the Wiroa Station Architecture Code so will comply with the relevant consent notice conditions relating to location.

ii. The size, bulk and height of the building in relation to ridgelines and natural features;

The size of proposed dwelling is 463m² so it is within the approved size for this lot. The height of the dwelling is within the 4.5m height restriction for this lot, with the bulk of the structure being a horizontal form wrapping around the contours of the site, hugging the landscape. The dwelling is broken up into three wings with landscaping between each wing to break up the overall mass of the built form.

The northern edge of the dwelling and courtyard for the swimming pool is dug into the hill slope slightly so that the development sits into the landscape.

| Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd | 27 Hobson Ave, Kerikeri 2030 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| P. 09 407 6448 M. 021 407649 | info@hawthornlandscapes.co.nz |



The development is located on a rolling hillslope that when viewed from within the wider landscape is not viewed as being on a ridgeline, it is viewed with a land backdrop. When viewed from directly below the site on the beach the building site is viewed on the skyline. This will be mitigated through the implementation of landscape enhancement and mitigation plantings.

There are no natural features on the site or located within close proximity of the site.

iii. The colour and reflectivity of the building;

The proposed dwelling will be coloured in natural tones, including dark grey for the roof and a mid grey for the exteriors cladding, thus complying with the Wiroa Station Architecture Code. The veranda located along the southern side of the dwelling will also create shadows and will result in the dwelling being recessive in the landscape.

iv. The extent to which planting can mitigate visual effects;

The Landscape Plan contained in Appendix 5 details areas of proposed foreground and backdrop planting and additional large grade Pohutukawa specimen trees to be planted around the building site. This landscape enhancement planting will mitigate any potential adverse landscape and visual effects of the proposed dwelling to a less than minor level.

v. Any earthworks and or vegetation clearance associated with the building;

The development includes a small amount of earthworks to create the outdoor living courtyard to the north of the house around the swimming pool. The cut batters will be retained by retaining walls that will be screened from the coast by the house itself. One or two of the smaller Pohutukawa trees that are located to the north of the building footprint will be relocated to the north of the building site to provide additional backdrop planting when viewed from the beach below.

vi. The location and design of associated vehicle access, manoeuvring and parking areas;

The access driveway to the house will be off the existing subdivision roading network. The garaging and parking areas are located to the north of the house and will be screened by the house and landscaping when viewed from the coast and wider landscape.

vii. The extent to which the building and any associated overhead utility lines will be visually obtrusive

The utility services will all be laid underground. The proposed dwelling has been designed to be in accord with the Wiroa Station Architecture Code so will result in an appropriately located, scaled and coloured building being built on the site. The proposed landscape planting will then visually integrate the built form into the landscape so that it will not be visually obtrusive.

viii. The cumulative visual effects of all the buildings on the site;

| Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd | 27 Hobson Ave, Kerikeri 2030 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| P. 09 407 6448 M. 021 407649 | info@hawthornlandscapes.co.nz |



There is only one dwelling intended to be located on this lot.

ix. The degree to which the landscape will retain the qualities that give it its naturalness, visual and amenity values;

This site is part of the wider Wiroa Station subdivision that will eventually accommodate 20 dwellings located on the nominated building platforms. This will see the current rural character of the landscape change to a landscape that accommodates residential development, as anticipated and approved under the Wiroa Station subdivision consent.

The proposed dwelling will form part of this pattern of built development located within this landscape setting. As the proposed dwelling will be in accord with the Architecture Code and the proposed landscape plantings will minimise any potential adverse landscape and visual effects the landscape values of the site and wider landscape will be protected.

x. The extent to which private open space can be provided for future users;

The property is of a nature, size and in a location that results in ample private open space being provided for the owners and any future users.

xi. The extent to which siting, setback and design of buildings avoid visual dominance on landscapes, adjacent sites and the surrounding environment;

The design of the dwelling, outdoor living areas and landscaping will avoid any visual dominance on the landscape and adjacent sites.

xii. The extent to which non-compliance affects the privacy, outlook and enjoyment of private open spaces on adjacent site.

There are no non-complying aspects of the proposal that will result in any adverse effects upon the privacy, outlook or enjoyment of the private open space on the adjoining sites.

8. CONCLUSION

The dwelling that is the subject of this application will be located within the Wiroa Station 20-lot rural residential subdivision. There are consent notice conditions and architectural and landscape design guidelines attached to this subdivision consent.

The proposed dwelling will be located upon the consented building platform and it will be in accord with the design parameters for the Wiroa Station subdivision and for Lot 12 specifically. It will comply with the approved building height and building footprint size for this lot.

I have assessed the potential visual and landscape effects of the development and evaluated the proposal against the relevant assessment criteria within the Visual Amenity Rule 10.6.5.3.1 of the FNDP and found that the potential adverse landscape and visual amenity effects will be low.



This is due to the proposed development being designed so that it is in accord with the consented design controls and FNDC assessment criteria, in addition the proposed landscape enhancement plantings will minimise potential adverse effects and soften the built form blending it into the landscape.

Yours sincerely

Christine Hawthorn BLA (Hons.) Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd.

Attachments:

- Appendix 1 Location and Viewpoint Location Map
- Appendix 2 Development Plans
- Appendix 3 On Site Photos
- Appendix 4 Off Site Viewpoints
- Appendix 5 Landscape Plan
- Appendix 6 Wiroa Station Architecture Code









| | B |
|--|--------------------------|
| HAWT | HORN |
| Landscape | Architects |
| 26.0 | 2.21 |
| | |
| Wiroa S | Station |
| Wiroa Scale | Station Drawn By |
| Scale 1:500 @ A1, 1:1,000 @ A3 | Drawn By DOL |
| Scale 1:500 @ A1, 1:1,000 @ A3 Drawing # | Drawn By DOL Rev # |
| Scale 1:500 @ A1, 1:1,000 @ A3 Drawing # 003 | Drawn By DOL Rev # |





Appendix 2 - Development Plans Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri





Appendix 2 - Development Plans Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri



| | PROPOSE NEW RESIDENC | ED CE |
|---|--|--|
| | WIROA LOT | r 12 |
| | PURERUA, BAY ISLANDS | OF |
| | Architectu Architectu 49 Matthews Ave, Katala Ph (09) 4082233 Fax (09) 4082238 Email Info@arcine.co www.arcline.co.nz Explosive DAVEDREE DAVEDREE | |
| I | | |
| | Revision Name | Date |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLAN BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS THE CONCEPT PLAN BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 201' THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZFA | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE |
| | Revision Name CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLAN BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2011 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue 23/4/ | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND LAND |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2011 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY AND AND |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS THE CONCEPT PLAN BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2011 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/1 Scale: 1:50 | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND LAND |
| | Revision Name CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 201' THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/' Scale: 1:50 Drawn By: STEPH | AFTER SHAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND LAND |
| | Revision Name CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLAN BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2011 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/1 Scale: 1:50 Drawn By: STEPH Date this copy printed/emailed | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND LAND |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2011 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/1 Scale: 1:50 Drawn By: STEPH Date this copy printed/emailed 11/02/21 | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND IS |
| | Revision Name CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 201' THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/ Scale: 1:50 Drawn By: STEPH Date this copy printed/emailed 11/02/21 | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND LAND |
| | CHANGES TO PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS THE CONCEPT PLANS BEEN APPROVED W INVOICED ALL WORK SHALL C WITH NZS3604 2017 THE BUILDING CODE OF NEW ZEA Initial Issue Date: 23/4/7 Scale: 1:50 Drawn By: STEPH Date this copy printed/emailed 11/02/21 NGINEER/ TRUSSES | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY AND AND |
| | Revision Name | AFTER S HAVE ILL BE OMPLY I AND IS I |



Appendix 2 - Development Plans Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri



Photo 1 - View looking east across the northern edge of the building site



Photo 2 - View looking south from the building site



Photo 3 - View looking west across the building site



Photo 4 - View looking southwest showing the existing large grade Pohutukawa trees



Appendix 3 - On Site Photos Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri



Viewpoint 1

Located on the beach below the building site to the south of the site. The existing pavilions located next to the beach are visible as the foreground form. The building site on lot 12 is located on the hill slope as indicated. The existing transplanted Pohutukawa trees that are visible on the hill slope will be located just to the west of the proposed dwelling and will soften the build form and tie it into the landscape. The proposed landscape enhancement and mitigation plantings will also tie the proposed dwelling will mirror the existing large pohutukawa's and blend the house into the landform.



Landscape Architects Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd 537 Kerikeri Road, RD3 Kerikeri, New Zealand P/F 09 407 6448 M 021 407649 www.hawthornlandscapes.co.nz

Appendix 4 - Off Site Viewpoints Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri



Viewpoint 2

Located on the beach a little further around the foreshore to the west. The existing Pavilions are still visible in the foreground. The building site on lot 12 is located on the hillslope behind these pavilions as indicated. The smaller grade Pohutukawa trees that are located to the north of the building site are visible from this location. These trees are approximately 2.5m tall and will continue to grow and will provide a vegetated backdrop to the proposed dwelling. Other mature landscape plantings will be located around the dwelling to soften it and blend it into the landscape.



Landscape Architects Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd 537 Kerikeri Road, RD3 Kerikeri, New Zealand P/F 09 407 6448 M 021 407649 www.hawthornlandscapes.co.nz

Appendix 4 - Off Site Viewpoints Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri



Viewpoint 3

This viewing position is located on a private road on the Opito Bay peninsula to the southwest of the site, approximately 3.3 km away. Views are obtained across the foreground Te Puna Inlet. The building site on Lot 12 I located on the hill slopes as indicated. The site is not located on the skyline and is located within the Wiroa Station development that will eventually accommodate 20 dwellings sites.



Landscape Architects Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd 537 Kerikeri Road, RD3 Kerikeri, New Zealand P/F 09 407 6448 M 021 407649 www.hawthornlandscapes.co.nz

Appendix 4 - Off Site Viewpoints Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri
Building Site



Viewpoint 4

Located on Opito bay Road looking north towards the application site, which is located approximately 4km away. From this distance the proposed dwelling will be viewed as a very small object in the wider landscape and will be difficult to distinguish.



Landscape Architects Hawthorn Landscape Architects Ltd 537 Kerikeri Road, RD3 Kerikeri, New Zealand P/F 09 407 6448 M 021 407649 www.hawthornlandscapes.co.nz

Appendix 4 - Off Site Viewpoints Lot 12 Wiroa Station McKenzie Road Kerikeri Ν







| Code | Quantity | Botanical Name | Common Name | Scheduled Size |
|------|----------|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Cg | 14 | Corokia sp. | Corokia Globes | PB 5 |
| Lt | 80 | Lomandra longifolia 'Tanika' | Lomandra Grass | PB 3 |
| Ма | 19 | Muehlenbeckia astonii | Wiggy-wig bush | PB 5 |
| Pc | 76 | Phormium cookianum | Mountain Flax | PB 5 |
| Tj | 63 | Trachelospermum jasminoides | Star Jasmine | PB 5 |

| Code | Quantity | Botanical Name | Common Name | Scheduled Size |
|------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Ме | 6 | Metrosideros excelsa | Pohutukawa tree | Field Grown |
| Pf | 6 | Pseudopanax ferox | Toothed Lancewood | PB 95 |
| Rc | 11 | Rhapalostylis sapida 'Chathamica' | Chatham Is Nikau | Field Grown |

<u>Plant Schedule - Shrubs + Groundcovers</u>

grade field grown transplanted Pohutukawa trees to blend the building into the



Planting Palette - Lot 12 Wiroa Station





Rhapalostylis sapida Chathamica

Lomandra tanika



Phormium cookianum



Feature rocks



Trachelospermum jasminoides



Metrosideros excelsa



Pseudopanax ferox



Corokia species



Muehlenbeckia astonii



Landscape Planting Implementation + Maintenance

Implementation Scope

The scope of the planting is:

- Preparation of planting areas;
- Timing of planting;
- iii. Plant material;
- iv. Siting of plants in accordance with the planting plan;
- v. Planting;
- vi. Watering in newly planted shrubs, and;
- vii. General maintenance, and;
- viii. Weed pest and disease control.

Preparation of Planting Areas

- Undertake clearance of any exotic weed species. No native vegetation shall be removed.
- The initial weed control should be carried out during the autumn months prior to the winter planting, when plants are still actively growing and therefore more susceptible to herbicides.
- Blanket spray planting areas three weeks before planting. A follow up spray should be applied if required.
- iv. Apart from grasses one of the main weeds that may require spraying is gorse. For gorse the following is recommended:

For Gorse spray:

- Spray with 5g metsulfuron-methyl (600g/kg e.g. Escort®) + 10 ml penetrant per 10 litres water; or
- Gun and hose at a rate of 35g metsulfuron-methyl + 100ml penetrant per 100 litres water.

For grasses spray:

- Spray 100ml glyphosate (e.g. Roundup)+ 20ml penetrant per 10litres water

Timing of Planting

Planting shall only be undertaken between the months of April to September. If planting is undertaken early or late in plants should be irrigated during any dry periods.

Plant Material

- Plants shall be purchased from a reputable nursery. All plants shall be best nursery stock, being healthy and vig systems shall be well developed and in balance with the amount of foliage growth of the plant.
- Root-bound plants or those with badly spiraling root systems shall not be acceptable. Plants should have a root ball root growth. This should be sliced through vertically with a sharp knife when removing the planter bag.
- iii. Plants are to be planted as soon as possible after delivery and no later than 3 days after delivery.

Siting of Plants

i. Planting shall be in accordance with and as shown on the Proposed Individual Site Landscape Plan.

<u>Planting</u>

- In areas where mass planting/total vegetation replacement is proposed a 150mm layer of 50/50 mix locally sourced compost and topsoil should be placed over the whole area to recieve planting. More of this soil/compost mix should also be mixed into individual plant holes during planting (as described below).
- Hessian matting to be placed over any steeper areas or those close to a water source to prevent excessive runoff. Plants should be well watered in their containers prior to planting.
- iv. Holes for the larger (pb3 and above) plants should be dug approximately 1.5 times wider that the root ball, so that the roots are
- not cramped. Some loose soil should be left in the bottom of the hole to aid root growth and drainage. v. Approximately one tablespoon of good quality eighteen to twenty-four month slowrelease fertiliser should be placed in the bottom of the plant hole, and mixed in with the loose soil, ensuring that the fertiliser is not sitting directly on the roots (as it may burn them).
- vi. Soil returned around the roots should be firmed with the foot, with a small amount of loose soil left at the top of the hole.
- vii. Holes for large plants may exceed the depth of topsoil. In these cases the subsoil is to be thoroughly broken and well mixed with topsoil, which has been added as a 100mm layer to the bottom of the planting hole. Any compacted soil pan is to be thoroughly broken by relevant measures ensuring good root penetration and drainage.
- viii Individual specimens should be planted approx 50mm proud of the existing ground level to prevent waterlogging. The base of the planting hole is to be filled and firmed with backfilling material to a level where the top of the plant root ball is ix. level with surrounding ground.
- x. All care shall be taken to keep the root ball of the plant intact during placement.
- xi. Finish all newly planted areas with a 70mm layer of locally sourced, high quality mulch.

Specimen Tree Planting

- Ground preparation to take place prior to planting; consisting of a 1m3 hole for each pb95 grade tree. Integrate existing soil within this hole with a 50/50 mix of locally sourced compost and topsoil.
- Trees should be planted approx 50mm proud of the existing ground level to prevent waterlogging.
- iii. Finish with a 70mm layer of locally sourced, high quality mulch to a 1m diameter around tree trunk, do not mound up around trunk.
- iv. Stake trees with appropriate wooden stakes and soft tree tie.

<u>Watering In</u>

Immediately after planting all of the plants are to be thoroughly watered until the planting hole is saturated. The foliage of plants is also to be thoroughly wetted. This is to be done even if soil conditions are already wet.

General Maintenance

- Maintenance weed control should commence within three months following the planting, and then twice annually (see table 1.1 for maintenance timing).
- Maintenance shall be undertaken for a minimum period of 3 years following practical completion in accordance with this specification and the accompanying plan.
- iii. Care should be taken to identify and control any weeds that may have been introduced to the property in potting mix associated with the new plants.
- iv. All weeds should be cleared from the site by appropriate physical and chemical control. The majority of weeds growing close to the plant can be pulled by hand (taking care not to damage the roots of the plant) or, if appropriate, sprayed with herbicide by an experienced operator.
 - v. During this three-year maintenance programme, any dead plants will need to be replaced.
 - vi. An annual top up of mulch is required throughout all mass planted areas, and around each specimen, to achieve a 70mm layer.
 - vii. Selective pruning/trimming of specimen trees ishall be undertaken to remove any dead wood or damaged growth and to maintain healthy vigour and good form.

| | Table 3.1 | | | | | | | Time | of Year | to Con | nplete | Tasks | | |
|------------------|---|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|---------|--------|--------|-------|-----|-----|
| | Maintenance Task | Frequency | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| n the season, | Maintenance weeding around specimen trees and in all mass planted areas. | 2x annually | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Top up of mulch on all trees and mass planted areas to achieve a 70mm layer. | 2x annually | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| gorous. Root | Removal and replacement of any damaged or dead specimens. | 1x annually | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| l of fine, fresh | Selective pruning/trimming of specimen trees to remove any dead wood or damaged growth and to maintain healthy vigour and good form. | 1x annually | | | | | | | | | | | | |





SITE SUITABILITY REPORT

FOR

PROPOSED NEW DWELLING

AT

LOT 12 WIROA STATION

FOR

SIR OWEN GLENN

Job No: 21- 020 Date: March 2021 Revised May 2021

Level 1 ANZ Bank Building 90 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri, New Zealand Telephone: 09 407 3255 Email: <u>teampk@pkengin.co.nz</u>



1. INTRODUCTION

This report was requested by Arcline and has been prepared to assess the suitability of Lot 12 DP 497523, Lot 12 Wiroa Station, Te Tii for future development.

This report assesses the proposed Lot 12 in regard to land stability, foundation requirements, ground retention requirements, wastewater disposal and stormwater flows and has been prepared for the sole use of Sir Owen Glenn. It shall not be used, reproduced or copied in any manner or form without the permission of P K Engineering Limited.

2. GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

Lot 12 encompasses an area of approximately 3993m² and is located on a country road off the Purerua Road. The ground is sloping moderately toward the south-east at grades of 6-17°. The lot is predominantly covered by grass and regenerating bush.

A building site is proposed to be located as indicated on Site plan, Sheet SG1, Appendix A. An existing gravel driveway off the ROW in the south-east corner of the lot currently provides access to the site.

No ground excavations have yet been undertaken on the site. A site topographic survey has been conducted by a registered Surveyor and the locations and dimensions of all features as shown on the accompanying plans and discussed in this report are from that survey and information supplied by the client.

The subsurface conditions discussed in this report have been determined at very specific locations and will not identify any variations in ground strength or composition at other locations on the site. During construction should ground conditions be found to vary significantly from those described in this report PK Engineering is to be notified immediately.

3. NATURAL HAZARDS

The Northern end of the proposed lot falls within the tsunami hazard zone – "Yellow Inundation Zone. This zone should be evacuated in an official warning for larger than the 3 – 5 m threat level (2,500-year return period) or in the case of a natural or informal warning where the potential wave height is unknown. The Yellow Zone encompasses the Orange Zone and Shore Evacuation Zone." Northland Regional council – Tsunami hazard zones. The proposed building platform is inside the safe zone.

The site has mainly cohesive soil types which are not prone to liquefaction.

4. SITE INVESTIGATIONS

4.1 VISUAL INSPECTION

A thorough walkover of the proposed building site and surrounding slopes was undertaken and geotechnical features relating to site stability and stormwater flows were noted.

4.2 SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS

Three subsurface exploratory auger holes were drilled at the locations shown on the attached plan as AH1 - AH6. In situ undrained shear strength readings were taken at regular intervals in each hole. All the auger holes were drilled to depths of 3.0m below existing ground level. Scala penetrometer tests were then undertaken from the base of the auger holes to a target depth of 5.0m below ground level or to termination where impenetrable ground was discovered.

All the auger holes intercepted firm, undisturbed silty and sandy clays with insitu undrained shear strength in excess of 100kPa. Scala penetrometer tests were taken from the base of each auger hole (PT1 – PT6). PT1, PT4 and PT5 found firm clays transitioning to semi weathered rock at ~3.9m depth below existing ground level and was terminated in rock at 4.3m depth below existing ground level. PT2 and PT3 found firm clays transitioning to semi weathered rock at 3.6m depth below existing ground level and was terminated in rock at 3.9m depth below existing ground level. PT6 found firm clays transitioning to semi weathered rock at 3.9m depth below existing ground level and was terminated in rock at 4.5m depth below existing ground level.

Cross section A - A, B - B are shown on Sheet SG3 give an illustration of the inferred sub-soil profile.

The groundwater table was not found in all of the auger holes.

The logs of these auger holes and scala penetrometer tests are given in Appendix A.

4.3 SOAKAGE TESTS

Two Soakage tests (SH1 & SH2) were undertaken for this lot to assist in wastewater disposal design. These tests were located as shown on the accompanying Site Plan SG1. These tests were conducted in accordance with the TP 58 guidelines. The test results are given in Appendix A.

The tests revealed the sub-soil to have moderate to slow drainage rates. We recommend that the sub-soil for this lot be categorised as a <u>Category 5</u> <u>type soil</u>.

5. GEOLOGY

The local soil type has been classified as "*Marua light brown clay loam*" overlying "*Interbedded sandstone and mudstone (greywacke and argillite)*....."*NZMS 290, Sheet Q04/05, Bay of Islands soil and rock maps.*

6. SITE STABILITY

6.1 GENERAL

The sub soils on this site are of high strength, with strong bedrock located at \sim 4.0– 4.8m beneath existing ground level.

A rib raft type of foundation may be utilised for the proposed dwelling at the south-eastern portion of the lot. The raft foundation to be constructed as 3 individual rafts to allow for possible differential settlement. Hardfill under raft to extend 1-meter past building envelope.

All foundation design to be conducted by a suitably experienced Chartered Professional Engineer.

Ground retaining will be required at the south-eastern corner of the dwelling, refer Site Plan Sheet SG1 for location. Timber pole retaining walls should be utilised. Any retaining of greater than 1.0m height or subject to surcharge loading (buildings, driveways or backslope exceeding 15°) should be designed by a suitably experienced Chartered Professional Engineer.

Up to 1500mm may be cut out and battered back at 1:2 (i.e. 26° max) to accommodate the south western side of the proposed dwelling.

6.2 BUILDING FOUNDATIONS

The following parameters should be utilized for the design of footings and piled foundations:

IN STIFF CLAY :

| Bulk Density | = 18 kN/m ³ |
|---|------------------------|
| Ultimate Bearing Capacity | = 300kPa |
| Allowable Bearing Capacity (F.O.S = 3) | = 100kPa |
| Dependable Bearing Capacity (ϕ = 0.5) | = 150kPa |
| IN WEAK CLAY: | |
| Bulk Density | = 18 kN/m ³ |
| Ultimate Bearing Capacity | = 150kPa |
| Allowable Bearing Capacity (F.O.S = 3) | = 50kPa |
| Dependable Bearing Capacity (ϕ = 0.5) | = 75kPa |

IN SEMI-WEATHERED ROCK:

| Bulk Density | = 25 kN/m ³ |
|--|------------------------|
| Ultimate Bearing Capacity | = 6MPa |
| Allowable Bearing Capacity (F.O.S = 3) | = 2MPa |
| Dependable Bearing Capacity ($\phi = 0.5$) | = 3MPa |

6.3 CUT BATTER SLOPES

Maximum cut batters up to 1.5m may be used in developing this site provided they have a maximum slope of 1 vertical to 2.0 horizontal. All cut batter slopes should be planted in vegetation (e.g. vetiver grass) following excavation.

7. STORMWATER

The careful management of stormwater runoff is vital to the continued stability of the proposed building platform. All stormwater flows should be piped away from the building platform.

This site is zoned as General Coastal Zone under the Far North District Plan. In order to constitute a permitted activity, a maximum of 10% of the total site area may be used for impermeable surfaces (roofs, driveway & sealed areas). However Bay of Islands Planning advises that This site is permitted 50% coverage of impermeable surfaces.

The proposed new dwelling roof area is to be ~ $512m^2$ and other paving areas is to be $348m^2$, therefore bringing the total impermeable surface area to approximately $860m^2 - 21.5\%$ of the gross site area. This site therefore remains within the permitted category as far as stormwater management is concerned.

Overflow from the tanks to be directed to a suitable dispersal system to provide sheet flow to natural flow paths.

A Consent notice on RC 2160044-RMAVAR/A states that for all lots;

"(j) Stormwater Storm-water disposal from hard surface areas of each lot will be to a soakage area or areas. The specific engineering design of the soakage area shall accompany the building consent application for the development on the relevant lot."

Our experience with ground conditions in this area leads us to the conclusion that discharging stormwater runoff to soakage in the soils of this site will result in slope instability and we recommend no stormwater to be discharged to soakage. We propose an alternative solution below. Stormwater runoff from the driveway to be caught in a formed concrete swale drain and discharged to the existing roadside swale drain as indicated on Sheet SS1 accompanying.

Stormwater runoff from the courtyard to be caught in a catchpit and discharged, via a 100mm diameter uPVC pipe, to a suitable dispersal system providing sheet flow to natural flow paths. Refer sheet SG4 appendix A for dispersal system detail.

The overflow from the water storage tanks to be discharged, via a 150mm diameter uPVC pipe, to a suitable dispersal system providing sheet flow to natural flow paths. Please refer to Site Plan Stormwater, Sheet SS1 accompanying.

The backwash from the swimming pool to be discharged to a scoria filled soakage pit . This soakage pit to be 0.5m diameter and drilled to 6m depth to allow backwash water to discharge into the fractured rock rather than the silts and clays. The soak pit to be filled with clean 20/40 scoria to within 0.4m from the surface, BIDIM A19 to be laid over the scoria and the pit capped with a raised clay plug to prevent surface water ingress. Refer Soak Pit Detail Sheet SS2 and Sheet SS1 accompanying.

8. WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

Option 1: Existing Subdivision Wastewater Disposal system

Wastewater can be piped directly into the existing subdivision wastewater disposal system via an Eone pump chamber. A standard wastewater 40mm PN12 pressure pipe is appropriate. The existing TET disposal mound will need to be completed to the satisfaction of FNDC requirements as noted in the letter from them - included in Appendix A – prior to connecting the Eone pump chamber to the existing disposal system.

Option 2: Home Aeration Plant

The soils that exist on this site exhibit slow drainage rates. It has been classified as a category 5 type of earth as per the recommendations set by Technical Publication No. TP58.

To achieve a secondary level of treatment it is recommended to use an aerated treatment plant for this site. For this purpose, an AdvanTex AX20 from Innoflow or similar, capable of treating at least 2,000l/day of wastewater flow is recommended. The maintenance programme for the treatment system should be strictly followed as per the supplier's recommendations.

We believe that a dosing rate of 3mm per day is quite conservative for this site provided that the whole disposal area is closely planted with high evapotranspiration plant species.

We recommended using a Sub Surface Pressure Compensating drip irrigation system for the disposal field. The disposal field to consist of 370 lineal metres of surface irrigation lines with emitters at 1m centres and 1m spacing between lines, to give a total area of 360m². This design is based on a 4-bedroom dwelling with a 6-person occupancy using 180ltrs/day per person giving a total wastewater production of 1080ltrs/day and a loading rate of 3ltrs/m²/day. Sub surface irrigation lines are recommended. The irrigation lines to be covered with a minimum of 50mm topsoil, bark, or mulch.

A 30% reserve area is available if required. Refer Site Plan Sheet SG1A, Appendix A

It must be ensured that the wastewater disposal field and reserve area maintain the following minimum setback distances:

- 1.5m from property boundary
- 3m from buildings
- 15m from surface water and open drains
- 0.6m above the winter groundwater table.
- 3m from the retaining walls.

The disposal field to be fenced to prevent children and animals coming into contact with the leachate. A swale surface water interceptor to be constructed on the uphill side of the disposal field to prevent surface water entering the field.

Only Bio-Degradable detergents and cleaning agents are to be used in any water discharging to the wastewater system.

9. ACCESS

Access to the site is currently provided via an access way in the southeastern corner of the proposed lot. This access way to be upgraded to provide a suitable access and turn around area for the proposed dwelling as illustrated on the Site Plan, Sheet SG1.

The driveway should have a formed concrete swale along one edge to catch all run off and carry it to a suitable discharge structure at the lowest point of the new driveway. For discharge to the existing stream.

10. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

I recommend that:

- This site is considered suitable for proposed new dwelling.
- The dwelling foundation be a rib raft platform type of foundation.

- Foundation design should be conducted by a suitably experienced Chartered Professional Engineer.
- Ground retaining will be required on the south-eastern side of the dwelling.
- Any retaining of greater than 1.0m height or subject to surcharge loading (buildings, driveways or backslope exceeding 15°) should be designed by a suitably experienced Chartered Professional Engineer.
- All earthworks are to be inspected and approved by an engineer. All hardfill over 600mm depth is to be inspected and approved by an engineer.
- No stormwater flows from the developments are allowed to discharge onto the slopes surrounding the building platform.
- Stormwater management as per section 7 of this report.
- Wastewater be either treated to a secondary level by an aerated treatment plant and disposed of by 360 lineal metres of PCDI lines as outlined in section 8 of this report and a 30% reserve area is to be provided, or can be piped directly to the existing subdivision wastewater disposal system.
- The required setbacks for the wastewater system are maintained as per section 8 of this report.
- Only Bio-Degradable detergents and cleaning agents are to be used in any water discharging to the wastewater system.

11. CONCLUSION

This site is suitable for the proposed developments and a stable building platform can be made available provided that the recommendations in this report are followed correctly.

All foundation design and retaining wall design should be conducted by a Chartered Professional Engineer.

All Earthworks will need to be inspected and approved by a Chartered Professional Engineer.



Pradeep Kumar. B.E hons, NZCE, MIPENZ, IntPE, CP Eng. (Structural, Geotechnical) Chartered Professional Engineer.

APPENDIX A

• AUGER HOLE LOGS

• SCALA PENETROMETER LOGS

| • | SOAKAGE TEST RESULTS | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|
| • | PS1 PRODUCER STATEMENT | |
| • | TP-58 APPENDIX E | |
| • | LIST OF PLANT SPECIES | |
| • | SITE PLAN | 'SG1' |
| • | WASTEWATER PLAN | 'SG2' |
| • | CROSS SECTION A – A, B - B | 'SG3' |
| • | STORM WATER PLAN | 'SS1' |
| • | POOL BACKWASH SOAK PIT DETAIL | 'SS2' |
| • | DISPERSAL SYSTEM DETAIL | 'SG4' |



| Graphic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | #### # | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | DDDDD In situ shear vane reading Remoulded shear vane | | | | |
|---------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Symbol | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP SOIL | Organic Soil | reading Scale Pe | enetrometer | | | |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descrip | otion | | Undraine Strengt | ed Shear h (kPa) | Scale Penetrometer (blows/300mm) | | | |
| | | | | | D | ark Brown Dry | Topsoil | | | 203 | | | | |
| 300 | ##### | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | | | | |
| 600 | ##### ##### | | | D. | | ngo/Vollow Sil | ty Clay Book In | alucion | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | y Crumbly Ora | ange/ renow Sh | LY CIAY ROCK IN | SIUSION | | 203 | | | | |
| 900 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | | | | |
| 1200 | ##### ###### | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1200 | ###### | | | Moist C | rumbly Yellow | Pink Orange N | Nottled White Si | lty ClayRock | | 203 | | | | |
| 4500 | ##### | | | | | Inclusion | | | | 200 | | | | |
| 1500 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | 202 | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | | | | |
| 1800 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ###### | | | Mois | t Dark Orange | Crumbly Mott | led White Rock | Inclusion | | 203 | | | | |
| 2100 | ##### | ted | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | dəɔ. | oan | | | | | | | 203 | | | | |
| 2400 | ##### | ntei | ۲ ۲ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | ot I | Ö | | Crumbly Pinl | Mottled Oran | ae/White Silty C | lav | | 203 | | | | |
| 2700 | ##### | /el n | uwo | | 0.0.0. | | ge, trince entry e | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | Lev | ťBr | | Moist W | hite Silty Clay | Mottled Yellow | | 44 | 189 | | | | |
| 3000 | ###### | ater | igh: | | 10131 11 | The Only Only | | | | | <pre>X</pre> | | | |
| | | Ň | ua L | | | | | | | | 4 | | | |
| 3300 | | ounc | Marı | | | | | | | | E E | | | |
| | | Gro | _ | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| 3600 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| 3000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drill Metho | ods | 50-100 | mm han | d auger | Note: | | | | | | | | | |
| Test Date | uon | Refer to 16/03/20 | site pla | n | 1. The su will not | bsurtace data (identify any va | tescribed above | e has been de | termined at a s n | pecific borel | nole location. The data | | | |
| Inspector | | TY & DF | : | | 2. UTP - l | Jnable to pene | trate. | | ··· | | | | | |
| | | | | Lev | el 1 ANZ Bank | Building 90 Ke | erikeri Road, Ke | rikeri New Ze | aland | | | | | |
| | | | | Teleph | one: 09 407 32 | 255 Fax: 09 40 | / 3256 Email: T | eamPK@pke | ngın.co.nz | | | | | |



| Graphic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | ‡‡‡‡‡‡ | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | In situ sh reading | lear vane |
|---------------|------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Symbol | | | | | | | | | | Remould | led shear vane |
| | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP | Organic | reading | |
| | | | | | | | | SOIL | Soil | Scale Pe | netrometer |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descrip | otion | | Undraine Strengt | ed Shear h (kPa) | Scale Penetrometer (blows/300mm) |
| | | | | | Dark I | Brown Dry Top | soil Rootlets | | | 203 | |
| 300 | ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | Dry Crumbl | y Yellow Mottle | d Black Silty Cla | ay | | 203 | |
| 600 | ##### ###### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | Moist Yellow | Mottled White | Clav Rock Inclu | sion | | 203 | |
| 900 | ##### ###### | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 1000 | ##### | | | | Orang | ge Mottled Whi | te Silty Clay | | | 200 | |
| 1200 | ##### ###### | | | | | , , | , , | | | 202 | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 1500 | ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | | | C | rumbly Moist (| Orange Pink M | ottled White Silt | y Clay | | 203 | |
| 1800 | ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 2100 | ##### | eq | | | Pink Mo | ottled Orange/w | hite Silty Clay | | | | |
| | ##### | cept | am | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 2400 | ##### ###### | terc | / Lo | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | ot In | Clay | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 2700 | ##### ###### | l nc | u v | | Crum | ly Orange Whit | e Silty Clay | | | | |
| 2700 | ###### ###### | eve | Bro | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 0000 | ##### | er L | ght l | | | | | | | | • |
| 3000 | ##### | Wat | ı Liç | | | | | | | | |
| | | pu | arua | | | | | | | | 5 |
| 3300 | | irou | Ű | | | | | | | | 2 |
| | | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| 3600 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4800 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | 50.400 | " | | . | | | | | | |
| Urill Metho | ods tion | 50-100 | mm han | a auger | 1 The cui | bourface data | described above | a has haan d | otormined at a a | nocific horsh | olo location. The data |
| Test Date | uon | 16/03/20 | <u>ыте ріа</u>)21 | 11 | will not | identify any va | riations away fro | om the locati | etermineu at a s on. | pecilic poren | |
| Inspector | | TY & DF | - | | 2. UTP - I | Jnable to pene | trate. | | | | |
| | | | | Leve | el 1 ANZ Bank | Building 90 Ke | erikeri Road, Ke | rikeri New Ze | ealand | | |
| | | | | reiepho | one. 09 407 32 | 200 Fax: 09 40 | 1 3230 Email: 1 | еатекарк | engin.co.nz | | |



| Graphic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | ##### | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | In situ sl reading | near vane |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Symbol | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP SOIL | Organic Soil | Remoule reading Scale Pe | enetrometer |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descrip | otion | | Undraine Strengt | ed Shear h (kPa) | Scale Penetrometer (blows/300mm) |
| 300 | ##### | | | | D | ark Brown Dry | Topsoil | | | 203 | |
| 600 | ##### ##### ##### | | | | | Dry Yellow Silt | y Clay | | | 203 | |
| 900 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | | | | | _ | 203 | |
| 1200 | ##### ##### ##### | | | Mois | st Yellow Silty | Clay Mottled W | /hite Orange La | mination | | 203 | |
| 1500 | ##### ###### ###### | | | Crum | bly Moist Ora | nge/Brown/Wh | ite Silty Clay Mo | ottled Pink | 44 | 203 | |
| 1800 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | | | | | _ | 203 | |
| 2100 | ##### ##### ##### | ercepted | -oam | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 2400 | ##### ##### ###### | I not Inte | wn Clay I | Crum | bly Pink Silty (We | Clay Mottled W eathered Rock | hite/Brown/Ora Inclusion | nge Semi- | | 203 | |
| 3000 | %%%% %%%%% | ater Leve | ight Bro | | | | | | | 203 | ŧ |
| 3300 | | round Wa | Marua L | | | | | | | | -{ |
| 3600 | | ē | | | | | | | | | |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | ~ |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4800 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drill Metho | ods | 50-100 | mm han | d auger | Note: | | | | • | | |
| Test Loca | tion | Refer to | site pla | n <u>5 ·</u> | 1. The su | bsurface data | described above | e has been de | etermined at a s | pecific borel | nole location. The data |
| Test Date | | 16/03/20 |)21 | | will not | identify any va | riations away fr | om the location | on. | | |
| Inspector | | TY & DF | - | | 2. UTP - l | Jnable to pene | trate. | | | | |
| | | | | Leve Telepho | el 1 ANZ Bank one: 09 407 32 | Building 90 Ke 255 Fax: 09 40 | erikeri Road, Ke 7 3256 Email: 1 | rikeri New Ze eamPK@pke | ealand engin.co.nz | | |



| Graphic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | ‡‡‡‡‡‡ | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | In situ sł reading | hear vane | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Symbol | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP SOIL | Organic Soil | reading Scale Pe | | | | | |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descri | otion | | Undraine Strengt | Undrained Shear Scale Penetrom Strength (kPa) (blows/300mn | | | | | |
| 300 | | | | | Dark | Brown Dry Top | osoil Rootlet | | | 203 | | | | | |
| 600 | ##### ##### ###### | | | | | | | | | 203 | | | | | |
| 900 | ##### ###### | | | | Dry Yellow Mot | tled Brown Silt | y Clay Rock Incl | usion | | 203 | | | | | |
| 1200 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | | | | | | 203 | | | | | |
| 1500 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | Dry Crumb | ly Yellow/White | e Mottled Orang | e | | 203 | | | | | |
| 1800 | ##### ##### ##### | | | | | | | | 80 | 191 | | | | | |
| 2100 | a cepted | | | Crumbly | y Pink/White/Or | ange Silty Cla | y with Semi-Wea | athered Rock | | 203 | | | | | |
| 2400 | Level %%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% | Clay Lo | | | | | | | 203 | | | | | | |
| 2700 | | it Brown | | Crumbly Da | ark Pink/White/ | Orange Silty Cla | ау | | 203 | | | | | | |
| 3000 | %%%% | nd Wate | ırua Ligh | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 3300 | | Grou | Ma | | | | | | | | \$ | | | | |
| 3600 | | | | | | | | | | | - <u> </u> | | | | |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drill Metho | ods | 50-100 | mm han | d auger | Note: | | | | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| Test Loca | tion | Refer to | site nla | n | 1 The sul | bsurface data | described above | e has been de | termined at a s | pecific bore | ole location The data | | | | |
| Test Date | | 16/03/20 |)21 | | will not | identify any va | riations away fro | om the locatio |)n. | | toto tooution. The data | | | | |
| Inspector | | TY & DF | | | 2. UTP - U | Jnable to pene | trate. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Lev | /el 1 ANZ Bank | Building 90 Ke | erikeri Road, Ke | rikeri New Ze | aland | | | | | | |
| | | | | Telepł | none: 09 407 32 | 255 Fax: 09 40 | 7 3256 Email: T | eamPK@pke | ngin.co.nz | | | | | | |



| Graphic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | ‡‡‡‡‡‡ | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | In situ sh reading | |
|---------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------|---------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Symbol | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP SOIL | Organic Soil | reading Scale Pe | enetrometer |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descri | otion | | Undraine Strengt | ed Shear h (kPa) | Scale Penetrometer (blows/300mm) |
| | | | | | Dark Bro | own Dry Topso | il with Rootlets | | | 203 | |
| 300 | ##### ##### ##### | | | N | loist Yellow Sil | ty Clay Mottled | Black Rock Inc | lusion | | 203 | |
| 600 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | 55 | 183 | |
| 900 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | Mois | st Yellow/White | e Silty Clay | | 41 | 160 | |
| 1200 | ##### ###### | | | | Moist Or | ange/Yellow/M | /hite Silty Clay | | | 203 | |
| 1500 | ##### ###### ###### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 1800 | ##### ##### | | | | Moist Crum | bly Orange/Wł | nite/Pink Silty Cl | ay | 67 | 191 | |
| 2100 | ##### ##### ###### | cepted | oam | | Mois | t Orange/Whit | e Silty Clay | | | 203 | |
| 2400 | ##### ###### ###### | ot Inter | Clay L | Whit | e Mottled Pink | /Orange/Black | Silty Clay Rock | Inclusion | 70 | 1 80 | |
| 2700 | ##### ###### | vel n | rown | Pink | /White/Orange | Mottled Black | Silty Clay Rock | Inclusion | | | |
| 3000 | ##### ###### | ter Le | ght B | | Whi | te/Orange/Pink | silty Clay | | | 203 | - E |
| | | nd Wa | rua Li | | | | | | | | 4 |
| 3300 | | Groui | Ма | | | | | | | | 5 |
| 3600 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | <u>></u> |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4800 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drill Metho | ods | 50-100 | mm han | d auger | Note: | | | | | | |
| Test Loca | tion | Refer to | site pla | n | 1. The su | bsurface data | described above | e has been de | etermined at a s | pecific boreh | ole location. The data |
| rest Date | | 16/03/20 | J21 - | | will not | Identify any va | riations away fro | om the locati | on. | | |
| inspector | | IY&DH | - | Lou | 2. UIP - l | Building 00 K | enate. Arikari Rood Ko | rikari Naw 74 | aland | | |
| | | | | Teleph | one: 09 407 32 | 255 Fax: 09 40 | 7 3256 Email: T | eamPK@pk | engin.co.nz | | |



| Granhic | | @@@ | | ##### | %%% | ØØØ | ‡‡‡‡‡‡ | | ÐÐÐÐÐ | In situ si reading | near vane |
|---------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Symbol | | | | | | | | | | Remould | ded shear vane |
| | | FILL | | CLAY | SILT | SAND | GRAVEL | TOP | Organic | reading | |
| | | | | | | | | SOIL | Soli | Scale Pe | enetrometer |
| Depth (mm) | Graphical Log | GWL | Soil Type | | | Field Descrip | otion | | Undraine Strengt | ed Shear h (kPa) | Scale Penetrometer (blows/300mm) |
| 300 | | | | | D | ark Brown Dry | Topsoil | | | 203 | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | 32 | 186 | |
| 600 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | - 32 | | |
| | ##### | | | | Yellov | w Silty Clay Mo | ottled White | | | 203 | |
| 900 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 1200 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | Cru | umbly Moist W | /hite Silty Clay | Mottled Brown/0 | Orange | | 203 | |
| 1500 | ##### ##### | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | | | | | | 203 | |
| 1800 | ##### ##### | | | | Pink/WI | hite Siltv Clav N | Nottled Brown | | | | |
| | ##### | | | | | j - j | | | 49 | 194 | |
| 2100 | ##### ##### | p | | | Pink | /Orange/White | e Silty Clay | | | | |
| | %%%% | epte | am | | | | | | 44 | 200 | |
| 2400 | %%%% %%%% | terc | , Lo | | | | | | | | |
| | %%%% | ot In | Clay | | Crumbly C | Drange/White/W | White Clayey Sil | t | 0 | | |
| 2700 | %%%% %%%% | el nc | Ň | | | | | | | | |
| | %%%% | Leve | Bro | | D. C. I. | | | | | 203 | |
| 3000 | %%%% %%%% | ater | ight | | Pratially | Silty Clay, Part | lally Clayey Slit | | | | 3 |
| | | a Wa | l a L | | | | | | | | 5 |
| 3300 | | ounc | Магі | | | | | | | | L. |
| | | Gre | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 3600 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3900 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | \ |
| 4200 | | | | | | | | | | | ₽ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4500 | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4800 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5400 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Drill Metho | ods | 50-100 i | mm han | d auger | Note: | | | | | | |
| Test Loca | tion | Refer to | site pla | n | 1. The sul | bsurface data o | described above | has been d | etermined at a s | pecific borel | nole location. The data |
| Inspector | | וט/03/20 דר & דר |)2'I : | | 2 ITP - I | Inable to pene | nations away fro | orn the locati | on. | | |
| | | | | Leve | el 1 ANZ Bank | Building 90 Ke | erikeri Road, Ke | rikeri New Z | ealand | | |
| | | | | Telepho | one: 09 407 32 | 255 Fax: 09 40 | 7 3256 Email: T | eamPK@pk | engin.co.nz | | |



PRODUCER STATEMENT

DESIGN: ON-SITE EFFLUENT DISPOSAL SYSTEM (T.P.58)

ISSUED BY: <u>PRADEEP KUMAR</u> (Approved qualified design professional)

TO: Glenn Owen (Owner)

TO BE SUPPLIED TO: FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL

PROPERTY LOCATION: Lot 12 Wiroa Station

LOT: LOT 12..... DP: 497523.....

VALUATION NUMBER:

TO PROVIDE: Design an on-site effluent disposal system in accordance with Technical Publication 58 and provide a schedule to the owner for the system maintenance.

THE DESIGN: has been in accordance with G13 (Foul Water) G14 (Industrial Liquid Waste) B2 (durability 15 years) of the Building Regulations 1992.

As an independent approved design professional covered by a current policy of Professional Indemnity Insurance (Design) to a minimum value of \$2000,000.00,

I BELIEVE ON REASONABLE GROUNDS that subject to:

(1) The site verification of the soil types.

(2) All proprietary products met the performance requirements.

The proposed design will meet the relevant provisions of the Building Code and 8.15 of The Far North District Council Engineering Standards.

__(Signature of approved design professional)

BE hons, NZCE, MIPENZ, IntPE, CPEng (Professional Qualifications)

IPENZ No. 203058 (Licence Number or Professional Registration Number)

Address: Level 1 ANZ Bank Building, 90 Kerikeri Road, Kerikeri, New Zealand

Date:November 2020Telephone:09 407 3255Email:teampk@pkengin.co.nz

Note: This form is to accompany every application for a Building Consent incorporating a T.P.58. Approval as a design professional is at councils discretion.

On-site Wastewater Disposal Site Evaluation Investigation Checklist

PART A: CONTACT DETAILS

| Applicant Name | - | Glenn Owen | |
|--------------------|-------|------------|--|
| Company Name | n/a | | |
| | | | |
| roperty Owners Nam | ne(s) | Glenn Owen | |

Nature of Applicant* Owner

(* i.e. Owner, Lessee, Prospective Purchaser, Developer)

2. Consultant/Site Evaluator Details

| Consultant/Agent Name | PK Enginee | ring Ltd | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------|--|
| Site Evaluator Name | | | | |
| Postal Address | P O Box 464, Kerikeri. | | | |
| | Dusinger | 00 4070055 | | |
| Phone Number | Business | 09 4073255 | Private | |
| | Mobile | | Fax | |
| Name of Contact Person | PK | | | |
| E-mail Address | teampk@pkengin.co.nz | | | |

OFFICE USE ONLY

3. Are there any previous existing discharge consents relating to this proposal or other waste discharge/disposal on the site?

| yes | no | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| yes, give reference | numbers and description | |
| | (4 2 4) | |
| | | |

4. List any other consents in relation to this proposal site and indicate whether or not they have been applied for or granted.

If so, specify application details and consent No:

(e.g. Land use, Water intake, Subdivision, Earthworks, or Stormwater Consents)

PART B: PROPERTY DETAILS

1. Property for which this application relates:

| Physical Address of Property | Wiroa Station Lot 12 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | |
| Territorial Local Authority | FAR NORTH DISTRIC | T COUNCIL | | |
| Regional Council | NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL | | | |
| Legal Status of Activity | Permitted | Controlled | Discretionary | |
| Relevant Regional Rules | | | | |
| (Note 1) | | | | |
| Total Property Area (m ²) | 3,993 | | | |
| Map Grid Reference of Property | | | | |
| if known | | | | |

2. Legal description of land (as shown on Certificate of Tittle)

| Lot No. | 12 | DP No. | 497523 | CT No. | |
|-----------------|----|--------|--------|--------|--|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Other (specify) | | | | | |

Please ensure copy of Certificate of title is attached

PART C: SITE ASSESSMENT - SURFACE EVALUATION

(Refer TP58 - Sn 5.1 General Purpose of Site Evaluation and Sn 5.2.2(a) Site Surface Evaluation) Note: Underlined terms defined in Table 1, attached

| 1. Has a releva | nt property | history | study | been | conducted? |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|-------|------|------------|
| ves | | | no | | |

if yes please specify the findings of the history study, and if not please specify why this was not considered necessary

2. Has a Slope Stability Assessment been carried out on the property?

| | 103 | |
|--------|-----|------|
| If No. | why | not? |

Our site investigations indicate stable gently(5 - 7degrees) sloping site in the area for wastewater disposal Refer to P K Engineering site suitability report

If yes, please give details of report (and if possible, please attach report):

| Author | |
|----------------|--|
| Company/Agency | |
| Date of Report | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

3. Site Characteristics (see table 1 attached):

| n available from N LW A. MET RESEARCH |
|--|
| Evapotranspiration: 1200mm |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| n 5 years and/or 20 year and/or 100 year |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| re |
| rs |
| ITS |
| irs |
| nrs |
| |

| 4. Site Geology | | Check Rock Maps |
|--|---|-----------------|
| The local soil type has been classifie | d as Marua light brown clay loam | |
| The underlying rock has been classi | ied asinterbedded sandstone and mudtone | |
| (greywacke and argillite) | | |
| geological map reference number | Department of Lands and Survey NZMS 290 | (Q04/05) |

5. What Aspects does the proposed disposal system face?

| North | West | |
|------------|------------|--|
| North-West | South-West | |
| North-East | South-East | |
| East | South | |

6. Site Clearances, (Indicate on site plan where relevant) Check Council Requirements

| Separation distance from | Treatment Separation Distance | Disposal Field Separation Distance |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Boundaries | 1.5m minimum | 1.5m minimum |
| Surface Water, rivers, | 15m minimum | 15m minimum |
| creeks, drains etc. | | |
| Groundwater | | 600mm minimum |
| Stands of Trees/Shrubs | | |
| Wells, water bores | N/A | N/A |
| Embankments | 3m minimum | 3m minimum |
| /retaining walls | | |
| Buildings | 3m minimum | 3m minimum |
| Other (specify) | | |
| | | |

PART D: SITE ASSESSMENT - SUBSOIL INVESTIGATION

(Refer TP58 - Sn 5.1General Purpose of Site Surface Evaluation, and Sn 5.2.2(a) Site Surface Evaluation and Sn 5.3 Subsurface Investigations) Note: Underlined Terms Defined in Table 2, attached

1. Please identify the soil profile determination method:

| Test Pit | Depth | | m | No of test pits |
|-----------------------|-------|---|---|-----------------|
| Bore Hole | Depth | 3 | m | No of Bore 6 |
| | | | | Holes |
| Other (specify): | | | | |
| Soil Report attached? | | | | |

| ves | yes | J | no | |
|-----|-----|---|----|--|
|-----|-----|---|----|--|

2. Was fill material intercepted during the subsoil investigation?

| - | | | | | _ |
|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|
| If yes, I | please sp | ecify the effect | t of the fill o | n wastewater dis | sposal |

3. percolation testing (mandatory and site specific for trenches an soil type 4 to 7)

no

As per TP58 guidelines for percolation tests

test report attached?

yes no

4. are surface water interception/diversion drains required?

yes no

Shown on attached site plan

4a. Are subsurface drains required

yes Shown on attached site plan

5. Please state depth of the seasonal water table:

| Winter | 3 | m | Measured | Estimated |
|--------|------|---|----------|-----------|
| Summer | >3.5 | m | Measured | Estimated |

6. Are there any potential stormwater short circuit paths?

т

20

т

| 100 | | 110 | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| If the answer is | yes, please exp | plain how these | e have been addressed |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

7. Based on the results of subsoil investigation above, please indicate the disposal field soil category (refer TP58 Table 5.1)

| is topsoil Fresent? | | 200 |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Soil Category | Description | Drainage |
| 1 | Gravel, Coarse sand | Rapid draining |
| 2 | Coarse to medium sand | Free draining |
| 3 | Medium-fine and loamy sand | good drainage |
| 4 | Sandy loam, loam and silt loam | Moderate drainage |
| 5 | sandy clay-loam, clay loam and silty clay loam | Moderate to slow drainage |
| 6 | Sandy clay, non-swelling clay and silty clay | slow draining |
| 7 | swelling clay, grey clay, hardpan | poorly or non-draining |

Reasons for placing in stated category results of bore holes and percolation tests.

PART E: DISCHARGE DETAILS

VOC

1. Water supply source for the property:

| Rainwater (roof collection) | Yes |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Bore/well | |
| Public Supply | |

2. Calculate the maximum daily volume of wastewater to be discharged, unless accurate water meter readings are available (Refer Table 6.1 & 6.2):

| Number of Bedrooms | 4 | |
|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Design Occupancy | 6 | (number of people) |
| Per capita Wastewater Production | 180 | (litres per person per day) |
| Other - Specify | | |
| Total Daily Mastewater Deaduction | 4090 | /Bhann man day A |
| rotal Daily Wastewater Production | 1000 | [(litres per day] |

3. Do you propose to install:

| the feathers to motality | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|----|
| a) Full Water Conservation Devices? | Yes | No |
| b) Water Recycling - what %? | % | No |

The disposal area is based on a 3 bedroom dwelling, total 5 persons (800 litres/day) using roof water tank supply type B water source for Households with dual flush toilets and standard fixtures.

4. Is Daily Wastewater Discharge Volume more than 2000 Litres:

no

if answer to above is yes, an NRC wastewater discharge permit may be required

5. Gross Lot Area to Discharge Ratio:

| Gross Lot Area | 3,993 | m ² |
|-----------------------------------|-------|------------------|
| Total Daily Wastewater Production | 1080 | (Litres per Day) |
| Lot Area to Discharge Ratio | 3.70 | |

7. Does this proposal comply with the Northland Regional Council Gross Lot Area to Discharge Ratio of Greater than 3

yes

8. Is a Northland Regional Council Discharge Consent Required?

PART F: PRIMARY TREATMENT (refer TP58 Section 7.2)

1. Please indicate below the no. and capacity (litres) of all septic tanks including type (single/dual chamber grease traps) to be installed or currently existing: if not 4500 dual chambered explain why not

| 11 110L 4500 uuai c | nambered explain why not | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| No. of Tanks | Type of Tank | Capacity of Tank (Litres) |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | T I LO III | |
| | Total Capacity | |

2. Is a Septic Tank Outlet Filter to be Installed?

If Yes, please state the type

PART G: SECONDARY AND TERTIARY TREATMENT (refer TP58 Section 7.3, 7.4, 7.5 & 7.6)

1. Please indicate the type of additional treatment, if any, proposed to be installed in

| the system: | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|
| Secondary Treatment | | |
| Home Aeration Plant | | |
| Commercial Aeration Plant | | |
| Intermediate Sand Filter | | |
| Recirculating Sand Filter | | |
| Recirculating Textile Filter | | |
| Clarification Tank | | |
| Tertiary Treatment | | |
| Ultraviolet Disinfection | | |
| Chlorination | | |
| Other | Specify | |

PART H: LAND DISPOSAL METHOD (refer TP58 Section 8)

1.Please indicate the proposed loading disposal method:

| Gravity | |
|---------------|---|
| Dosing Siphon | |
| Pump | _ |

2. Is a high water level alarm being installed in pump chambers?

| ves | n/a | no | n/a | |
|-----------------|------------------|----|-----|--|
| not to be insta | lled, explain wh | y | II | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

3. If a pump is being used, please provide the following information:

| Total Design Head | TBC | (m) |
|--------------------------|-----|----------|
| Pump Chamber Volume | TBC | (Litres) |
| Emergency Storage Volume | TBC | (Litres) |

4. Please identify the type(s) of land disposal method proposed for the site

(refer TP58 Sections 9 & 10)

| Surface Dripper Irrigation | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Sub-surface Dripper Irrigation | |
| Standard Trench | |
| Deep Trench | |
| Mound | |
| Evapo-transpiration Mounds | |
| Other (please specify) | |
| | |

5. Please Identify the loading rate you propose for the option selected in part H,

| Section 4 above s | tating reasons to | r selecting this loading rate: |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Loading Rate | Basal | (Litres/m²/day) |
| | Areal | 3 (Litres/m ² /day) |
| Disposal Area | Design | 360 (m ²) |
| | Reserve | 108 (m ²) |

| Explanation (Refer TP58 Sections 9 & 10) | |
|--|--|
| Conservative loading rate for site. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

6. What is the available reserve wastewater disposal area (Refer TP58 Table 5.3)

| Reserve Disposal Area (m ²) | 108 |
|---|-----|
| Percentage of Primary Disposal Area (%) | 30% |

7. Please provide a detailed description of the design and dimensions of the disposal field and attach a detailed plan of the field relative to the property site:

| Description and Dimer | ions of Disposal Field: | |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------|
| 360 lineal metres of su | surface PCDI irrigation lines with emitters at 1m centres and line | es spaced 1m apart. |
| Irrigation lines to be fi | nly fixed to the ground and covered with minimum 50 mm bark/t | opsoil |
| Area to be densely pla | ted with suitable high evapotranspiration plant species | |
| Plan Attached | Yes | |
| if not explain why not | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PART I: MAINTENANCE & MANAGEMENT (Refer TP58 section 12.2)

| 1. Has a mair | ntenance agreem | nent been ma | ade with the treat | ment and disposal system suppliers? |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| yes | Not known | no | Not known | |
| Name of Supr | oliers tbc | | | |

PART J: ASSESSMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

1. Is an assessment of environmental effects (AEE) included with this application?

| list and explain pos | sible offects | |
|--|---------------|--|
| list and explain bos | | |
| and states and the result is a second | Sible ellects | |
| and the second | | |
| | | |

PART K: IS YOUR APPLICATION COMPLETE?

1. In order to provide a complete application you have to remember to:

| Fully Complete this Assessment Form | Yes |
|---|-----|
| Include a Location Plan and Site Plan (with scale bars) | Yes |
| Include a Property Tittle (Certificate of Tittle) | |
| Attach an Assessment of Environmental Effects (AEE) | |

2. Declaration

I hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information given in this application is true and complete.

| Name | Pradeep Kumar | Signature |
|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Position | Professional Chartered Engineer | Date |

Note

Any alteration to the site plan or design after approval will result in non compliance.

Plant Species

Astelia grandis

Wide olive green leaves with a silvery sheen beneath and reddish purple midribs, the clump can be up to 2m high. It is an inhabitant of swampy ground from lowland to montane altitudes throughout the North Island and to Southern Canterbury. Preferring a damp soil, it is able to withstand permanently wet feet.

1.5-2m

Alocasia nigrescens (Black Taro)

Large black green blunt arrow shaped leaves on dark purple stalks from loose clumps in damp part shaded areas.

0.5/0.5m

Apodasmia similis (Oioi)

An extremely elegant native reed with blueish green foliage with browny bract at the joins. Grows up to 1m and has a creeping rhizome. Thrives in marshlands and estuaries. Will grow in most conditions. Is very hardy.

1.5/2.0m

Arthropodium Cirratum (Rengarenga Lily)

An attractive perennial plant, known as the Rengarenga Lily. A clump forming plant with drooping fleshy strap leaves. Masses of white starry flower heads throughout summer. It can grow in a wide range of conditions, including coastal and shade. Will not tolerate severe frosting.

1.0/1.0m

Blechnum Novae Zealandiae

An attractive creeping fern with drooping fronds. New growth is always reddish. An easy to grow fern which looks most attractive when grown on a bank, or as a ground cover, provided there is ample moisture.

0.8-1m

Carex Dispacea

This sedge is densely tufted. The narrow leaves are light green and make an attractive contrast to darker foliage. In the garden it should have a sunny or semi-shaded site. Prefers damp conditions.

0.7/0.6m

Carex dissita

An attractive sedge with an arching habit. The ribbed leaves are a fresh bright green and contrast with the very dark seed heads that are carried on the stems. It can be grown in quite shady areas, such as under trees, or in an open situation, but it requires a moist soil.

0.7/0.7m

Carex maorica

This sedge grows into upright clumps with ribbed light green leaves. The foliage is fragile and can snap easily making it an unattractive garden specimen. It is best suited to environmental plantings.

0.7/0.6m

Carex secta

This is a common plant of swampy areas throughout New Zealand. It forms large tussocks with weeping yellowish green leaves. At its best beside water, it will grow in any moist soil in sun or semishade. Old specimens in moist to wet sites often form thick sturdy trunks from the matted roots and old stem bases.

1.0/0.6m

Carex tenuiculmis

This species is a common plant of swampy areas it is of a reddish bronze colour and is at its best beside water. It will grow in any moist soil in the sun or semi-shade. This species does not form a trunk.

0.7/0.6m

Carex virgata

A vigorous sedge that has narrow arching bright green leaves. It is a useful species for waterside planting and very damp soils but will also grow on dry sites and in sun or semi-shade.

0.7/0.6m

Carpodetus serratus (Marble leaf)

An attractive tree with upright spreading branches, found throughout New Zealand on forest margins and stream banks. The juvenile form has tangled growth.

3-5m

Cordyline australis (Cabbage Tree)

One of NZs best known and most distinctive plants. The young tree has long narrow, mid green leaves which arise directly from a single trunk, having aneffect similar to ornamental grasses. The creamy and fragrant flowers are a stunningfeature, appearing in large densely packed panicles during late spring and summer. An excellent plant for landscaping, being suitable for group and specimen planting.

7.5/2.0m

Cordyline Midnight Star

A variety of the red or maroon Cabbage Tree. A good selection for a visual impact within the garden.

7.5/2.0m

Cortaderia fulvida (Toi toi)

This is one of the smaller toetoe, with a height of 1.5 - 2.5m when flowering. The blueish green leaves ae shiny beneath and up to 4 cm wide and 2m long. Its golden flower plumes sometimes have a pinkish tinge.

2.0/2.0m

Coprosma Rugosa

A tough colourful and interesting alpine shrub with very tangled bright orange new growth. Bears berries attractive to birds. Can be clipped into an interesting hedge or allowed to grow freely will become a medium sized shrub.

1.5-3m

Coprosma Grandfolia

It is a good coloniser or shelter species tolerating a wide range of soils, and shade to full sun. Its clusters of orange/red fruits are attractive to birds, though to have fruits you may need to grow several, as coprosma plants bear flowers of only one sex. Flowers appear in late autumn and winter, and are pale but quite conspicuous.

up to 6m

Cyperus ustulus

This is a plant of damper areas. It is very vigorous, growing into a clump with deep olive-green, very sharp edged leaves. The flowering stems are up to 1.2 m or more, with a ruff of leafy bracts below the spikelets. A useful plant for revegetation in wet areas, but it is generally considered to vigorous for most garden situations.

0.8/1.2m

Dianella King Alfred

An attractive form of Dianella. This selected form has an ability to survive a wide range of conditions. It has a small flax like appearance.

0.8/0.6m

Dianella nigra

This is a hardy tufted plant resembling a small fine leaved flax. It grows to about 60cm high and bears insignificant flowers from late spring to summer. These are followed by the plants most ornamental feature, its berries. In the best form these are a glossy dark blue, but can vary to quite pale colours. Grows in sun or semi-shade and in a range of soil conditions. Looks good planted as a ground cover.

0.6/0.6m

Elatostema Rugosum

Naturally inhabiting damp shady streamsides and gullies; it has dark stems with pinnate leaves that are rough and wrinkled and have serrated margins.

The leaves are dark bronzy green with purple tonings. An intereting foliage plant that makes a very good groundcover for a wet shady position.

0.5-1m

Fuchsia Excorticate

The largest *Fuchsia* in the world. A small tree with stunning orange-brown papery bark and interesting twisted shape. Purple-red flowers early spring to summer. The edible fleshy Konini fruit from January to March is sweet and tasty. It was made into jams and desserts by early settlers. Attractive to bees. Prefers a moist soil. Deciduous. Hardy.

5m

Hebe Stricta

Hebe stricta is an open branching shrub found throughout New Zealand. Its long narrow leaves are deep green and glossy. The white mauve-tinged flowers appear on 7-15cm spikes during summer. Pruning is important to maintain a good shape. It is also a hardy landscape plant. Depth of colour and handsome foliage places this hebe in a class of its own.

1-3m

Juncus Gregiflorus

A rush of swampy areas throughout New Zealand. It grows into a tight clump 1-2m tall with bright green stems. It is ideal for revegetation of wetlands and riparian areas and is useful for damp landscaping areas.

1-2m

Leptospermum Burgundy Queen (Flowering Ti Tree)

Exquisite double flowers of deep burgundy red late winter and spring, Dark reddish bronze foliage.

2.0/1.5m

Libertia Grandiflora

Larger flowered species found in damp situations. Brownish green linear leaves to90x1.5cm tapering to a point. Attractive white 3-5 cm flowers with olive or bronzekeel are carried on 90cm lightly branched stems in early summer, followed inautumn by decorative golden brown seed capsules.

0.9/0.7m

Leptospermum scoparium

It is a primary species which provides a natural habitat that allows other New Zealand native species to become established. It naturally dies out after 20-25 years. It is often found growing at the margins of a mature forest. Manuka has small narrow sharply pointed dark green leaves, and bears masses of small white or pale pink flowers from spring into early summer. It is tolerant of practically any conditions and is used in most revegetation projects nation wide.

4-8m

Libertia peregrinans

Simple but interesting plant. Sword like leavesto 25-2cm, brownish green or khakiwith well defined orange yellow midriff, tapering to a sharp point, arranged in fans. The plant is sustained by underground rhizomes from which new fans of leavesappear. Small white 3 peatled flowers on short stems in spring, followed by bronzeyellow capsules.

0.3/1.0m

Melicytus Ramiflorus

The pointed oval leaves are a bright green, with fresh growth being quite soft and an even brighter green. The bark is grayish white and becomes attractively mottled with lichens. The tiny flowers are produced abundantly in spring and are followed by numerous purple black berries.

5m

Phormium Tenax

The foliage is khaki green coloured and up to 3m long. The nectar from the flowers, borne on tall slender flower stalks, is a great attractor to native birds such as Tui. Harakeke is abundant throughout New Zealand particularly in wetland areas. Perfect for revegetation, riparian plantings, and for landscaping.

2-3m

Phormium Surfer

Flax. An excellent compact dwarf clump forming perennial, producing olive green weeping leaves with bronze margins. Excellent all round garden specimen growing anywhere from dry to damp conditions. Withstands strong coastal winds and is frost hardy. Use in mass landscape with other natives.

0.5/0.5m

Schefflera Digitata

The large deep green, rather soft leaves are composed of up to 9 oval leaflets arising from a singe point. They get progressively bigger as they radiate outwards, with the biggest leaflet being up to 20cm. The margins are finely serrated and tinged with pinkish red, as are the veins and midribs. Large panicles of tiny greenish white flowers hang below the leaves in summer and are followed by white to purple berries. Pate should be given a shady and sheltered position in good moist soil. Could be used to good effect in a tropical planting or as a background plant.



FAR NORTH DISTRICT COUNCIL

Pursuant to a Transfer of Powers from Northland Regional Council pursuant to section 33 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

REGIONAL WATER & SOIL PLAN FOR NORTHLAND

DECISION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION (DISCHARGE)

Resource Consent Number: 2170516-RMADIS

Pursuant to a transfer of powers from the Northland Regional Council under section 33 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act), and pursuant to section 104B of the Act, the Far North District Council hereby grants resource consent to:

Treat and dispose of wastewater by way of secondary treatment from the Caretakers Shed/Accommdation, Wine Cellar and Pavilions 1&2 at Wiroa Station located within the General Coastal zone.

Subject Site Details

| Address: | 40 McKenzie Road, Kerikeri | 0294 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| Legal Description: | Lot 14 DP 497523 | |
| Certificate of Title reference: | CT-735035 | |

Pursuant to Section 108 of the Act, this consent is issued subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The consent holder shall ensure that the quantity of wastewater discharged from the system shall not exceed 2900 litres in any consecutive 24 hour period.
- 2. The consent holder shall ensure that the treatment and disposal system is constructed generally in accordance with the recommendations contained within the design report and overall site plan (sheet 1) prepared by PK Engineering, Job No 16-76 dated September 2016.
- 3. The consent holder shall provide evidence that a Building Code of Compliance Certificate has been obtained for related Building Consent 2017-119 and any subsequent amendments for the installation of the wastewater treatment and effluent disposal system within 4 weeks of the commissioning of plant.
- 4. The consent holder shall in conjunction with obtaining Building Code of compliance Certificate, submit to the Council's consents engineer or designate Final "as built" plans that show the siting of all components of the wastewater treatment and disposal system. For the purpose of this Condition, the Consent Holder shall ensure that the "as built" plans are drawn to scale and provide sufficient detail for a Council monitoring officer to locate all features identified on the plans.
- 5. The consent holder shall for the duration of the consent ensure that no ponding of wastewater within, or surface runoff of any contaminants from the wastewater treatment areas as a result of the exercise of this consent.

- 6. The consent holder shall for the duration of the consent ensure that stormwater from all roofed, road and paved areas is diverted away from the wastewater treatment and disposal areas. In addition, stormwater overland flow from surrounding areas shall be prevented from entering the treatment system.
- 7. The consent holder shall for the duration of the consent ensure that a written record of all maintenance undertaken on the wastewater treatment system and forward a copy of these records to the Council immediately on written request by the council.
- 8. The consent holder shall install prior to the commissioning of the onsite wastewater plant and maintain for the duration of the consent a meter with an accuracy of $\pm 5\%$ on the outlet from the wastewater treatment system to the TET beds. This meter shall be used to measure the quantity of wastewater discharged.
- 9. The consent holder shall keep written records for the duration of the consent of the quantity of treated wastewater discharged on a weekly basis during the months of November, December, January and February, March and monthly for each other month of the year. A copy of the written records for the previous year (1 April to 31 March) shall be forwarded to the Council's Resource Consents Monitoring Officer or designate by 1 May each year, or immediately on written request by the Council.
- 10. The consent holder shall, for the purposes of adequately monitoring the consents as required under Section 35 of the Act, on becoming aware of any contaminant associated with the consent holder's operations escaping otherwise than in conformity with these consents:
 - (i) Immediately take such action, or execute such work as may be necessary, to stop and/or contain such escape;
 - (ii) Immediately notify Council by telephone of an escape of contaminant;
 - (iii) Take all reasonable steps to remedy or mitigate any adverse effects on the environment resulting from the escape; and
 - (iv) Report to Council in writing within one week on the cause of the escape of the contaminant and the steps taken or being taken to effectively control or prevent such escape.
- 11. The consent holder shall as a minimum, for the duration of the consent ensure all wastewater receives secondary treatment prior to being disposed of to TET Beds.
- 12. The consent holder shall ensure that an audible and visual high wastewater level alarm system is installed and maintained within all wastewater pump chambers. In addition, there shall be at least 24 hours emergency wastewater storage capacity within the treatment system above the level at which the high wastewater level alarm is activated.
- 13. The Consent holder shall for the duration of the consent ensure that the Maintenance, Monitoring and Irrigation plan, produced by PK Engineering, dated August 2017, Job No 16-76 is followed in general accordance with the recommendations within the Report and Overall Site Plan, dated Sept 2016 Sheet 1 produced by PK Engineering ref 16-76.
- 14. The consent holder shall enter into a maintenance contract with a suitably qualified and experienced person to maintain the wastewater treatment system so that it works effectively at all times. At a minimum, all maintenance shall be in accordance with the recommendations of the Operation and Maintenance Manual prepared by the system supplier.
- 15. In accordance with section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Far North District Council may serve notice on the consent holder of its intention to review those

ongoing conditions of this consent that are subject to consent notices, annually during the month of July . The review may be initiated for any one or more of the following purposes:

(i) To deal with any adverse effects on the environment that may arise from the exercise of the consent and which it is appropriate to deal with at a latter stage, or to deal with any such effects following assessment of the result of the Far North District Council of duly delegated Council Officer monitoring the state of the environment in the area.

(ii) To require the adoption of the best practicable option to remove or reduce any adverse effect on the environment.

(iii) To deal with any inadequacies or inconsistencies the Far North District Council or duly delegated Council Officer considers there to be, in the conditions of the consent, following the establishment of the activity the subject of this consent.

(iv) To deal with any material inaccuracies that may in future be found in the information made available with the application (notice may be served at anytime for this reason).

(v) The consent holder shall meet all reasonable costs of any such review.

16. This consent shall be valid for a period of 15 years from the date of issue.

Advice Notes

- 1. Archaeological sites are protected pursuant to the Historic Places Act 1993. It is an offence, pursuant to the Act, to modify, damage or destroy an archaeological site without an archaeological authority obtained from the Historic Places Trust. Should any site be inadvertently uncovered, the procedure is that work should cease, with the Trust and local iwi consulted immediately. The New Zealand Police should also be consulted if the discovery includes koiwi (human remains). A copy of the Historic Places Trust's Accidental Discovery Protocol (ADP) is attached for your information. This should be made available to all person(s) working on site.
- 2. During the assessment of your application it was noted that a private Land Covenant exists on your property. Council does not enforce private land covenants, and this does not affect Council approving your plans. However, you may wish to get independent legal advice, as despite having a resource consent from Council, the private land covenant can be enforced by those parties specified in the covenant.

Reasons for the Decision

1. Description of the Activity:

To install an on-site wastewater treatment system in accordance with recommendations made in the report titles 'Wastewater Disposal Report' prepared by PK Engineering dated September 2016 and referenced 16-76. Specifically, the report recommends an Eloy Oxyfix FIXEUC90 treatment plant consisting of two 6000 litre tanks discharging to disposal fields and six Total Evapo-transpiration mounds.

2. District Plan Rules Affected:

The proposed activity does not meet the requirements of the permitted activity rule 15.1.5(f) of the Regional Water & Soil Plan for Northland and is a discretionary activity in accordance with Rule 15.3 of the Regional Water and Soil Plan.

3. Principal Issue[s] in Contention and Main Findings on those Issues:

As the system is not designed in accordance with the standards prescribed in 15.1.4(f) and is not commonly used in this environment, there were concerns regarding the suitability and effectiveness of the system. However, it is considered
that the proposed monitoring and maintenance requirements will ensure that any inadequacies in terms of functionality of the system are identified. Should functionality issues establish, the review condition will enable Council to address any resulting adverse effects via amended/further conditions of consent..

4. Relevant Statutory Provisions: Policy Statements & Plan Provisions:

The Northland Regional Water & Soil Plan;

Relevant objectives and policies of the Regional Water and Spoil Plan for Northland have been assessed, Section 6 (Maori Culture and Traditions) was considered to be of particular relevance given the proximity of the site to the CMA.

The loss of mauri of water bodies and the loss of tradition Maori fisheries from the adverse effects of activities such as sewage discharges is identified as being an issue within the region.

lwi were circulated a copy of the application as an interested party, after consultation with the applicant it was established that there were no concerns in regards to the proposed activities.

The proposal is considered consistent with the purposes of the relevant objectives and policies of the Regional Water and Soil Plan for Northland.

Part 2 Matters

The Council has taken into account the purpose & principles outlined in sections 5, 6, 7 & 8 of the Act. It is considered that granting this resource consent application achieves the purpose of the Act.

5. Notification and Affected Parties

The Council has determined (by way of an earlier report and resolution) that the adverse environmental effects associated with the proposed activity are no more than minor and that there are no affected persons or affected order holders.

6. **Overall Evaluation**

Overall, it is considered that pursuant to conditions impose, the proposal will have no more than minor effects on the environment.

The proposal is consistent with the objectives and policies of the Regional Water and Soil Plan for Northland, and with the sustainable management purpose of the Resource Management Act.

Approval

This resource consent has been prepared by Alice Hosted Resource Planner and is granted under delegated authority (pursuant to section 34A of the Resource Management Act 1991) from the Far North District Council by:



Pat Killalea, Principal Planner

Sestember 2017

Date





Notes:











POOL BACKWASH SOAK PIT DETAIL

