



Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Far North District Council - District Planning

Date received: 21/10/2022

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the **proposal**): Proposed Far North District Plan

Address for service:

Errol McIntyre
951 Oromahoe Rd 0472
New Zealand
Email: swedesaver@gmail.com

I wish to be heard: Yes

I am willing to present a joint case: Yes

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- **No**

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- **Yes**

Submission points

Point 49.1 **S216.001**

Section: Rural production

Sub-section: Policies

Provision:

RPROZ-P5 Avoid land use that:

- a. is incompatible with the purpose, character and amenity of the Rural Production zone;
- b. does not have a functional need to locate in the Rural Production zone and is more appropriately located in another zone;

- c. would result in the loss of productive capacity of highly productive land;
- d. would exacerbate natural hazards; and
- e. cannot provide appropriate on-site infrastructure.

Sentiment: Oppose

Submission:

Any regulations infringe on a property owners right. Existing use has not been accounted for and future development and maintenance of any use has now become subject to restriction

Relief sought

Council must consider the property owners right to own and use their land. A disproportionate amount of rates are paid for the services we get.

Many landowners are faced with annual increases that are not sustainable.

Point 49.2 **S216.002**

Section: Natural features and landscapes

Sentiment: Oppose

Submission:

My opposition to all controls over private land is that it infringes on a right to own.

Should an authority control land use, then some form of compensation is in order.

Any areas set aside as ONL or ONF should at the very least be void of rates. Why should a landowner pay rates on land they can no longer use

and is there for the public good?

Relief sought

Council should waive the rates on all areas of significant natural landscapes/areas that the plan refers to.