

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

IN THE MATTER

of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the
First Schedule of the Resource
Management Act 1991 and pursuant
to Section 274 of the Act.

AND IN THE MATTER

of the Far North Proposed District Plan

BETWEEN

Rosemorn Industries Limited

Appellant

AND

Far North District Council

Respondent

**NOTICE OF THE WISH OF HORTICULTURE NEW ZEALAND
TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

1 September 2026

To: The Registrar of the Environment Court in Auckland

1. Horticulture New Zealand ("**HortNZ**") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") to the following proceedings:

(a) Rosemorn Industries Limited v Far North District Council.

2. HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Far North Proposed District Plan (submission number 159 and further submission number 354).
3. HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Appellant.
4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in are:

The Rosemorn Industries Limited relief:

- (a) Abandoning the Horticulture Zone in favour of the Rural Production Zone; and
 - (b) Amending the objectives and policies of the Rural Production Zone to provide more specific direction on when it is appropriate to extend existing commercial and/or industrial activities; or
 - (c) As an alternative to the above, amending the provisions of the Horticulture Zone so that the extension of existing commercial or industrial activities are specifically provided for as a discretionary activity, and including objectives and policies that provide clear direction on when it is appropriate to extend existing commercial and/or industrial activities.
 - (d) Including nesting tables that better delineate how definitions relate to each other.
 - (e) Alternative relief with similar effect.
7. The relief sought is opposed for the reasons described in the Hearings Panel recommendations adopted by the Far North District Council and HortNZ have particular concerns with reverse sensitivity effects at the Horticulture Precinct interface and the policy framework of the Horticulture Precinct and Rural Production Zone.
 8. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Sarah Cameron

Senior Policy Advisor
Horticulture New Zealand

1 September 2026

Address for service of the Appellant:

Horticulture New Zealand
PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143
Phone: 04 470 5669 M: 021 446 281
Email: Sarah.Cameron@hortnz.co.nz
Contact person: Sarah Cameron