

HortNZ's Role

Background to HortNZ

HortNZ represents the interests of approximately 4,200 commercial fruit and vegetable growers in New Zealand who grow around 100 different fruit, and vegetables. The horticultural sector provides over 40,000 jobs.

There is approximately, 80,000 hectares of land in New Zealand producing fruit and vegetables for domestic consumers and supplying our global trading partners with high quality food.

It is not just the direct economic benefits associated with horticultural production that are important. Horticulture production provides a platform for long term prosperity for communities, supports the growth of knowledge-intensive agri-tech and suppliers along the supply chain; and plays a key role in helping to achieve New Zealand's climate change objectives.

The horticulture sector plays an important role in food security for New Zealanders. Over 80% of vegetables grown are for the domestic market and many varieties of fruits are grown to serve the domestic market.

HortNZ's purpose is to create an enduring environment where growers prosper. This is done through enabling, promoting and advocating for growers in New Zealand.



Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				the proposed rule. HortNZ supports the more specific matter of discretion.	
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.084	Earthworks EW-R14	Support	The submitter is concerned that may earthworks activities not provided for in EW-R1- EW-R13 will default to a discretionary activity and so seek a new rule that if the activity complies with the standards then it is permitted. This approach is supported to avoid activities needing to get a resource consent even though they meet the standards.	Allow S167.084 FS354.161
Transpower New Zealand Ltd (S454)	S454.003	Earthworks EW-R15	Oppose	The standards should comply with NZECP34:2001.	Disallow S454.003 and ensure rule is consistent with NZECP34:2001. FS354.162
Transpower New Zealand Ltd (S454)	S454.102	Earthworks EW-R15	Support in part	The submitter seeks that the rule is re-written as a permitted activity rule rather than a non-complying rule as a standard. This approach is supported. The standards should be consistent with NZECP34:2001.	Allow S454.102 to the extent that the rule is rewritten as a permitted activity rule which is consistent with NZECP34:2001. FS354.163
Top Energy Limited (S483)	S483.180	Earthworks EW-R15	Support in part	The submitter seeks that the rule is re-written as a permitted activity rule rather than a non-complying rule as a standard. This approach is supported. The standards should be consistent with NZECP34:2001.	Allow S483.180 to the extent that the rule is rewritten as a permitted activity rule which is consistent with NZECP34:2001. FS354.164

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Northland Regional Council (S359)	S359.038	Earthworks Standards	Support	The submitter seeks to amend provisions to avoid duplicating regional council functions where possible. HortNZ supports removal of duplication of requirements.	Allow S359.038 FS354.165
Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited (S502)	S502.025	Earthworks EW-S1	Support in part	The submitter has identified similar concerns to HortNZ in EW-S1 and seeks changes. HortNZ supports the intent that the standard is inappropriate for ancillary rural earthworks.	Allow S502.025 to the extent that changes are made similar to those sought by HortNZ. FS354.166
Haigh Workman Limited (S215)	S215.049	Earthworks EW-S5	Support	The submitter seeks that the matters of discretion are limited to consideration of erosion and sediment control standard, rather than the broad matter of discretion in the proposed rule. HortNZ supports the more specific matter of discretion.	Allow S215.049 FS354.167
Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited (S502)	S502.026	Earthworks EW-S6	Support	There should be an exemption from the standard for ancillary rural earthworks, much as sought by the submitter.	Allow S502.026 to include an exemption for ancillary rural earthworks. FS354.168
Light					
Director General of Conservation (Department of	S364.074	Light Objectives	Oppose	DOC seeks a new objective, policy and rules to avoid, minimise/remedy, or mitigate adverse effects from lighting on indigenous fauna. The policy should apply for activities	Disallow S364.074 FS354.169

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Conservation) (S364)				adjacent to or within SNAs. The submission sets out a range of 'best practice' methods to minimise effects but it would be unreasonable to prescribe these as regulations.	
Director General of Conservation (Department of Conservation) (S364)	S364.075	Light Policies	Oppose	DOC seeks a new objective, policy and rules to avoid, minimise/remedy, or mitigate adverse effects from lighting on indigenous fauna. The policy should apply for activities adjacent to or within SNAs. The submission sets out a range of 'best practice' methods to minimise effects but it would be unreasonable to prescribe these as regulations.	Disallow S364.075 FS354.170
Director General of Conservation (Department of Conservation) (S364)	S364.076	Light Rules	Oppose	DOC seeks a new objective, policy and rules to avoid, minimise/remedy, or mitigate adverse effects from lighting on indigenous fauna. The policy should apply for activities adjacent to or within SNAs. The submission sets out a range of 'best practice' methods to minimise effects but it would be unreasonable to prescribe these as regulations.	Disallow S364.076 FS354.171
Noise					
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health	S516.064	Noise	Oppose	The submitter seeks a new objective: NOISE-O3 The health and wellbeing of people and	Disallow S516.064

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Northland (S516)		Objectives		communities are protected from significant levels of noise. HortNZ does not support an objective of 'protection' but rather that noise is managed consistent with the function of the zone to not cause significant adverse effects on people.	FS354.172
Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (S356)	S356.106	Noise NOISE-O2	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete reverse sensitivity. HortNZ considers that it is important that sensitive activities are located to minimise potential for reverse sensitivity.	Disallow S356.106 FS354.173
Top Energy Limited (S483)	S483.181	Noise NOISE-O2	Support in part	HortNZ supports a strengthening of the objective to 'avoid reverse sensitivity'.	Allow S483.181 FS354.174
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland (S516)	S516.065	Noise NOISE-P1	Oppose	The submitter seeks a new policy to protect public health HortNZ does not support a policy of 'protection' but rather that noise is managed consistent with the function of the zone to not cause significant adverse effects on people.	Disallow S516.065 FS354.175
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association (S182)	S182.022	Noise Rules	Support	The submitter seeks a new rule for agricultural aviation activities to ensure they are adequately provided for in the Plan. HortNZ supports ensuring that such activities are provided for.	Allow S182.022 FS354.176

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.087	Noise NOISE-R7	Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to the rule to address a number of issues sought by the submitter.	Disallow S167.087 but amend as sought in S159.088 FS354.177
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association (S182)	S182.021	Noise NOISE-R7	Support	The submitter seeks to delete the exemption in NOISE-R7 iii) as they seek a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation so the exemption is not needed.	Allow S182.021 and include a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation FS354.178
Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand (S421)	S421.198	Noise NOISE-R7	Support in part	The submitter is concerned as to how the exemption in NOISE-R7 iii) would be applied. HortNZ considers that this is best addressed through a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation.	Allow S421.198 by including a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation FS354.179
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland (S516)	S516.068	Noise NOISE-R7	Oppose in part	The submitter is concerned as to how the exemptions in NOISE R7 will be applied. HortNZ considers that this is best addressed through a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation.	Allow S516.068 by including a separate permitted activity rule for agricultural aviation FS354.180
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland (S516)	S516.069	Noise NOISE-R8	Oppose in part	PER-3 should not be in conjunction with PER 3 as the maximum noise level is set in PER2 so NOISE-S1 should not apply.	Allow S516.069 to amend PER 2 but delete PER 3. FS354.181
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health	S516.070	Noise NOISE-R9	Support in part	It should be clear that there will be no further correction for special audible characteristics	Allow S516.070 FS354.182

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Northland (S516)					
Ngā Tai Ora - Public Health Northland (S516)	S516.072	Noise NOISE-S1	Oppose	The submitter is seeking a re-write of S1 but has not provided the changes sought. Without the re-write being available it is not possible to assess the effect of the changes sought.	Disallow S516.072 FS354.183
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.088	Noise NOISE-S4	Oppose	HortNZ has sought changes to Noise S4.	Disallow S167.088 and amend as sought in S159.092 FS354.184
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association (S182)	S182.023	Noise NOISE-S4	Support	It needs to be clear that Noise -S4 does not apply to agricultural aviation activities.	Allow S182.023 FS354.185
Treaty settlement land overlay					
Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd (S339)	S339.039	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-P2	Oppose	TSL-P2 provides for small scale commercial activities but the submitter wants to delete 'small scale' and provide for larger scale commercial activities. HortNZ considers that provisions for commercial activities need to be consistent with the underlying zone.	Disallow S339.039 FS354.186
Te Aupōuri Commercial	S339.040	Treaty settlement	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete clauses in the policy that seek to ensure that development is compatible with surrounding activities and	Disallow S339.040 FS354.187

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Development Ltd (S339)		land overlay TSL-P3		will not compromise the underlying zone, adjacent land or other zones to be efficiently or effectively used for their intended purpose. This would include primary production activities within the rural zones and mean that new development would not have to consider the effects on such activities. It is important that activities provided for in the underlying zone are not adversely affected by TSL developments.	
Te Runanga o Ngai Takoto Trust (S390)	S390.069	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-P3	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete clauses in the policy that seek to ensure that development is compatible with surrounding activities and will not compromise the underlying zone, adjacent land or other zones to be efficiently or effectively used for their intended purpose. This would include primary production activities within the rural zones and mean that new development would not have to consider the effects on such activities. It is important that activities provided for in the underlying zone are not adversely affected by TSL developments.	Disallow S390.069 FS354.188
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (S561)	S561.056	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-P3	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete clauses in TSL-P3 which provide for TSL development that is consistent with the local environment and existing activities. This is not supported.	Disallow S561.056 FS354.189

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (S561)	S561.057	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-P4	Oppose	The submitter seeks that the policy be included as matters of discretion. HortNZ considers that the policy provide direction as to how land use and subdivision will be managed and so the policy should be retained.	Disallow S561.057 FS354.190
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (S561)	S561.063	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-R4	Support in part	The submitter seeks to amend TSL-R4 by including specific matters of discretion adapted from TSL-P4. Recognition of the need to manage reverse sensitivity and the ability of surrounding properties to undertake primary production activities in the rural environment is supported. However TSL-P4 should still be retained.	Allow S561.063 to include matters of discretion. FS354.191
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (S561)	S561.064	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-R5	Oppose	HortNZ considers that visitor accommodation activity status should be the same as the underlying zone. In the Rural Production Zone it is Discretionary for over 10 guests per night. The same standard should apply in the TSL where located in the Rural Production Zone.	Disallow S561.064 FS354.192
Te Aupōuri Commercial Development Ltd (S339)	S339.047	Treaty settlement land overlay TSL-R12	Oppose	The submitter is seeking that commercial development as a permitted activity could cover 35% of the site rather than the GBA of 250m2. HortNZ considers that such a threshold could lead to large developments with little	Disallow S339.047 FS354.193

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				or no controls or consideration of effects on adjoining land activities.	
Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities (S561)	S561.061	Treaty settlement land overlay Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete TSL-R2 Impermeable surfaces and replace with a new standard. The rule provides for 35% cover while the new standard sought seeks 60%. This is a significant increase from the proposed rule and no reasons are given for this increase. This is opposed.	Disallow S561.061 FS354.194
Rural Production					
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.090	Rural production Overview	Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks to amend the overview by adding: "The purpose of the zone is also to contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district by providing for a range of other land use activities."</p> <p>HortNZ does not support this as it is contrary to the direction in the National Planning Standards for the Rural Production Zone. Only those non-primary production activities that have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural environment should be provided for.</p>	Disallow S167.090 FS354.195

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Matauri Trustee Limited (S243)	S243.108	Rural production Overview	Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks to amend the overview by adding: "The purpose of the zone is also to contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of the district by providing for a range of other land use activities."</p> <p>HortNZ does not support this as it is contrary to the direction in the National Planning Standards for the Rural Production Zone. Only those non-primary production activities that have a functional or operational need to locate in the rural environment should be provided for.</p> <p>The submitter suggests that the zone would be better called 'General Rural Zone' but that zone description is very similar and focussed on primary production with other activities that supports primary production, including rural industry and other activities that require a rural location.</p>	<p>Disallow S243.108</p> <p>FS354.196</p>
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.002	Rural production Objectives	Oppose	<p>Commercial and industrial activities do not require a rural location so should not be located in the rural production zone. While this may have occurred historically the PDP is providing a new direction consistent with the National Planning Standards.</p>	<p>Disallow S340.002</p> <p>FS354.197</p>

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.091	Rural production RPROZ-O2	Oppose	The submitter seeks to delete ' that have a functional need to be in a rural environment' from the objective. The objective is consistent with the National Planning Standards and should be retained.	Disallow S167.091 FS354.198
Thomson Survey Ltd (S197)	S197.001	Rural production RPROZ-O2	Oppose	While there may currently be an array of other activities in the Rural Zone the plan seeks to change that framework. Current activities will continue to have existing use rights if they were lawfully established.	Disallow S197.001 FS354.199
Transpower New Zealand Ltd (S454)	S454.108	Rural production RPROZ-O2	Oppose	There is no need to specifically refer to the National Grid in the objective as it has a functional need to locate in the rural environment.	Disallow S454.108 FS354.200
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.003	Rural production Policies	Oppose	Commercial and industrial activities do not require a rural location so should not be located in the rural production zone. While this may have occurred historically the PDP is providing a new direction consistent with the National Planning Standards.	Disallow S340.003 FS354.201
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.032	Rural production Policies	Support	HortNZ supports policies to prevent fragmentation and loss of rural land, particularly highly productive land.	Allow S427.032 FS354.202

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Transpower New Zealand Ltd (S454)	S454.109	Rural production Policies	Support in part	Providing for infrastructure that has a functional need to locate in the rural zone is supported but it should be a policy of 'provide' not 'enable'.	Allow S454.109 to the extent of providing for infrastructure that has a functional need to locate in the rural production zone. FS354.203
Carbon Neutral NZ Trust (S529)	S529.155	Rural production Policies	Support	The submitter supports protection of productive land especially LUC Class 1- 3 land and productive types of soil/land suitable for horticulture. It is not necessary to wait until the regional council has implemented the NPS-HPL. HortNZ agrees that the district plan can implement the NPSHPL to protect land through the current district plan process.	Allow S529.155 FS354.204
NZ Agricultural Aviation Association (S182)	S182.028	Rural production RPROZ-P1	Support	HortNZ supports the enabling of primary production and recognition that typical adverse effects should be anticipated and expected	Allow S182.028 FS354.205
Thomson Survey Ltd (S199)	S199.001	Rural production RPROZ-P2	Oppose	Activities that are not primary production, service primary production or require a rural location should not be enabled in the rural production zone.	Disallow S199.001 FS354.206
Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te	S331.066	Rural production RPROZ-P2	Oppose	Educational facilities do not necessarily directly support primary production so should not be included in RPROZ-P2 b).	Disallow S331.066 FS354.207

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Mātauranga (S331)					
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.095	Rural production RPROZ-P5	Oppose	<p>The submitter seeks to delete the policy or delete clause b. does not have a functional need to locate in the Rural Production zone and is more appropriately located in another zone.</p> <p>HortNZ considers that the policy is important for ensuring that primary production activities can operate without the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and impacts on primary production activities.</p>	<p>Disallow S167.095</p> <p>FS354.208</p>
Thomson Survey Ltd (S199)	S199.002	Rural production RPROZ-P5	Oppose	<p>The submitter opposes the intent and restrictive wording of RPROZ-P5 and contends that there are permitted activities listed in the zone rules that will be contrary to the policies.</p> <p>HortNZ considers that such activities currently located in the rural production zone will have existing use rights if they are lawfully established.</p> <p>The changes sought weaken the policy which will compromise primary production activities and is contrary to the NPSHPL.</p>	<p>Disallow S199.002</p> <p>FS354.209</p>

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Thomson Survey Ltd (S199)	S199.003	Rural production RPROZ-P6	Oppose	The changes sought by the submitter do not give effect to the NPSHPL or implement the National Planning Standards.	Disallow S199.003 FS354.210
Puketona Business Park Limited (S45)	S45.005	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks industrial activity as restricted discretionary activity. Existing industrial activity in the Rural Production Zone that is lawfully established under the Operative District Plan will have existing use rights so is provided for in the RMA, even though the district plan is proposed to change.	Disallow S45.005 FS354.211
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.051	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.051 FS354.212
Sean Frieling (S357)	S357.026	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks small subdivision in the rural production zone but does not consider the impact on primary production activities.	Disallow S357.026 FS354.213
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.033	Rural production Rules	Support	Protection of highly productive land is supported and important for horticulture in the Far North.	Allow S427.033 FS354.214

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.063	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.063 FS354.215
New Zealand Motor Caravan Association (S438)	S438.009	Rural production Rules	Oppose	Camping grounds are a form of visitor accommodation so are a sensitive activity. Therefore there needs to be consideration of the effects of the activity, including reverse sensitivity effects on adjacent activities.	Disallow S438.009 FS354.216
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.047	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.047 FS354.217
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.060	Rural production Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and	Disallow S449.060 FS354.218

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.066	Rural production Rules	Support	Protection of highly productive land is supported and important for horticulture in the Far North.	Allow S449.066 FS354.219
Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK) (S522)	S522.048	Rural production Rules	Support	Protection of highly productive land is supported and important for horticulture in the Far North.	Allow S522.048 FS354.220
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.052	Rural production RPROZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.052 FS354.221
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.060	Rural production RPROZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.060 FS354.222

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.035	Rural production RPROZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.035 FS354.223
Michael John Winch (S67)	S67.010	Rural production RPROZ-R2	Oppose	The submitter seeks to decrease the impermeable surface coverage of any site to no more than 5%. Such a limit is very restrictive for small sites.	Disallow S67.010 FS354.224
Michael John Winch (S67)	S67.011	Rural production RPROZ-R2	Support	The submitter seeks to include HPL as a matter of discretion in RPROZ-R2. This is supported.	Allow S67.011 FS354.225
Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust (S477)	S477.016	Rural production RPROZ-R3	Support	The submitter supports the proposed rule as it provides for the preservation of the character of the zone in its restriction on intensification and development and the protection from reverse sensitivity related issues that can arise. HortNZ supports that approach.	Allow S477.016 FS354.226
Airbnb (S214)	S214.002	Rural production RPROZ-R4	Oppose	HortNZ does not support a default activity status of restricted discretionary as it does not enable an adequate assessment of effects.	Disallow S214.002 FS354.227

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Northland Planning and Development 2020 Limited (S502)	S502.047	Rural production RPROZ-R5	Oppose	There needs to be a limit on the size of an accessory building otherwise a home business could be significantly larger than anticipated in the rule. If the business exceeds the threshold a consent can be sought.	Disallow S502.047 FS354.228
Ministry of Education Te Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga (S331)	S331.068	Rural production RPROZ-R6	Oppose	The submitter seeks to increase the size to 30 students as a permitted activity and the default status to restricted discretionary. This is a significant increase in scale and potential effects in the Rural production zone and is not supported.	Disallow S331.068 FS354.229
Te Waka Pupuri Putea Trust (S477)	S477.017	Rural production RPROZ-R10	Support	HortNZ supports the rule for rural produce retail.	Allow S477.017 FS354.230
Bentzen Farm Limited (S167)	S167.101	Rural production RPROZ-R19	Oppose	HortNZ supports the proposed RPROZ-R19 for minor residential units as it seeks to ensure that there is not a proliferation of such units throughout the rural production zone with the potential to create reverse sensitivity effects.	Disallow S167.101 FS354.231
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.065	Rural production Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and	Disallow S338.065 FS354.232

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.066	Rural production Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.066 FS354.233
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.061	Rural production Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.061 FS354.234
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.029	Rural production RPROZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.029 FS354.235

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.023	Rural production RPROZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.023 FS354.236
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.048	Rural production RPROZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.048 FS354.237
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.056	Rural production RPROZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.056 FS354.238
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.041	Rural production RPROZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and	Disallow S427.041 FS354.239

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.052	Rural production RPROZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.052 FS354.240
Rural lifestyle					
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.055	Rural lifestyle Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.055 FS354.241
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.064	Rural lifestyle Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.064 FS354.242

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.051	Rural lifestyle Rules	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.051 FS354.243
Carbon Neutral NZ Trust (S529)	S529.162	Rural lifestyle Rules	Support	Protection of highly productive land is supported	Allow S529.162 FS354.244
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.053	Rural lifestyle RLZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.053 FS354.245
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.061	Rural lifestyle RLZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.061 FS354.246

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.049	Rural lifestyle RLZ-R1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.049 FS354.247
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.066	Rural lifestyle Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.066 FS354.248
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.067	Rural lifestyle Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.067 FS354.249
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.062	Rural lifestyle Standards	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and	Disallow S449.062 FS354.250

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.054	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.054 FS354.251
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.042	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.042 FS354.252
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.050	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.050 FS354.253

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.057	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.057 FS354.254
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.043	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.043 FS354.255
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.053	Rural lifestyle RLZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.053 FS354.256
Horticulture Zone					
Hall Nominees Ltd (S252)	S252.002	Horticulture Overview	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone. HortNZ considers that the zone is an appropriate response to issues	Disallow S252.002 FS354.257

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				faced by growers in the Far North District and support its retention.	
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.001	Horticulture Overview	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone. HortNZ considers that the zone is an appropriate response to issues faced by growers in the Far North District and support its retention.	Disallow S340.001 FS354.258
Levin Stones Holding Limited, Keri Park Lodge Limited (S549)	S549.001	Horticulture Overview	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone. HortNZ considers that the zone is an appropriate response to issues faced by growers in the Far North District and support its retention.	Disallow S549.001 FS354.259
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.005	Horticulture Objectives	Oppose	Policy HZ-P2 provides direction that land uses that are incompatible with the purpose function and character of the zone are avoided. Industrial and commercial activities generally do not have a functional need to locate in the zone and are more appropriately located in another zone. Existing use rights will apply to those activities currently located in the Horticulture Zone.	Disallow S340.005 FS354.260
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.006	Horticulture Policies	Oppose	Policy HZ-P2 provides direction that land uses that are incompatible with the purpose function and character of the zone are	Disallow S340.006 FS354.261

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				<p>avoided. Industrial and commercial activities generally do not have a functional need to locate in the zone and are more appropriately located in another zone.</p> <p>Existing use rights will apply to those activities currently located in the Horticulture Zone.</p>	
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.035	Horticulture Policies	Support	The submitter supports the protection of highly productive land and HortNZ supports such a policy.	<p>Allow S427.035</p> <p>FS354.262</p>
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.067	Horticulture Policies	Support	The submitter supports the protection of highly productive land and HortNZ supports such a policy.	<p>Allow S449.067</p> <p>FS354.263</p>
Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK) (S527)	S527.029	Horticulture HZ-P5	Support	A policy of 'avoid' would be more consistent with the NPSHPL.	<p>Allow S527.029</p> <p>FS354.264</p>
Rosemorn Industries Limited (S340)	S340.004	Horticulture Rules	Oppose	If there is to be provision for extension of existing commercial or industrial activities, that are not rural industry, then the activity status should be non-complying as in HZ-R19 and HZ-R20,	<p>Disallow S340.004</p> <p>FS354.265</p>

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.034	Horticulture Rules	Support	The submitter supports the protection of highly productive land and HortNZ supports such an approach.	Allow S427.034 FS354.266
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.038	Horticulture Rules	Support	The submitter supports the protection of highly productive land and HortNZ supports such an approach.	Allow S449.038 FS354.267
Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK) (S522)	S522.024	Horticulture Rules	Support	The submitter supports provisions that will prevent further land fragmentation, sprawling development, and loss of productive agricultural/horticultural land and support the creation of Horticulture zones to protect the productive land and irrigation infrastructure assets in the district. HortNZ concurs.	Allow S522.024 FS354.268
Vision Kerikeri (Vision for Kerikeri and Environs, VKK) (S522)	S522.050	Horticulture Rules	Support	The submitter seeks to amend to include specific policies/rules to prevent fragmentation and loss of land in rural and horticulture zones, including HPL. Such an approach would give effect to the NPSHPL.	Allow S522.050 FS354.269
Airbnb (S214)	S214.012	Horticulture HZ-R12	Oppose	The submitter seeks permitted visitor accommodation for up to 10 guests. This is not effects based in the horticultural zone.	Disallow S214.012 FS354.270
Ministry of Education Te	S331.102	Horticulture	Oppose	The submitter seeks a permitted activity rule for educational facilities ancillary to an	Disallow S331.102 FS354.271

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Tāhuhu o Te Mātauranga (S331)		HZ-R13		established residential and/or horticultural activity for up to 12 students. HortNZ does not support permitted activity status for educational facilities as it does not enable an assessment of the effects of the activity.	
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.060	Horticulture HZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.060 FS354.272
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.046	Horticulture HZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.046 FS354.273
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.056	Horticulture HZ-S1	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.056 FS354.274

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.061	Horticulture HZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S338.061 FS354.275
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.047	Horticulture HZ-S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S427.047 FS354.276
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.057	Horticulture HZ- S3	Oppose	The submitter seeks additional controls on artificial crop protection structures, including a non-complying rule. Artificial crop protection structures are critical to horticulture in the Far North and contribute to the economic and social wellbeing of the community.	Disallow S449.057 FS354.277
Nicole Wooster (S259)	S259.001	Rural production Objectives	Support	The submitter considers that it is important to ensure that farming/horticulture activities are not restricted in this zone as no other zone is suitable for the range of primary production activities we have established.	Allow S259.001 FS354.278

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				HortNZ supports this position.	
Planning Maps					
Puketotara Lodge Ltd (S188)	S188.001	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone and all the maps. HortNZ supports the Horticulture Zone and the mapped areas as in the Proposed Plan.	Disallow S188.001 FS354.279
Hall Nominees Ltd (S252)	S252.001	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone and all the maps. HortNZ supports the Horticulture Zone and the mapped areas as in the Proposed Plan.	Disallow S252.001 FS354.280
Hall Nominees Ltd (S252)	S252.005	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of the Horticulture Zone and all the maps. HortNZ supports the Horticulture Zone and the mapped areas as in the Proposed Plan.	Disallow S252.005 FS354.281
Our Kerikeri Community Charitable Trust (S338)	S338.036	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks rezoning of some properties in the Horticulture Zone based on criteria. Such an approach would mean that there will not be a contiguous zone and would not be able to meet the objectives and policies for the zone.	Disallow S338.036 FS354.282

Submitter	Sub #	Plan Provision	Support/ oppose	Reason	Decision sought
Kapiro Residents Association (S427)	S427.026	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks rezoning of some properties in the Horticulture Zone based on criteria so there would be 'Rural Living' Islands within the Horticulture Zone. Such an approach would mean that there will not be a contiguous zone and would not be able to meet the objectives and policies for the zone.	Disallow S427.026 FS354.283
Kapiro Conservation Trust (S449)	S449.039	Planning maps Horticulture Zone	Oppose	The submitter seeks rezoning of some properties in the Horticulture Zone based on criteria. Such an approach would mean that there will not be a contiguous zone and would not be able to meet the objectives and policies for the zone.	Disallow S449.039 FS354.284
Northland Regional Council (S359)	S359.017	Planning maps Rural Production Zone	Support	The submitter seeks to amend the planning maps to rezone the service catchment of the mid north water storage project near Kaikohe from Rural Production to Horticulture to manage potential for reverse sensitivity and to support land use change which is likely to have economic, employment and greenhouse gas emissions reduction benefits. This is supported.	Allow S359.017 FS354.284