



Remember submissions close at 5pm, Friday 21 October 2022

Proposed District Plan submission form

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

Feel free to add more pages to your submission to provide a fuller response.

Form 5: Submission on Proposed Far North District Plan

TO: Far North District Council

This is a submission on the Proposed District Plan for the Far North District.

1. Submitter details:

Full Name:	Jeff Devine, Strategy & Planning Manager		
Company / Organisation Name: (if applicable)	Northland Transportation Alliance		
Contact person (if different):	Elizabeth Stacey, Road Safety Engineer		
Full Postal Address:	Level 1, Walton Plaza, 4 Albert Street		
	Whangarei, 0148		
Phone contact:	Mobile: 021786237	Home:	Work:
Email (please print):			

2. (Please select one of the two options below)

- I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission
- I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete point 3 below


- 3 I **am** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- (A) Adversely affects the environment; and
 - (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition
- I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:
- (A) Adversely affects the environment; and
 - (B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition

Note: if you are a person who could gain advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

The specific provisions of the Plan that my submission relates to are:
(please provide details including the reference number of the specific provision you are submitting on)

See attached document



Confirm your position: <input type="checkbox"/> Support X Support In-part <input type="checkbox"/> Oppose <i>(please tick relevant box)</i>
My submission is: <i>(Include details and reasons for your position)</i> See attached document
I seek the following decision from the Council: <i>(Give precise details. If seeking amendments, how would you like to see the provision amended?)</i> <i>Consideration of the points raised.</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> I wish to be heard in support of my submission X I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission <i>(Please tick relevant box)</i>
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Do you wish to present your submission via Microsoft Teams? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Signature of submitter: <i>(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)</i>  Date: 20/10/2022 <i>(A signature is not required if you are making your submission by electronic means)</i>

Important information:

1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions (5pm 21 October 2022)
2. Please note that submissions, including your name and contact details are treated as public documents and will be made available on council's website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan Review.



3. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).

Send your submission to:

Post to: Proposed District Plan
Strategic Planning and Policy, Far North District Council
Far North District Council,
Private Bag 752
KAIKOHE 0400

Email to: pdp@fndc.govt.nz

Or you can also deliver this submission form to any Far North District Council service centre or library, from 8am – 5pm Monday to Friday.

Submissions close 5pm, 21 October 2022

Please refer to pdp.fndc.govt.nz for further information and updates.

Please note that original documents will not be returned. Please retain copies for your file.

Note to person making submission

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

NORTHLAND TRANSPORTATION ALLIANCE



20 October, 2022

TO: Far North District Council District Plan Team

FROM: Jeff Devine, Manager Strategy and Planning, Northland Transportation Alliance

RE: NTA Submission on the Transport Chapter of the FNDC District Plan

Thank you for the opportunity to provide staff comment and feedback on the proposed changes to District Plan. As the FNDC Roading Division, we appreciate the opportunity to collaborate on the future growth and development of our District.

Overall, we are supportive of the proposed changes, but have made a few specific comments for your consideration. Comments have been identified by the relevant section within the Transport Chapter.

Heading Number	Description of Issue	Potential Resolution	
Objectives	Consider additional objective or an addition to Objective 6.	Consider rephrasing climate change objective to include active and public transport - "The transport network is resilient to the likely current and future effects of climate change, and supports urban environments designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, by encouraging development of active mode and public transport networks."	S184.001
TRAN-P2, Item c.	Road classification (listed as under most current National Transport Network Classification system - does this incorporate ONF as ONRC is phased out?)	Include both ONF and ONRC - consider changing language as follows " recognises the different movement and place functions and the design requirements for each road classification under the most current National Transport Network classification, which may include both the One Network Framework (ONF) or One Network Road Classification (ONRC) system;	S184.002
TRAN-P2	Add language for safety	Add alignment with national Road To Zero policy and include language to item a) provides safe and efficient linkages and connections for all users using Safe System Principles.	S184.003
TRAN P-3	Add language about connectivity	Discourage the design and construction of "no exit" roads, particularly in commercial and industrial areas (see WDC District Plan Policy TRA-P1, Item 5)	S184.004
TRAN P-4	Parking Requirements	Is it the intent of FNDC to move towards the national policy statement on parking? If so, add item g to Objectives for urban areas.	S184.005
TRAN-P5, P6	Public transport not specifically mentioned in any of the rules. Consider adding language to TRAN P-5	Include public transport language in TRAN P-5 item a.	S184.006

TRAN S-1	Alternatives to minimum parking spaces	Current DP allows for provision of bicycle parking and green space in lieu of parking as a discretionary activity - consider including here. Use of an ITA to assess and approve alternatives to minimums. Further question - Kerikeri/Waipapa is close to Tier 3 City - should this area be called out separately in line with the Urban Policy Statement on parking?	Ūfī lēfī
TRAN S-4	Requirements for Road Design - ITA Requirement	Not all upgrades to existing roads should require an ITA - consider using a trip trigger rather than "all". Suggest development over the permitted trip generation require an Integrated Transport Assessment. See comment on TRAN R-5	S184.019
TRAN Table 1	Minimum Parking	Consider adding a column for required EV spaces either here or in separate location if the intent is to encourage installation of EV charging stations (see note under TRAN R-4). Note that bicycle parking is determined by employee numbers (in most cases) not by business type/size. Consider an alternative to the employee number as trigger.	S184.020
TRAN Table 4	End of trip facilities	End of trip facilities - agree with the additional of this section however if we are describing end of trip facilities should there be a requirement for covered, secured bike parking? See note about design guidance for bike parking.	S184.021
TRAN Table 5	Parking Dimensions	Consider including the layout/dimensions/ for accessible parking in the district plan as well or reference NZS 4121- link provided (https://nzrf.co.nz/techdocs/Accessible-Parking-Guide.pdf)	S184.022
TRAN Table 6	Number of VC's allowed for frontage too high	The number of VC's allowed for 61-100m frontage (3) seems excessive. Please reconsider the number allowed. Consider adding language that VC must be taken from the lower classification of roadway to reinforce TRAN R2.	S184.023
TRAN Table 9	Private Accessway requirements	Please double check this matches the draft engineering standards - particularly regarding .95m footpath width. Also consider if there should be a requirement to seal over a certain gradient	S184.024
TRAN Table 10	Road classification	Recommend that both the ONRC and ONF are included or that ONRC is replaced by the ONF. Advise if table of ONF street classifications is needed.	S184.02
Zoning Designations	Rural Residential Zoning	We are not supportive of the small lot, rural development adjacent to urban centres. We are supportive of development which encourages active and public transportation systems. Large urban lot and small rural lot zoning are not economical to provide active and public transport to as we require a certain level of density in order to create those networks.	S184.02

Please advise if you need any further clarification on any of the issues raised. Thank you for your consideration of our input.